Zeitschrift:	Asiatische Studien : Zeitschrift der Schweizerischen Asiengesellschaft = Études asiatiques : revue de la Société Suisse-Asie
Herausgeber:	Schweizerische Asiengesellschaft
Band:	51 (1997)
Heft:	3
Artikel:	Orthodoxy an heterodoxy in the exegesis of the Zhuangzi : a case-study of Lin Xiyi's (ca. 1210 - ca. 1273) : preface to his commentary on the Zhuangzi, Zhuangzi Kouyi Fati
Autor:	Röllicke, Hermann-Josef
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-147343

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

Download PDF: 17.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

ORTHODOXY AND HETERODOXY IN THE EXEGESIS OF THE ZHUANGZI: A CASE-STUDY OF LIN XIYI'S 林希逸 (CA. 1210 – CA. 1273) PREFACE TO HIS COMMENTARY ON THE ZHUANGZI, ZHUANGZI KOUYI FATI 莊子口義發題¹

Hermann-Josef Röllicke, Heidelberg

"Haec doceo non quasi imperans, nihil enim proficit legis imperium, sed quasi qui officium susceperim reconciliandi vos Deo, 'obsecro vos, fratres', et obsecro non per potentiam, sed 'per misericordiam Dei'."² Origenes, Commentarii in Epistulam ad Romanos, Liber IX

"I teach this not as one who makes prescriptions, since the prescription of a law is never ever of any use, but as one who has accepted the office of your reconciliation with God; 'I conjure you, brothers', [says the Apostle], and I [Origenes] conjure you not by the power, but 'by the charity of God'."

Exordium

Lu Xiangshan 陸象山 (1139–1193) of the Song 宋 is renowned for one of the most important statements in the history of Chinese exegetical thinking, expressed in the formula *"liu jing zhu wo* 六經註我," "The six canonical books are commentaries on the 'I'." The exact phrase appears at the beginning of his *Yulu* 語錄:

- 1 This paper was read to the audience of the panel "Structures and strategies of the Chinese commentary in historical perspective" of the 11th Bi-annual Conference of the European Association of Chinese Studies, EACS (35th Conference of European Sinologists), "China and the Outer World," Barcelona, 4-7 September 1996. – I am greatly indebted to Dr. Achim Mittag, "Zentrum für interkulturelle Forschung (ZiF)," University of Bielefeld, to Dr. Alexander L. Mayer, Institute of Chinese Studies, University of Heidelberg, for discussing with me several problems of translation and interpretation, and to Tony Howes, Institute of East Asian Art History, University of Heidelberg, for proof-reading the first draft of my manuscript and turning all my Germanisms into adequate English.
- Origenes, Commentarii in epistulam ad Romanos, Liber IX, ed. Theresia Heither OSB, Fontes Christiani, vol. 2/1-5 (Freiburg i. Br. [et al.]: Herder, 1996), vol. 5, p. 22.

論語中多有無頭柄的說話,如'知及之,仁不能守之'³之類。不 知所及所守者,何事。如'學而時習之'⁴。不知'時習'者,何事。 非學有本領,未易讀也。苟學有本領,則知之所及者,及此也。仁 之所守者,守此也。時習之,習此也。'說'者,說此。'樂'者, 樂此。⁵如屋之上建瓴水矣。學苟知本,六經皆我註腳。⁶

In the *Lunyu* there are many expressions controlled by something unidentified. Such [is the case in expressions] like 'although (through) knowledge (someone) attains *it*, but (through) love of mankind cannot hold *it*, (...);' [here] it is not known, what thing it is that is 'attained' and 'held.' ⁷ Or in 'learning and exercising *it* time and again;' here it is not known, what thing it is that is 'exercised time and again.' When it is not the case that learning is guided by an apprehension of the origin, then reading [such passages] is not at all easy. But when learning is guided by an apprehension of the origin] which is attained in 'attaining by knowledge,' just this which is held in 'holding by love of mankind,' just this which is exercised in 'exercised im and again', just this which is delightful in 'delighting,' just this which is joyful in 'enjoying.' This is just like installing a tile gutter on top of a high building – when those in learning know the origin, then [they know that] the six canonical books are all [just] footnotes to the 'I.'

One century earlier, Cheng Yi 程頤(1033--1107) had said in his Ruguan yulu 入關語錄 [Recorded Sayings: "Entering the barrier"]:

學本是治心,豈有反爲心害?8

Scholarship originally [is just] this: reigning the heart. How could there, instead, be something [in it] hurting the heart?

And:

- 3 Lunyu 15:32.
- 4 Lunyu 1:1.
- 5 "說" and "樂" are also characters used in Lunyu 1:1.
- 6 Lu Xiangshan, "Yulu shang 語錄上," Xiangshan xiansheng quanji 象山先生全集, juan 卷 34, Sibu congkan 四部叢刊, vol. 63, p. 258:a.
- 7 Most of the commentators and translators have read the "it" to mean "office."
- 8 Er Cheng ji 二程集, ed. by Wang Xiaoyu 王孝魚, 4 vols. (Bejing: Zhonghua shuju, 1981, ²1984), vol. 1, p. 156.

六經之言,在涵畜中獻識心通。9

In [a reader's life of] being nourished and fed, the words of the Six Canons are an offering to know the pervasiveness of the heart.

In a recent article presenting a bird's-eye view of the history of Chinese commentarial literature, Chen Shaoming 陳少明 has called Lu Xiang-shan's *"liu jing zhu wo"* formula "the scholarly turning-point in the exegesis of the Chinese canon."¹⁰ Although Lu Xiangshan certainly represents the apogee of that kind of thinking, Tang Yongtong 湯用彤 manages to trace it back to the thought of Wang Bi 王弼 (226-249),¹¹ while critics like Luo Qinshun 羅欽順 (1465-1547) of the Ming 明 accuse Lu Xiangshan of actually having committed a crime with it,¹² and Chen Jian 陳建 (1497-1567) of the same epoch slanders the philosopher Chen Bosha 陳白沙 (1428-1500), revered at the time, for his whole-hearted adherence to the *"liu jing zhu wo"* credo.

