

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 43 (1968)

Artikel: Foliations on Open Manifolds, I.
Autor: Phillips, Anthony
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-32917>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 29.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Foliations on Open Manifolds, I

by ANTHONY PHILLIPS (Berkeley)

1. Introduction

Let M be a smooth n -dimensional manifold, with tangent bundle TM . A smooth section in the bundle of p -planes of TM is called a p -plane field (also, “ p -dimensional distribution”) on M . A p -plane field σ gives a p -dimensional subbundle of TM , with fibre over $x \in M$ equal to $\sigma(x)$. This bundle will also be denoted by σ . Picking a Riemannian metric for M associates to σ a complementary $(n-p)$ -plane field $\sigma^\perp$: $\sigma^\perp(x)$ is the tangent subspace orthogonal to the p -plane $\sigma(x)$.

The p -plane field σ is called *integrable* if M has a smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}$ (see § 2 for this definition) such that at each $x \in M$ the p -plane $\sigma(x)$ is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. This is equivalent to saying that each $x \in M$ has a neighborhood U with coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ such that the tangent vectors $\partial/\partial x_1|_y, \dots, \partial/\partial x_p|_y$ span $\sigma(y)$ at each $y \in U$. There is a classical criterion for integrability of a p -plane σ , namely that σ be *involutive*. This means that if v and w are vectorfields contained in σ , i.e. such that $v(x) \in \sigma(x)$, $w(x) \in \sigma(x)$ at each point x , then their Poisson bracket $[v, w]$ is also contained in σ . It is easy to see that integrable implies involutive. The converse is FROBENIUS’ Theorem [4, Theorem 5.1].

From the point of view of differential topology it is natural to ask which p -plane fields are *homotopic* to integrable fields (see [1], p. 373). This article presents a partial answer to that question.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose M is open (i.e. has no compact components). A p -plane field σ on M , whose complementary bundle $\sigma^\perp$ is trivial, is homotopic to an integrable field.*

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose M is open, and n -dimensional. Every $(n-1)$ -plane field σ on M is homotopic to an integrable field.*

Remark. The hypothesis, that M be open, seems quite restrictive. For instance, in the case $n=3$ Theorem 1.2 for *compact* M and orientable σ has been proved by JOHN WOOD, a graduate student at Berkeley. On the other hand, it is easy to check that all the foliations constructed in this article are *analytic*, in the sense of [1], p. 368. In this respect, Theorem 1.2 should be compared with the theorem on p. 392 of [1]: if $\pi_1 M$ contains only elements of finite order, then M can carry an analytic foliation of co-dimension 1 only if M is open.

Proof of theorem 1.1. By assumption, the bundle $\sigma^\perp$ contains a field ξ of $(n-p)$ -frames. The theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem B of [3] which implies that, since M is open, ξ is homotopic to the gradient $(n-p)$ -frame sections

$\nabla F = (\nabla f_1, \dots, \nabla f_{n-p})$ of a submersion $F = (f_1, \dots, f_{n-p})$ of M in Euclidean space R^{n-p} . (A submersion $M^n \rightarrow W^k$ is a smooth map of rank k .) Taking orthogonal complements at each stage of the homotopy deforms σ to a p -plane field orthogonal to ∇F and therefore tangent to the foliation defined by the submanifolds $\{F = \text{constant}\}$.

Example $M = S^2 \times R$. Here every foliation is orientable. The manifold is parallelizable, so homotopy classes of nonzero vectorfields (and of their complementary 2-plane sections) correspond to homotopy classes of maps of M into S^2 , i.e. to elements of $\pi_2 S^2 = Z$. A foliation $\mathcal{F}_n$ which corresponds to the map of degree n can be obtained, for $n \geq 0$, by stacking the slices of foliations shown below (for $n < 0$, reverse orientation), as follows: $\mathcal{F}_0 = XY$, $\mathcal{F}_1 = XAX$, $\mathcal{F}_2 = XABY$, $\mathcal{F}_3 = XABAX$, etc. It should be clear how to interpolate the missing leaves, and how to fit the slices together to give coherently oriented foliations of $S^2 \times R$. Let us verify that $\mathcal{F}_n$ belongs to the correct homotopy class.

