Zeitschrift:	IABSE reports of the working commissions = Rapports des commissions de travail AIPC = IVBH Berichte der Arbeitskommissionen
Band:	10 (1971)
Artikel:	Summary report on theme IV
Autor:	Finzi, Leo
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-11178

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

Download PDF: 02.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Summary Report on Theme IV

Rapport sommaire au thème IV Zusammenfassender Bericht zum Thema IV

LEO FINZI Prof. Dott. Ing. Milano, Italia

In summarizing what as been told us by the Authors of the eleven well qualified papers presented on Mass-production in Bridge Engineering it seems to me that some points must be once more emphasized:

- a) Mass-production of bridges is the highest goal to be reached, but the field in which realistically at present it can be operating is the one of temporary bridges and of flyovers and overpasses. In this range Ciolina and Compagnion have shown us an impressive example of what can be done in the field.
- b) Kunert has underlined that mass-production of components results nevertheless to be a quite attractive and pro mising perspective as the scheme of bridges tends to simplify and the size of the cross section is manteined constant along the longitudinal axis. You have seen such a situation for instance of the Lillaebelt crossing de scribed by Ostenfeld and Haas.

In large span bridges the stayd type is particularly the one which offers such an opportunity because, at an end, it looks, from this point of view, as a multi-multibay bridge.

- c) Goble and Desantis and also Kunihiro pointed out that Mass-production asks for Design'standardisation and this leads to Automated design and drawing. This also offers the possibility of a systematic optimization. Okumura and Yonenaga have presented a very accurate analysis of the different technological and economical interacting factors: Automatic design and drawing, Factory lay-out, Costs. As what refer costs it would be very nice to compare them with the supposed live loads for the different applications in the various countries.
- d) Mass-production of bridges rise the problem of industrial ly produced decks either prefabbricated in prestressed concrete or in steel or in ductile cast-iron.
 Quite notable, particularly for the theoretical and experimental analysis, the proposals of Maeda, Suruga and Yamada as those of Daddi and Venanzi and those of Meada and Matsui.
- e) In Mass-produced structures the fasteners' problem is one of the most interesting and the Ghitman's contribution telling us about glued connections indicates us an attractive solution.

It is quite natural that the Reporters referred to their own experience in the field, speaking more of what was orgis done in the field than of what is going to be done in the future. And it is looking to the next decades that I call once more your attention on the elevated hig<u>h</u> ways, a solution to the traffic problems well in compliance also with the "Macrostructures" to which the new Architecture more and more refer.