John B. Henderson claims that Lu Xiangshan's remark is "the most notable challenge to the authority of the canon" and states – I think wrongly – that it was "the moral mind," or "the innate moral consciousness, not the classics or even the teachings of the ancient sages," which were to be identified as "the primary locus of the Confucian Way."¹³ But this strict opposition between, on the one hand, an authoritative canon, and, on the other, an independent moral consciousness cannot be the decisive clue to what Lu had in mind. The question being put here is, instead: what is it that makes the canon in all its authority authoritative? Lu Xiangshan, being

- 9 Vol. 1, p. 143.
- 10 Chen Shaoming 陳少明, "Liu jing zhu wo: Jingxue de jieshi xuezhuan xi 六經注 我:經學的解釋學轉析," *Zhexue yanjiu* 哲學研究 8 (1993), pp. 48-53, 78.
- 11 Tang Yongtong, "Yan yi zhi bian 言意之辨," Tang Yongtong xueshu lunwenji 湯 用形學術論文集 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1983), p. 214.
- 12 For a longer polemic of Luo Qinshun against Lu Xiangshan see Kun zhi ji 困知記, part 2, No. 41 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1990), pp. 34-37; tr. by Irene Bloom, Knowledge Painfully Acquired (New York: Columbia UP, 1987), pp. 136-143; see also Yu Yingshi 余英時, Zhongguo chuantong sixiang de xiandai quanshi 中國傳統思想的現代詮釋 (Jiangsu Renmin chubanshe, 1992), pp. 189-190.
- 13 John B. Henderson, Scripture, Canon, and Commentary: A Comparison of Confucian and Western Exegesis (Princeton UP, 1991), p. 60.

a xinxue $\[mu]{} \product \produt \product \product \product \product \product \product \pr$

Narratio

This "turning-point" in the history of the philosophy of exegesis in China initiated by Lu Xiangshan proves also to be crucial for the work of Lin Xiyi 林希逸 (ca. 1210 – ca. 1273).¹⁵ The remarks which follow in this paper are intended as a very limited case-study of the preface to his commentary on the *Zhuangzi* 莊子, the *Zhuangzi* kouyi fati 莊子口義發題.¹⁶

- 14 This term, refering to exegesis and philosophy as a practice of life in late antiquity and early Christianity, is Pierre Hadot's. See his book *Exercices spirituels et philosophie antique* (Paris: Études Augustiennes, 1981, ²1987).
- 15 For a debate on Lu Xiangshan by Lin Xiyi see the first section of the last of his "Xueji 學記," "Scholarly Notes," in *Zhuxi Juanzhai xuji* 竹溪鬳齋續集, *Siku quanshu zhenben*四庫全書珍本, second part *(er ji* 二集), 3 vols. [No. 314-316], vol. 3 [=316], *juan* 卷 30, pp. 1a-3b. The topic of the debate is "governing the public," *zhu gong* 主公. - According to the *Guangyun* 廣韻, the regular reading of the character 鬳 is "Yan." Liu Ts'un-yan 柳存仁 in all of his entries on Lin Xiyi in *A Sung Bibliography*, initiated by Étienne Balázs, ed. by Yves Hervouet (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University, 1978), reads it as "Juan," which is also possible. For convenience, I retain Prof. Liu's transcription.
- 16 Liu Ts'un-yan 柳存仁, entry "Nan-hua chen-ching k'ou-i 南華眞經口義," A Sung Bibliography, initiated by Étienne Balázs, ed. by Yves Hervouet (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University, 1978), pp. 367-368, fails to give any date for the commentary. Christoph Harbsmeier, An Annotated Anthology of Comments on Zhuangzi: Xiaoyaoyou (Han to Qing), Serica Osloensia, vol. 1 (Oslo: Department of East European and Oriental Studies, University of Oslo, 1992), p. 6, dates it to the year 1269, about four years before Lin's death, unfortunately without giving any reference for that date. In the Daozang 道藏 edition, a certain Xu Linjing 徐 霖景 refers to Lin Xiyi, in his epilogue (shuoba 說跋) to the postface (houxu 後

The text of Lin's preface has three sections, the longer middle section of which constitutes the critical, philosophical, and polemical core. The first section begins with a few dry particulars on Zhuangzi the person, somewhat in the style of modern identity cards. This is followed by an outline of the book's three-part structure and a discussion of the unity of meaning in the work as a whole, which Lin thinks was written in its entirety by one and the same author. The last section begins with a biographical note concerning Lin's literary studies in general and his *Zhuangzi* studies in particular, and then shows his reverence for his teacher, Chen Lexuan $\overline{R} \notin \overline{F}$ of the *Aixuan* $\overline{\Sigma} \overline{F}$ school of learning.¹⁷ The preface concludes with a short "tradition formula," or dedicational address.

The middle section is constructed around a core complex in which "five difficulties" of interpreting the *Zhuangzi* are discussed. This core is bracketed before and after by considerations of problems of orthodoxy and heterodoxy according to the standards of "we Ru" (吾儒). The front bracketing section makes a statement on the indispensability of the *Zhuangzi* in the Chinese scholarly tradition together with the offensive fact

序) of the Zhuangzi kouyi by Lin Jingde 林經德, as "Juan [Yan] weng 鬳翁," "old man Juan [Yan]," and states that Lin's Zhuangzi commentary had already been written by the time of his own epilogue. He finishes with the following words: "景定辛酉十一月已巳三衢徐霖景說跋," "Epilogue by Xu Linjing of Sangu, on the visi day of the eleventh month of the xinyou year, era Jingding," that is, 1261. At that time Lin Xiyi was in office as a sinong shaoging 司農少卿, a "Lesser Lord of Agricultural Supervision." Under the new emperor, Duzong 度 宗 (1264-1274), he became a zhongshu sheren 中書舍人, "Drafts Official of the Secretariat." In the above mentioned postface Lin Jingde has Lin Xiyi say that "after encountering troubles and being removed from office I have used this to give relief to my worries and to enliven my old age," tr. Harbsmeier, ibid., p. 6. If all of this information is true, then Lin must be referring to the last of his official appointments after his jinshi examination in 1235 and before about 1260, when he started his second official career. In that case, the Zhuangzi kouyi must have been written shortly before 1260, when Lin was out of office and an "old man" of about 50 years of age. - In the following, for "Daozang" the abbreviation "DZ" will be used throughout.