Imagine the stacking to be done vertically in R^3 . There is an X -slice on the bottom, then a sequence of A - and B -slices, and on top either a Y -slice or an upside-down X -slice, according as n is even or odd. To calculate the degree of the normal map associated to $\mathcal{F}_n$, it is clearly sufficient to calculate the degree of the map it induces




Fig. 1.1

on the S^2 imbedded as $S^2 \times \{0\}$ in $S^2 \times R$. This is well known to be equal to the number of inverse images of a regular value, each one counted plus or minus according as the map preserves or reverses orientation there. Choose as value the point corresponding in Fig. 1.1 to a horizontal arrow pointing to the right. The figure shows that this value is taken precisely once, and with positive orientation, on each A - or B -slice, and not at all on an X - or Y -slice; it follows that $\mathcal{F}_n$ has normal degree n , as claimed.

Outline of proof of theorem 1.2. If the line bundle $\sigma^\perp$ is orientable, this is a special case of the previous theorem. The following sections treat the case where $\sigma^\perp$ is not orientable. Let $f: M \rightarrow P^n$ be the classifying map for $\sigma^\perp$, suppose that f intersects $P^{n-1} \subset P^n$ transversally, and let the submanifold N be the inverse image of P^{n-1} . There is a foliation on P^n , studied in § 2, of which P^{n-1} is a leaf. The map f will pull back a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of an open tubular neighborhood U of N in M . It will be shown in § 3 that $\sigma^\perp$ is homotopic to a line field τ normal to $\mathcal{F}$ near N . Since f sends $M - N$ into the contractible set $P^n - P^{n-1}$ it follows that $\sigma^\perp|_{M-N}$ is trivial, so that the restriction of the homotopic field τ to $M - N$ contains a vectorfield η . The theorem is proved by showing that η is homotopic through non-zero vectorfields to the gradient of a submersion $g: M - N \rightarrow R$, by a homotopy leaving η fixed near N . This requires a relative form of the submersion classification theorem (§ 4). The foliation defined on $M - N$ by g matches $\mathcal{F}$ near N ; the two fit together to give a foliation of M with tangent field homotopic to σ , as required.

Part II of this article will apply these methods to foliations of co-dimension 2.

I am grateful to MORRIS HIRSCH for bringing this problem to my attention, and for several helpful conversations.

2. Definition of Foliation and an Important Example

Consider a smooth manifold M of dimension n . Let TM_y represent the tangent space to M at $y \in M$.

DEFINITION. (See [1] for a general reference on foliations.) A *smooth foliation* $\mathcal{F}$ of dimension p on M is given by a covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of M and maps $\varphi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow R^{n-p}$ satisfying 1) and 2).

1) φ_α is a submersion (i.e. has rank $n-p$). Then for each $x \in U$, $\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\varphi_\alpha(x))$ is a smooth p -dimensional submanifold of U .

2) If $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, then $\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\varphi_\alpha(x)) \cap U_\beta = \varphi_\beta^{-1}(\varphi_\beta(x)) \cap U_\alpha$.

The tangent space $T(\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\varphi_\alpha(x)))_x$ (the tangent space to the foliation at x) will be denoted by $T\mathcal{F}_x$; $T\mathcal{F}$ will then represent the p -dimensional subbundle of TM whose fibre over $x \in M$ is $T\mathcal{F}_x$. The functions φ_α are called the *distinguished functions* of the foliation.

The *leaf topology* on U_α comes from considering U_α as the disjoint union of the p -dimensional manifolds $\{\varphi_\alpha = \text{constant}\}$. Since these topologies coincide on overlaps they fit together to define the *leaf topology* on M . A connected component of M in this topology is called a *leaf* of the foliation.

Example 1. Let $S^n = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \sum x_i^2 = 1\}$. The function $p_n: S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by projection on the last coordinate axis, has rank one when restricted to $S^n - (0, \dots, 0, 1) - (0, \dots, 0, -1)$ and defines a foliation of S^n minus the poles by sheets of constant latitude. In this case *one* distinguished function defined the whole foliation. More generally, a submersion $\varphi: M^n \rightarrow W^{n-p}$ gives a p -dimensional foliation of M , with leaves the connected components of the submanifolds $\{\varphi = \text{constant}\}$. This is a special case (where $\mathcal{F}$ is the foliation by points) of the next example.

