Zeitschrift: IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH

Kongressbericht

Band: 11 (1980)

Artikel: Summary of concluding remarks

Autor: Boe, C.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-11408

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

Download PDF: 02.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch



Summary of Concluding Remarks

Closing Address

C. BØE Dr. Eng. Det Norske Veritas Oslo, Norway

This session has brought forward several interesting and important papers on safety concepts. It is not fair I think to single out any particular papers. I shall therefore put forward two comments of general nature to the papers.

Firstly, several of the presentations have focused on the task of optimizing safety within economic constraints - or was it vice versa? Anyhow, I have noticed that economy has entered the equations as a kind of "total cost" concept. Furthermore, it has been treated as an investment proposition in a long term perspective. This puzzles me, because so many decisions regarding economy - also in relation to safety - are taken on the basis of cash-flow considerations. To me it is then a question of how realistic the equations for "minimizing total cost" really are, and subsequently how realistic are the models which have been presented in this context?

If the models and the basic reasoning do not match the daily life of the decision-maker or the businessman, we are on the wrong track.

Secondly, I have the impression that every contribution more or less touched on the subject of safety versus economy, treating the two as equal parameters. In my opinion this is not always true as I have already stated in my introductory general survey.

I think we have to fully recognize the fact that in the individual trade-off between safety and economy: safety comes last. It is a common business attitude - found also in public authorities - that safety is considered a limitation to business opportunities. Perhaps equally important is that safety is considered as a limitation to human initiative and freedom. To accept this fact of life, is very important in my opinion. Because if we don't, we shall not gain further ground in our quest for greater insight into the handling, analysis and acceptance of risks.

Having made these two points, I shall only refer to the many excellent papers you have heard today which certainly have given plenty of major topics to discuss.