17 Aixuan 艾軒 was one of the style names (hao 號) of Lin Xiyi's great-grandfather, Lin Guangchao 林光朝 (1114-1178). He passed his jinshi 進士 examination in 1163. For his biography see Songshi 宋史 433, 3b-4a. For preliminary information on the Aixuan school see Shen Shanhong 沈善洪, ed., Huang Zongxi quanji 黃宗羲全集, vol. 4, Song Yuan xue'an 宋元學安, part 2 (Shanghai: Zhejiang Guji chubanshe, 1992), pp. 787-808.

HERMANN–JOSEF RÖLLICKE

that the Zhuangzi was, nevertheless, neither canonized by "we Ru" nor even given the status of a work of orthodoxy.¹⁸ The closing bracketing phrase takes up that topic again. It reveals Lin's own way of interpreting the Zhuangzi from the point of view of Chan practice, and denies the heterodoxy of the text as measured against the Ru notion of sagehood.

Propositio

From this general overview of the text and its form, one can see that the main thesis of the preface is that the "five difficulties" of understanding the *Zhuangzi* are embedded in considerations of just what it is that makes a canonical or orthodox scripture canonical or orthodox. My own thesis is twofold. On the one hand, Lin Xiyi's preface pays duty to what could be called "the guiding phrase on heterodoxy" in the *Lunyu*. That phrase says:

攻乎異端,斯害也已[矣]。19

Those who specialize professionally on a heterodox standpoint have just by doing so already damaged it.

On the other hand, Lin Xiyi fervently defends certain guiding principles of the *Zhuangzi* and of Buddha taken together that his *Ru* colleagues had, according to the above mentioned *Lunyu* phrase, termed "heterodox."

Let me therefore first present the core of the preface, the contents of the "five difficulties." It begins with an introductory remark in which Lin takes Cheng Yi as his measure:

伊川曰: '佛書如淫聲美色,易以惑人。'蓋以其語震動而見易搖 也。況此書。

- 18 The Zhuangzi was entitled Nanhua zhenjing 南華眞經 by imperial edict in 742. By the time of Wang Anshi 王安石 (1021-1086) it was still part of the curriculum of the state examinations. Lin, however, wrote his commentary not to a "Nanhua zhenjing," but to a "Zhuangzi." The DZ title Zhuangzi kouyi accords with the title taken over by Zhang Siwei 張四維 in his Zhuangzi Juanzhai kouyi buzhu 莊子膚 齋口義補注. A collated edition (jiaokan 校刊) of that work made by He Rucheng 何汝成, dated 1577, is kept in the National Central Library in Taibei and reproduced in Yan Lingfeng's Zhuangzi jicheng chubian edition, vol. 9.
- 19 Cheng Shude 程樹德, Lunyu jishi 論語集釋 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1990), pp. 104.

792

所言仁義性命之類,字義皆與吾書不同,一難也。 其意欲與吾夫子爭衡,故其言多過當,二難也。 鄙略中下之人,如佛書所謂'爲最上乘者說',故其言每每過高, 三難也。 又其筆端鼓舞變化,皆不可以尋常文字蹊徑求之,四難也。

況語脈機鋒多如禪家頓宗所謂劍刃上事,吾儒書中未嘗有此,五難 也。

Yichuan [i.e. Cheng Yi] says, 'Buddhist scriptures are like 'the excessive sounds [of the Zhanguo states Zheng 鄭 and Wei 衛] and the enticing beauty [of young girls or boys]' – people can be easily misled by them.' That's because with their words they shake and move [the people] and with their opinions make them liable to waver. How much more so does this book [the *Zhuangzi*] do that. Concerning that which he [Zhuangzi] tells about love of mankind, righteousness, and the innate specific decree of life, the meaning of the characters used (仁, 義, 性命) does not coincide with [the meaning of the same characters in] our [the *Ru*-ist] scriptures. This is the first difficulty.²⁰

What he [Zhuangzi] has on his mind is to contend for authority with our master [Kongzi]. Therefore his words will often miss the proper mark. This is the second difficulty.

Because he disparages mediocre and mean people – as is also the case in what the Buddhist scriptures call 'teachings for practitioners of the Highest Vehicle' – his words time and again rise up to soaring heights. This is the third difficulty.

Furthermore, the tip of his brush drums and dances and alters and changes [so much that] you can never catch him by searching for the paths and ways of ordinary characters. This is the fourth difficulty.

And, finally: The pulse of his rhetoric and his hooked shrewdness often comes close to what the Chan school of the sudden [awakening] calls 'bringing something forth with a double-edged sword.' Nothing of the kind has ever occured in the scriptures of we Ru. This is the fifth difficulty.