Example 2. Suppose W has a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension q , with distinguished functions $\{\varphi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q\}$. If M is a smooth manifold and $h: M \rightarrow W$ is transversal to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, then h pulls back $\mathcal{F}$ to give the foliation $h^*\mathcal{F}$ of M with distinguished functions $\{\varphi_\alpha \circ h: h^{-1}U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q\}$. In connection with this example there is the following useful result.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $T\mathcal{F}^\perp$ and $T(h^*\mathcal{F})^\perp$ be the normal q -plane bundles to $\mathcal{F}$ and $h^*\mathcal{F}$ respectively. Then $T(h^*\mathcal{F})^\perp = h^*(T\mathcal{F}^\perp)$, i.e. there is a bundle map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(h^*\mathcal{F})^\perp & \rightarrow & T\mathcal{F}^\perp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} & W. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $p: TW \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}^\perp$ be orthogonal projection. Composing p with the differential dh gives a map $p \circ dh$ whose kernel in TM_y is $T(h^*\mathcal{F})_y$, and thereby induces an isomorphism $TM_y/T(h^*\mathcal{F})_y \simeq T(h^*\mathcal{F})_y^\perp \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}_{h(y)}^\perp$, for each $y \in M$.

Example 3. This is the example referred to in the section heading. It will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Observe that the foliation of Example 1 is preserved by the antipodal map, and therefore defines a foliation (*the standard foliation*) of the punctured projective space $P^n - x$, where P^n is taken as S^n with antipodal points identified, and $x \in P^n$ corresponds to the poles. Let $\pi: S^n \rightarrow P^n$ be the projection. Since π is a local diffeomorphism, it follows that maps of the form $p_n \circ \pi^{-1}|_U$, for appropriate U , give a family of distinguished functions for the standard foliation. In particular, notice that π maps the open upper hemisphere diffeomorphically onto $P^n - P^{n-1}$ (here take $P^{n-1} \subset P^n$ as the image of the equatorial S^{n-1}); thus the submersion $\varphi_n = p_n \circ \pi^{-1}: P^n - P^{n-1} - x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determines the standard foliation on the complement of the leaf P^{n-1} .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\alpha \rightarrow P^n - x$ be the tangent line bundle normal to the standard foliation. Then α is equivalent to $\gamma_n^1|P^n - x$, where $\gamma_n^1 \rightarrow P^n$ is the canonical line bundle.*

Proof. The two bundles are equivalent over P^{n-1} , a deformation retract of $P^n - x$. In fact, $\alpha|P^{n-1}$ is the normal bundle of P^{n-1} in P^n , which is easily seen to be equivalent to $\gamma_{n-1}^1 = \gamma_n^1|P^{n-1}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The complementary line bundle $\sigma^\perp$ is equivalent to a bundle over a complex of dimension $\leq n-1$, since M is open (cf. Proposition 4.1), so there exists a bundle map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^\perp & \rightarrow & \gamma_n^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & P^n. \end{array}$$

In fact, one may assume that f misses a point in P^n and, using Lemma 2.2, that there is a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^\perp & \longrightarrow & \alpha \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & P^n - x. \end{array}$$

Finally, it may be assumed that f intersects $P^{n-1} \subset P^n$ transversally and, by Lemma 4.2, proved in § 4, that $N = f^{-1}P^{n-1}$ is an embedded manifold (of dimension $n-1$) with no compact components.

The manifold $P^n - x$ carries the “standard foliation” described in Example 3 of § 2. The intersection of f with a leaf sufficiently near P^{n-1} will also be transversal, so f pulls back (see Example 2 of § 2) a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of an open tubular neighborhood U of N . Let $\tau \rightarrow U$ be the field transverse to $\mathcal{F}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *The line field $\sigma^\perp|U$ is homotopic to τ as sections in the bundle of lines of TU , a bundle with fibre P^{n-1} .*

Proof. The two sections determine isomorphic bundles, since they are both mapped to α by bundle maps covering $f|U$. This is true for $\sigma^\perp$ by definition of f , and follows from Lemma 2.1 for τ .