Just as each single phrase of the whole preface is enciphered and coded, so are these six sentences, i.e. the introductory remark and the "five difficulties." Although they *can* be read simply as they stand, their full

20 Similarly, Lin says in the preface to the Laozi kouyi 老子口義, DZ, No. 701, fasc. 389, 3/2:a-b: "The meaning of the words jen (kindness), i (righteousness) and li (propriety) as understood by Lao-tzu is different from that offered by Confucius or Mencius. We can understand them only in the given context. If we adhere to the proper etymology of these words, we would be at a loss." And, commenting on the first two lines of Laozi 48, "The meaning of these lines cannot be discussed in terms of Confucian books." (1/21:a) Tr. by Liu Ts'un-yan 柳存 仁, entry "Tao-te chen-ching k'ou-i 道德眞經口義," A Sung Bibliography, p. 361.

HERMANN-JOSEF RÖLLICKE

flavour and bouquet only issue once the reader has deciphered their clues. Deciphering their clues will also be part of the confirmation of my thesis. In the following I shall only devote special attention to those phrases of this text, that bear on the validity of the thesis presented at the beginning of this section.

Confutatio

1. "The guiding phrase on heterodoxy" in the Lunyu

As will be shown in the following lines, the Cheng Yi sentence quoted by Lin originates from Cheng's *Lunyu* commentary. But the phrase is absent in the surviving text of his *Lunyu jie* 論語解.²¹ The only source I can find for it is the *jizhu* 集注 commentary on the *Lunyu* by Zhu Xi 朱熹 (1130–1200). But Zhu Xi quotes the phrase as saying:

學者當如淫聲美色以遠之。22

Scholars should handle [the Buddhist scriptures] like 'the excessive sounds [of the Zhanguo states Zheng 鄭 and Wei 衛] and the enticing beauty [of young girls or boys]' - they [should] keep people at a distance from them.

The wording here is obviously not quite the same as that used by Lin Xiyi. There are good reasons for assuming that Lin is quoting the sentence from memory. His teacher, Chen Lexuan, was highly celebrated for his *Lunyu* commentary – now lost, of which Lin must have had a thorough knowledge. There certainly was a subtle aura of reading the *Lunyu* between the two masters, so the both of them must have had "all the characters in their veins," as Lin himself puts it later in the preface.²³ It is also highly probable that it was through Zhu Xi's text that Lin knew the phrase of Cheng Yi. Since the appearance of Zhu Xi's *Lunyu jizhu* edition that sentence must have been an indispensable marker for everybody later commenting on this pericope of the *Lunyu*.

- 21 See Er Cheng ji 二程集, ed. Wang Xiaoyu 王孝魚, 4 vols. (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1981, ²1984), vol. 4, p. 1135.
- 22 Sishu zhangju jizhu 四書章句集注 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1983, ²1986), p. 57.
- 23 For the master-teacher relationship see Zhuangzi kouyi, DZ 10/14:b-15:a.

794

ORTHODOXY AND HETERODOXY

But so far we have no concrete idea how such a maxim might have resounded in a discussion that is mainly a struggle for, or against, orthodoxy and heterodoxy. That subsoil only becomes active once we take into account the specific *Lunyu* phrase that Cheng Yi is commenting upon here, and for which Zhu Xi has singled out a particular historical line of exegesis. The phrase, already quoted above, is to be found in the second chapter, "Wei zheng 爲政:"

子曰: 攻乎異端, 斯害也已[矣]。24

It is by following Zhu Xis 朱熹 (1130-1200) interpretation, that this line can be translated as:

The master said: 'Those who specialize professionally on a heterodox standpoint have just by doing so already damaged it.'

Since the time of Fan Ning 范甯 *(fl.* during the Jin 晉 [265-315])²⁵ and his *Lunyu zhu* 論語注, with an excerpt from which Zhu Xi opens his selection of commentaries on the *Lunyu* passage quoted above, this sentence had become one of the major weapons to be used against heterodoxy, no matter which orthopractical confession one adhered to. Since that time the *"yiduan"* of the *Lunyu* could be read, aggressively, as meaning not simply "different," but "heterodox standpoints."

Two more elements in the history of exegesis of the *Lunyu* passage are important to Lin's discussion of *Zhuangzi* interpretation. The first is the introduction by, once again, Fan Ning of an anachronistic solution to the question of who or what could be identified as "heterodox" in the *Lunyu*. He decided on Yang Zhu und Mo Di, neither of whom had yet been born in the time of Confucius.²⁶ This decision can be seen to already be the product of a particular orthopractice, one in which the *Lunyu* is read

- 24 Cheng Shude 程樹德, Lunyu jishi 論語集釋 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1990), pp. 104-110. For textual criticism of the phrase, see pp. 104-106.
- 25 For his biography see Jinshu 晉書, juan 卷 75, "Liezhuan 列傳," juan 45 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1974), vol. 7, pp. 1984–1989; Bona 百衲 edition (Shanghai: Shangwu yinshuguan, 1930–37), vol. 7, pp. 5478:b-5480:a; and Lianshe gaoxian zhuan 蓮社高賢傳, in Han Wei congshu 漢魏叢書, Baibu congshu 百部叢書 edition, han 函 1, fasc. 9, pp. 37:b-38:a.
- 26 The argument against this anachronism comes from Cheng Shude, p. 105.

through the *Mengzi*, because it is in the *Mengzi* that Yang Zhu and Mo Di are most severely criticized. It is because of this identification of the *"yiduan"* of the *Lunyu* with Yang and Mo that Zhu Xi quotes the Fan Ning commentary here.