The obstructions to a homotopy between them lie in $H^i(U; \pi_i P^{n-1})$. Since U is chosen to have N as deformation retract, and N has no compact components, it follows that U has no cohomology in dimensions n or $n-1$; so the only possible obstruction is in $H^1(U; \pi_1 P^{n-1}) = H^1(U; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. It is sufficient to show that the obstruction cocycle gives zero when evaluated on any 1-cycle A of U . Suppose that the sections have been deformed to match on the 0-skeleton; then the value of the obstruction cocycle on a 1-simplex Δ^1 of A is 1 or 0 according as the bundle over S^1 formed by $\sigma^\perp|_{\Delta^1}$ on the upper semicircle and $\tau|_{\Delta^1}$ on the lower is orientable or not; and the value of the obstruction cocycle on A will be 1 only if $\sigma^\perp|A$ is orientable and $\tau|A$ is not, or vice-versa, impossible if $\sigma^\perp|A$ and $\tau|A$ are isomorphic bundles.

Let U' be an open neighborhood of N , with closure contained in U . Then the restriction to U' of the homotopy between $\sigma^\perp|_U$ and τ may be extended to a homotopy deforming all of $\sigma^\perp$ to a new line field $\tilde{\tau}$ equal to τ on U' . The orthogonal $(n-1)$ -plane field $\tilde{\tau}^\perp$ is clearly homotopic to σ .

The next lemma allows one to consider, instead of $M-N$, a manifold $\hat{M}$ which is more convenient for submersion theory.

LEMMA 3.2. *There is an open manifold-with-boundary $\hat{M}$ and a smooth map $\psi: \hat{M} \rightarrow M$ which maps $\text{Int } \hat{M} = \hat{M} - \partial \hat{M}$ diffeomorphically onto $M-N$, and $\partial \hat{M}$ onto N as a double covering.*

Proof. $\hat{M}$ is constructed by cutting along N , as follows.

The construction may be repeated for each component of N , so suppose that N is connected. Let $v \rightarrow N$ be the normal bundle of the embedding, assume M to carry a Riemannian metric, and let W be an open neighborhood of N in the total space of v small enough to be mapped diffeomorphically into M by the exponential map $\exp$.

a) If v is trivial, orient v ; then let $W^+ = \{v \in W, v \geq 0\}$, $W^- = \{v \in W, v \leq 0\}$, and define $\hat{M}$ to be $M-N \cup \cup W^+ \cup \cup W^-$ ($\cup \cup =$ disjoint union) with the identification $v \equiv \exp(v)$ for $v \in W^+ \cup W^-$, $v \neq 0$.

b) If v is non-orientable, let $\tilde{W} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ be the orientable double cover, and $p: \tilde{W} \rightarrow W$ the projection. Then define $\hat{M}$ to be $M-N \cup \tilde{W}^+$ with the identification $v \equiv \exp(p(v))$ for $v \in \tilde{W}^+$, $v > 0$.

The natural map $\psi: \hat{M} \rightarrow M$ clearly has the required properties. Since N had no compact components, neither does $\partial \hat{M}$; since $\text{Int } \hat{M}$ is also an open manifold, it follows that $\hat{M}$ is an open manifold with boundary. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Now let $\hat{U} = \psi^{-1} U' \subset \hat{M}$, so $\hat{U}$ is an open neighborhood of $\partial \hat{M}$ in $\hat{M}$. The line field $\tilde{\tau}$ lifts up to a line field $\hat{\tau}$ on $\hat{M}$, which is orientable by construction of $\hat{M}$ (shrink $\hat{M}$ into $\text{Int } \hat{M}$; then $\hat{\tau}$ maps to the trivial bundle $\alpha|_{P^n - P^{n-1} - x}$). Let η be a non-zero vectorfield contained in $\hat{\tau}$. The restriction of $\hat{\tau}$ to $\hat{U}$ also contains the non-zero gradient $\nabla(\varphi_n \circ f \circ \psi)$, but the two orientations may or may not coincide. To remedy this, define a new submersion $F: \hat{U} \rightarrow R$ by $F(x) = \pm \varphi_n \circ f \circ \psi(x)$, plus or minus according as the two orientations do or do not agree on the connected component of $\hat{U}$ containing x .