The second element is the superimposition by the Tang scholar Han Yu 韓愈 (768-824) of his own proscription, one all the more anachronistic, on that of Fan Ning. Han Yu decides that Laozi and the Buddha also belong to the realm of heterodoxy.²⁷ The Han Yu commentary on the *Lun*yu is in fact one of the main sources from which Cheng Yi has inherited his anti-Buddhist impetus. Charles Hartman argues that Cheng Yi had access to at least one of Han Yu's two *Lunyu* commentaries, namely the *Lunyu bijie* 論語筆解, through an edition of the text edited by Xu Bo 許 勃 (978-1047), now held in the Library of the Palace Museum in Taibei.²⁸

With this background, let us now read the complete Cheng Yi quotation as given by Zhu Xi. Here we shall see that Lin Xiyi's own quotation is very much to the point:

佛氏之言,比之楊墨,尤爲近理,所以其害爲尤甚。學者當如淫聲 美色以遠之,不爾,則駸駸然入於其中矣。

Since the words of the Buddha, compared with those of Yang Zhu and Mo Di, come closer to the inner and hidden structure of the order of the world, the damage they do is all the greater. Scholars should handle [the Buddhist scriptures] like 'the excessive sounds [of the Zhanguo states Zheng **\$\$** and Wei **\$\$\$** and the enticing beauty [of young girls or boys]' – they [should] keep people at a distance from them. If they fail, in no time they will find themselves in their very midst.

Now compare Lin Xiyi's remark:

Cheng Yi says, 'Buddhist scriptures are like 'the excessive sounds [of the Zhanguo states Zheng 鄭 and Wei 衛] and the enticing beauty [of young girls or boys]' - people can be easily misled by them.'

There is an ascription of heterodoxy which had been pursued along the orthopractical lines of *Lunyu* interpretation from the 4th through the 12th centuries, by Fan Ning, Han Yu, Cheng Yi, and Zhu Xi. They are plaintifs

- 27 See Cheng Shude, p. 105.
- 28 Charles Hartman, Han Yu and the T'ang Search for Unity (Princeton UP, 1986), p. 340.

ORTHODOXY AND HETERODOXY

for "*jiang ming* 講明," "clear, straight-forward talk," as Lin Xiyi himself puts it.²⁹ But what they feel to be a secure means of canon building is precisely what *a priori* prevents them from understanding the *Zhuangzi*. Further on in the preface, and still with Cheng Yi on his mind, Lin says:

眼未明, 強生意見。非以異端邪說鄙之, 必為其所恐動。或資以誕放, 或流而空虛, 則伊川'淫聲美色'之喻誠不可不懼。

As long as the [exegetes'] eyes are not yet clear, [they] produce forced opinions. They cannot but denigrate [the *Zhuangzi*] as 'a heterodox standpoint and an erroneous teaching,' because otherwise they will inevitably feel terrified and tormented by it. As some make use of it for bragging and boasting, and others for drifting away into empty talk, Yichuan's comparison with 'the excessive sounds and the enticing beauty' is truly to be taken most seriously.

2. Lin Xiyi's Ru-ist defence of the heterodoxies of Zhuangzi and Buddha

Having concluded from his statements of the "five difficulties" that exegetes of the *Zhuangzi* should possess – as *gradus ad Parnassum* – "a refined knowledge of the *Lunyu*, the *Mengzi*, the *Zhongyong*, and the *Daxue*," i.e. those books "declared to be orthodox" only one generation before,³⁰ Lin plays a marvellous rhetorical game with three characters all meaning something like "streaks and grains;" namely *li* \mathbb{H} , which I just translated by "the inner and hidden structure of the order of the world," *wen* χ , the "lines" of scripture, and *mai* IK, "the pulse." He writes:

是必(...) 見理素,定識文字血脈,知禪宗解數。具此眼目,而後 知其言意一一有所歸著。

So exegetes must (...) by watching the streaks and grains of the raw and uncarved *li* (the simplicity of the hidden mystery of the world) determine the [touchable] marks of the pulse beat of [the *Zhuangzi's*] characters, and com-

- 29 See Zhuangzi kouyi, DZ 7/2:b².
- 30 Zhu Xis commentaries on the Mengzi and the Lunyu "were declared to be orthodox," as Guy Alitto puts it, in 1212, and those on the Daxue and the Zhong-yong in 1227; see his "Orthodoxie in der chinesischen Kultur," Kulturen der Achsenzeit II, Ihre institutionelle Dynamik, Teil 1, China, Japan, ed. by S. N. Eisenstadt (Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp Taschenbuch Wissenschaft 930, 1992), p. 173, n. 29.

prehend the tricks and arts of the Chan school. Only if they have a good eye for this can they also understand what the words and the mind [of the *Zhuangzi*] one at a time bring home and unveil.

A scripture like the *Zhuangzi* is thus no mere analytical object of interpretational desire for Lin. This is despite the fact that the first and the second "difficulties" can, as Prof Liu Ts'un-yan has put it,³¹ also be read as a sort of historical "textual criticism."³² Instead, the text of scriptures such as the *Zhuangzi*, which carry in them "a great guiding thread" (*da gangling* 大綱領) and "a great pointer back to the source" (*da zong zhi* 大 宗 旨), is nothing but the touchable pulse beat and the solid body for diagnosis of the heart, which is the origin itself. The task of books like the *Zhuangzi* would be to make that "source" in the heart of the reader accessible. This is not only the core of Lin's idea of scholarship but in the last resort also that of Cheng Yi's, although it is plain that Lin is not going to follow Cheng's harsh condemnation of Buddhism.

In his preface, Lin Xiyi expresses great admiration not only for "the Chan eye" of exegesis – it comes last and is ranked highest in the order of

- 31 Reflecting on Lin's third Daoist commentary, the Liezi kouyi 列子口義, Prof Liu says, "occasionally in the [Lieh-tzu] commentary, he [Lin] deals with the problem of verification or textual criticism. (...) 'For since the time of the Ch'in dynasty, many books have been lost and become obsolete, and appeared only after they were sought. They might be obtained at various times, and the number of books extant also varied, it was only after they were compared and collated that (the contents) could be fixed. Even during the time of collation confusion and errors would be made. By the period of the disaster in the central provinces during the reign of the Ssu-ma 司馬 family (i.e. the invasion of the Wu-hu 五胡 in the Chin dynasty), the books were scattered and dispersed again. Not until (the centre of activity) moved to Chiang-nan 江南 (i.e. south of the Yang-tzu River) did some of the books make their re-appearance, so there are many forged editions among them. Just as with Kuan Yin-tzu, (passages) where they are good they are indeed excellent, where there [sic: they] are disorderly there [sic: they] are extremely confused. The first few paragraphs in the first chapter of this book (i.e. Lieh-tzu) are very good, and there should be no doubt about its authenticity, whereas the middle part may be subjected to some additions and interpolations by other hands, yet the discrepancy between the refinement and coarseness in the style should easily be detected.' (12b-13a). Such verification adds to the value of the commentary." Liu Ts'un-yan 柳存仁, entry "Ch'ung-hsü chih-te chen-ching Chüan-chai k'ou-i 沖虛至德眞經鬳齋口義," A Sung Bibliography, p. 364.
- 32 Reminding us perhaps of one of the great turning-points in the history of Western exegesis, initiated by Richard Simon and Baruch Spinoza.

three preliminaries to the interpretation of the Zhuangzi -, but, more broadly, for all "those who practice the zui shang cheng 最上乘," "the Highest Vehicle." Since it is not an exegetical and pedagogical measure of Lin's Ru colleagues to turn up their noses rhetorically at those who are mean and mediocre, they have their troubles with the Zhuangzi and practitioners of "the Highest Vehicle." Being himself a Ru, Lin cannot but point to that problem. But at the same time he makes himself a champion for non-Ru-ist scriptures such as the Zhuangzi and the Buddhist canon. Among all of Lin's commentaries, only those on the Laozi, the Zhuangzi, and the Liezi have survived to enter the tradition and become in some degree authoritative themselves. By the time the Siku quanshu edition was prepared (1773-1782) all the rest of his commentaries had already perished.

In general, Lin credits the Buddhists with having made it obvious that the *Zhuangzi* had always had the potential of equalling "our *Ru* teachings." Not that the Buddhists were logically or pedagogically necessary for realizing that task – their teachings are even, as Buddha says himself in the *Lotos Sûtra*, "false"³³ and a "lie" – but they were the ones to have unveiled the superiority of that book. In one case Lin quotes his "former master," i.e. Chen Zao $\overline{\mu}$, as having said:

佛書最好證吾書證,則易曉也。34

It will be most advantageous to let the Buddhist books prove our own [i.e. the Ru-ist] books' proofs; then they are easy to understand.

One of the Chan roots of that kind of exegesis can be found in a scripture purportedly written by Hongren 弘忍 (601-674), the "fifth patriarch" of Chan, the *Zui shang cheng lun* 最上乘論,³⁵ a copy of which was found among the Dunhuang manuscripts.³⁶ Zongmi 宗密 (780-841), the "fifth patriarch" of Huayan 華嚴, distinguished five forms of Chan. One of

33 For the "falseness" of the Buddhist books see Zhuangzi kouyi, DZ 7/2:b⁵.

- 35 T. 2011, vol. 48.
- 36 Unfortunately, this scripture is now split up into eight portions (London, Stein-No. 2669, 3558, 4064; Paris, Pelliot-No. 3434, 3559; Beijing 宇 4; two fragments in Japan, Ryûko daigaku 龍古大學). For basic information see *Foguang da cidian* 佛光大辭典 (Taibei: Shumu wenxian, ⁵1989), vol. 6, p. 5042:a-c.

³⁴ DZ 11/11:a.

these, which Zongmi traces back to Bodhidharma, was entitled by him "zui shang cheng chan 最上乘禪," "the Chan of the 'Highest Vehicle.'" Zongmi's description comes very close to what Hongren writes as a student of master Daoxin 道信 (580-651).³⁷ In this form of Chan, all practice is devoted to the fulfillment of the originally pure heart that wakes to the innate state of birth, to the effortlessness and untroubledness of the beginning of all things, to the all-embracing modesty of the Self, and to the non-difference of the "Buddha" and the "heart." As long as the interpretation of scriptures does not do service to the depth and acuteness of these roots, it will be fruitless and of no use.

But not only Chan practised its kind of "Highest Vehicle." It is in the "Yaocao yu 藥草喻 chapter of the *Lotos Sûtra* where Buddha says in a gâtha:

若諸菩薩|智慧堅固|了達三界|求最上乘|是名小樹|而得增長。38

If all those Bodhisattvas / Who are firm in their wisdom / Understand thoroughly the threefold world / And strive for the 'Highest Vehicle,' / They are called 'Small Trees' / That would still have to grow.³⁹

- 37 Liu Ts'un-yan 柳存仁, entry "Nan-hua chen-ching k'ou-i 南華眞經口義," A Sung Bibliography, p. 367, notes, that Lin throughout the commentary explicitly cites the Chan masters Huineng 慧能 (638-713) (DZ 14/23:a), Zhaozhou Cong-shen 趙州從諗 (778-897) (DZ 8/4:b, 9/3:b, 13/15:a, 25/28:b, 31/11:a), Pang Yun jushi 龐蘊居士 (fl. ca 810) (DZ 13/21:a, 24/16:b) and Dahui Zonggao 大慧 宗杲 (1089-1163) (DZ 18/20:b, 31/23:a), and that he quotes the Buddhists sûtras Vimalakîrti-nirdesa sûtra (DZ 10/1:a-b, 23/2:b), Šûrangama sûtra (DZ 14/11:a, 16/8:b), Yuanjue jing 圓覺經 (DZ 23/8:b, 23/10:a, 23/20:b, 31/23:a), and the Vajracchedikâ-prajñâpâramitâ sûtra (DZ 7/14:b, 9/14:a, 24/12:a).
- 38 T. 262, vol. 9, p. 20:b. Compare Daosheng's 道生 (ca. 360-434) Commentary on the Lotos Sûtra, where he explains "the Great Vehicle" to mean "covering like trees," with "Small Trees" those residing on the seventh bhûmi, while from the eighth stage onward they are called "Great Trees." See Kim Young-he, A Study and Translation: Tao-sheng's Commentary on the Lotus Sûtra (Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York Press, 1990), p. 245.
- 39 This phrase is part of the overall allegoresis, using yaocao 藥草 ("medicinal herbs") parables, of this chapter.