Corollary 4.4 now applies. It follows that η is homotopic through non-zero vectorfields to the gradient of a submersion $g: \hat{M} \rightarrow R$ such that $g|_V = F|_V$, for some open neighborhood V of $\partial \hat{M}$. Moving back down to M , the submersion $g \circ \psi^{-1}: M-N \rightarrow R$ defines a foliation which clearly agrees with $\mathcal{F}$ on the overlap $\psi(V) \cap M-N$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed by the easy observation that the tangent field of this foliation is homotopic to $\tilde{\tau}^\perp$ and therefore to σ .

4. Two Lemmas on Open Manifolds

These lemmas both depend on the following result.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let M be an open (no compact components) manifold with (possibly empty) boundary ∂M . Give the pair $(M, \partial M)$ a smooth triangulation. Then M has an $(n-1)$ -dimensional subcomplex K containing ∂M , with the following property. Given an open tubular neighborhood M' of K , there is a homotopy of embeddings $\varphi_t: M \rightarrow M$ such that φ_0 is the identity, $\varphi_1(M) = M'$, and $\varphi_t(x) = x$ for x belonging to some neighborhood V of K and for all $t \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof. A combinatorial form of this statement is essentially contained in the proof of Theorem 3.2 of [5]. The differentiable form can then be derived by the methods used in [2], Theorem 3.7.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let M be an open manifold, and let $f: M \rightarrow W$ be a continuous map. Let $N \subset W$ be a submanifold of codimension p . Then f is homotopic to a smooth map $h: M \rightarrow W$ transversal to N and such that the submanifold $h^{-1}N$ (which has codimension p) has a complex of codimension $\geq p+1$ (in M) as deformation retract.*

Proof. Let K be the subcomplex of Proposition 4.1. The map f is homotopic to g where g is smooth and transversal to N and such that $g|_K$ is transversal to N . The inverse image $g^{-1}N$ is a smooth submanifold of codimension p which intersects K along a subcomplex of codimension p in K . Pick an open tubular neighborhood M' of K small enough so that $g^{-1}N \cap M'$ has $g^{-1}N \cap K$ as deformation retract. Let $\varphi_1: M \rightarrow M'$ be the diffeomorphism described above. Then $h = g \circ \varphi_1$ is homotopic to g , and $h^{-1}N = \varphi_1^{-1}(g^{-1}N \cap M')$ has a complex of codimension $\geq p+1$ as deformation retract.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let M be an open manifold with boundary ∂M , and let $f: U \rightarrow W$ be a submersion defined on a neighborhood U of ∂M . Suppose that the differential $df: TU \rightarrow TW$ extends to a tangent bundle map $H: TM \rightarrow TW$ of maximal rank. Then H is homotopic through tangent bundle maps of maximal rank to the differential dg of a submersion $g: M \rightarrow W$ which is equal to f on some neighborhood of ∂M . The homotopy leaves H fixed near ∂M .*

Proof. This is a relative form of part of [3], Theorem A. The proof is a straightforward application of Proposition 4.1 and the techniques of [3].

In [3], Theorem A has the corollary Theorem B treating the case where $W = \mathbb{R}^p$. In precisely the same manner, the following is a consequence of Lemma 4.3.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let M be an open manifold with boundary ∂M , and let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_p)$, be a submersion defined on a neighborhood U of ∂M . Suppose that the gradient p -frame field $(\nabla f_1, \dots, \nabla f_p)$ extends to a p -frame field η defined on all of M . Then η is homotopic (as a section in the bundle of p -frames of TM) to the gradient*

p-frame field of a submersion $g:M\rightarrow R^p$ which is equal to f on some neighborhood of ∂M . The homotopy leaves η fixed near ∂M .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. HAEFLIGER, *Variétés feuilletées*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa (3) 16 (1962), 367–397.
- [2] M. HIRSCH, *On imbedding differentiable manifolds in Euclidean space*, Annals of Math. 73 (1961), 566–571.
- [3] A. PHILLIPS, *Submersions of open manifolds*, Topology 6 (1967), 171–206.
- [4] S. STERNBERG, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- [5] J. H. C. WHITEHEAD, *The immersion of an open 3-manifold in Euclidean 3-space*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 11 (1961), 81–90.

Received July 31, 1967