Confirmatio

Analysis of the scholastic and literary encodings of the preface shows that Lin Xiyi is indeed bound by orthopractical constraints that had taken shape in the tradition of "we Ru." They are, in this case, centred around a particular tradition of exegesis of that notorious *Lunyu* phrase, which had on its way from Fan Ning to Zhu Xi become one of the foremost Ru-ist "guiding phrases on heterodoxy." Lin Xiyi was therefore impelled to show in how far Zhuangzi and Buddha, even though they "specialized professionally on a heterodox standpoint," had in no way thereby "already damaged" their own teachings. It even proved possible in this paper to demonstrate that the gist of Zhuangzi's and the Buddha's teachings, the heterodoxy of which Lin Xiyi conclusively denies, was indeed capable of "proving the proofs" of the Ru-ist's books.

Peroratio

In concluding at this point, let me stress that Lin Xiyi's Zhuangzi commentary is a piece that endeavours to reveal the "scripture of the heart," and not the scripture of the surface text. In sounding out the scriptures like a physician or a medical therapist, Lin is indeed a protagonist of "the scholarly turning-point in the exegesis of the Chinese canon." However, being himself a Ru, he finds his sources not so much in xinxue philosophies like that of Lu Xiangshan, but in the "pure heart" speculations of Chan and Huayan exegetic exercises.

Extending Lu Xiangshan's "*liu jing zhu wo*" formula, "The six canonical books are commentaries on the 'I'," not only to the *Zhuangzi*, but even to Lin's commentary itself, Lin Jingde 林經德, writing not long after Lin Xiyi's death, states in a postface to the *Zhuangzi kouyi*:

必以竹溪爲知我者也。40

[We] must necessarily take Zhuxi [Lin Xiyi] as the one who understood the 'I'.

40 DZ, fasc. 494, Nanhua zhenjing houxu 南華眞經後序, p. 2:b3.

HERMANN-JOSEF RÖLLICKE

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND ABBREVIATIONS

DZ - Kristofer M. Schipper, ed. Concordance du Tao-tsang. Publications de l'École Française d'Extrême Orient. Bd. CII. Paris: École Française d'Extrême Orient, 1975.

LAO LIE ZHUANG - Yan Lingfeng 嚴靈峰, ed. Wuqiu beizhai Lao Lie Zhuang sanzi jicheng bubian 無求備齋老列莊三子集成補編. Taibei: Yiwen yinshuguan, 1987.

SBCK - Sibu congkan 四部叢刊. Ed. Zhang Yuanji 張元濟 (1867-1959). Shanghai: Shangwu yinshuguan, 1919-1920.

SKQS - Siku quanshu zongmu (tiyao)四庫全書總目(提要). Ed. Ji Yun 紀的 (1724-1805). Shanghai: Shangwu yinshuguan, 1934.

Works by Lin Xiyi

Chongxu zhide zhenjing Juanzhai kouyi 沖虛至德眞經鬳齋口義. 8 juan 卷. DZ No. 729, fasc. 455-456. – DZ juyao 舉要, 3. – Juanzhai Liezi kouyi 鬳齋列子口義. 8 juan 卷. Juanzhai sanzi kouyi 三子口義. – Liezi Juanzhai kouyi dianjiao 列子鬳齋 口義點校. Japanese print of the Manji 萬治-Ära (1658-1661).

Chunqiu sanzhuan zheng fu lun 春秋三傳正附論. In cooperation with Chen Zao 陳藻. Lost. - See last entry in the *"Chunqiu* 春秋" section of the *Songshi* 宋史, Yiwen zhi 藝文志," 15/202/5066.

Daode zhenjing kouyi 道德眞經口義. 4 juan 卷. DZ, No. 701, fasc. 389. - Yan Lingfeng 嚴靈峰, ed. Wuqiu beizhai Laozi jicheng chubian 無求備齋老子集成初編. Taibei: Yiwen yinshuguan, 1965. - DZ juyao 舉要, 1. - Juanzhai Laozi kouyi 鷹 齋老子口義. 2 juan 卷. Juanzhai sanzi kouyi 三子口義. - Ed. Cheng Zhaoxin 程 兆 莘, Daode zhenjing kouyi jiao 道德眞經口義校, Ming print, 1586. LAO LIE ZHUANG. - Laozi Juanzhai kouyi 老子鬳齋口義. Japanese print of the Keichô 慶長 era (1596-1611). LAO LIE ZHUANG.

Houcun ji xu 後村集序. 1273. Preface to an anthology of ci 辭 poetry by Liu Kezhuang 劉克莊 (1187-1269). SKQS zhenben 珍本. - Houcun xiansheng daquan ji 後 村先生大全集. 196 juan 卷. Hanfen lou 涵芬樓. Ciyan tang chaoben 賜硯堂鈔 本. - 50 juan 卷. SBCK.

Juanzhai ji 鬳齋集. 60 juan 卷. Already lost before the time the SKQS edition was made (1773).

Juanzhai xuji 鬳齋續集. 30 juan 卷. Preface by Lin Tong 林同 (?-1276). 1270. SKQS zhenben 珍本. See SKQS tiyao 164, 8a.

Juanzhai Kaogong ji jie 鬳齋考工記解. 2 juan 卷. SKQS zhenben 珍本. See SKQS tiyao 19, 4b.

Nanhua zhenjing kouyi 南華眞經口義. Before 1260. 32 juan 卷. DZ, No. 735, fasc. 488-494.

Yan Can Shiji xu 嚴粲詩緝序. SKQS, vol 75. - I am especially indebted to Dr. Achim Mittag, Bielefeld, for directing my attention to this edition.

Works on Lin Xiyi

Chang Bide 昌彼德. Songren zhuanji ziliao suoyin 宋人傳記資料索引. Taibei: Dingwen chubanshe, 1974. Vol. 2, pp. 1387-1388.

Ching, Julia, ed. Huang Zongxi: Mingru xue'an, The Records of Ming Scholars. Hawaii, 1987. – See Huang Zongxi and Shen Shanhong.

Chu Boxiu 褚伯秀 (ca. 1230 - after 1278). Nanhua zhenjing yihai zuanwei 南華眞經 義海纂微. DZ, No. 734, fasc. 467-487. - DZ juyao 舉要, 2. - Commentary of the jizhu 集注 genre with excerpts from Lin's Zhuangzi commentary.

Cui Shu 崔述 (1740-1816). Zhusi kaoxin lu 洙 泗考信錄. Vol. 4. Cui Dongbi xian-sheng yishu 崔東壁先生遺書.

Hu Shi 胡適 (1891-1962). Hu Shi lunxue jinzhu 胡適論學近著. First series, 第一集. Shanghai: Shangwu yinshuguan, 1935. Also in Minguo congshu, vol. 96. - Hu Shi wencun 胡適文存. Taibei. Vol. 4. Minguo congshu, vol. 94.

Hu Yujin 胡玉縉, Wang Xinfu 王欣夫. Siku quanshu zongmu tiyao buzheng 四庫全書總目提要補正. Beijing, 1964. Entry 42, 1152-1153.

Huang Zongxi 黃宗羲 (1610-1695). Song Yuan xue'an 宋元學案. - See Shen Shanhong 沈善洪.

-. Ming ru xue'an 明儒學案. Ed. Shen Zhiying 沈芝盈. Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1985. - See Julia Ching.

Lin Yizhi 林亦之 (13. cent.). Gangshan ji 綱山集. SKQS zhenben 珍本. See SKQS tiyao 159, 4b. - See also Zhuangzi kouyi 32, 29b⁷⁻⁸.

Liu Ts'un-yan 柳存仁. "Daozang ben Wuzhen pian sanzhu bianwu 道藏本悟眞篇 三主辨誤." Dongxi wenhua 東西文化 15 (1968), pp. 33-41.

-. Entries "Tao-te chen-ching k'ou-i 道德眞經□義," "Ch'ung-hsü chih-te chen-ching Chüan-chai k'ou-i 沖虛至德眞經鬳齋□義," "Nan-hua chen-ching k'ou-i 南華眞經□義." A Sung Bibliography. Init. by Étienne Balázs. Ed. v. Yves Hervouet. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University, 1978, pp. 361-362, 363-364, 367-368; 369, 429, 430.

Mo Youzhi 莫友芝 (1811-1871). Lüting zhijian chuanben shumu 郘亭知見傳本書目. Ed. Tanaka Keitarô 田中廋太郎. 1909. - Ed. Fu Zengxiang 傅增湘 (1872-?) After 1911. - Edition 1923 [n.d.].

Na Zhiliang 那志良. "Zhouli 'Kaogong ji' yuren tu shi 周禮考工記玉人圖釋." Huagang yishu xuebao [Hwakang Art Journal] 1 (1981), pp. 3-24.

-. "Gu yu xingzhi zhi yanjiu 古玉形制之研究." Huagang yishu xuebao [Hwakang Art Journal] 2 (1981), pp. 1-15.

Nan Song guange xulu 南宋館閣續錄. Ed. Wulin zhanggu congbian, vol. 10. Juan 卷7, p. 14:a; j. 8, p. 27:b; j. 9, p. 5:b.

Röllicke, Hermann-Josef. "Lin Xiyis Auslegung der Frage nach dem Guten (shan 善) in seinem Zhuangzi-Kommentar von 1260." Hôrin 4 (1997), pp. 1-29.

Shao Yichen 劭懿辰 (1810-1860), Shao Zhang 劭章 (20. cent.). Zengding Siku jianming mulu biaozhu 增訂四庫簡明目錄標注. Beijing, 1959. Entries: 14, 623; 42, 1153.

Shen Shanhong 沈善洪, ed. Huang Zongxi quanji 黃宗羲全集. Vol. 4. Song Yuan xue'an 宋元學安. Part 2. Shanghai: Zhejiang Guji chubanshe, 1992.

Siku quanshu zongmu (tiyao) 四庫全書總目 (提要). Ed. Ji Yun 紀昀 (1724-1805). Shanghai: Shangwu yinshuguan, 1934. – Reprint Taibei, 1971. Entries: I, 19, 372-373; III, 146, 3041-3042; IV, 159, 3335 (on Aixuan ji 艾軒集).

Tianlu linlang shumu 天錄琳琅書目. Ed. Yu Minzhong 于敏中 (1714-1780). Taibei: Shumu congbian 書目叢編, 1884. Reprint 1967. Entries: 8, 38b-39a; 11, 17a.

Tianlu linlang shumu houbian 天錄琳琅書目後編. Ed. Peng Yuanrui 彭元瑞 (1731-1803). Taibei: Shumu congbian 書目叢編, 1884. Reprint 1967.

Tuo Tuo 脫 脫. Songshi 宋史. Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1977. Entries: 3/45/883; 15/202/5066; 36/421/12592.

Wang Zhong 汪中 (1745-1816). "Laozi kao yi 老子考異." Shuxue buyi 述學補遺. SBCK, vol. 98, pp. 42:a-43:a.

Zhang Siwei 張四維. Zhuangzi Juanzhai kouyi buzhu 莊子鬳齋口義補注. Jiaokan 校刊 by He Rucheng 何汝成. 1577. Copy of the Guoli zhongyang tushuguan 國立中 央圖書館, Taibei. Published by Yan Lingfeng, ed., Zhuangzi jicheng chubian, vol. 9.