

**Zeitschrift:** Elemente der Mathematik  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 29 (1974)  
**Heft:** 5

**Artikel:** On a cubic functional equation defined on groups  
**Autor:** Hsu, Ih-ching  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-29903>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 16.03.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

Setzen wir nun

$$z = \eta - \eta_0 + i\Theta, \quad z^* = \eta - \eta_0 - i\Theta,$$

so gewinnt die Gleichung (7.4) mit  $\sigma$  gemäss (7.5) die Gestalt (6.1), und zwar erhält man gerade den linearen Fall  $\alpha_1(z) = iz$ ,  $\alpha_2(z^*) = iz^*$ . Für  $\nu$  ergibt sich  $\nu(\nu + 1) = -k_0/4$ ; man kann also  $\nu$  derart wählen, dass man eine möglichst gute Näherung für die Poisson-Adiabate erhält. Erwin Kreyszig, University of Windsor, Ont.

#### LITERATURVERZEICHNIS

- [1] K. W. BAUER und E. PESCHL, *Ein allgemeiner Entwicklungssatz für die Lösungen der Differentialgleichung  $(1 + \varepsilon_{z\bar{z}})^2 w_{z\bar{z}} + \varepsilon n(n+1)w = 0$  in der Nähe isolierter Singularitäten*. Sitz.-Ber. math.-naturw. Kl. Bayer. Akad. Wiss. München (1965).
- [2] S. BERGMAN, *Integral Operators in the Theory of Linear Partial Differential Equations*, 2nd rev. print., (Berlin 1969).
- [3] L. BERS, *An Outline of the Theory of Pseudoanalytic Functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 62, 291–331 (1956).
- [4] S. V. FALKOWITSCH, *On the Theory of the Laval Nozzle*, Inst. Mech. Acad. Sci. USSR. Appl. Math. Mech. 10, 503–512.
- [5] G. KNEIS, *Eine kanonische Gestalt für indefinite quadratische Differentialformen und globale Darstellungen für negativ gekrümmte Flächen im  $R^3$* , Diss. (Halle 1971).
- [6] M. KRACHT und E. KREYSZIG, *Bergman-Operatoren mit Polynomen als Erzeugenden*, Manuscripta math. 1, 369–376 (1969).
- [7] E. KREYSZIG, *Die Realteil- und Imaginärteilflächen analytischer Funktionen*, El. Math. 24, 25–31 (1968).
- [8] E. KREYSZIG und A. PENDL, *Über die Gauss-Krümmung der Real- und Imaginärteilflächen analytischer Funktionen*, El. Math. 28, 10–13 (1973).
- [9] H. P. KÜNZI, *Quasikonforme Abbildungen* (Berlin 1960).
- [10] E. LANCKAU, *Eine Anwendung der Bergmanschen Operatorenmethode auf Profilströmungen im Unterschall*, Wiss. Z. Techn. Univ. Dresden 8, 200–207 (1958/59).
- [11] R. v. MISES, *Mathematical Theory of Compressible Fluid Flow* (New York 1958).
- [12] C. B. MORREY, *On the Solutions of Quasi-linear Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43, 126–166 (1938).
- [13] I. N. VEKUA, *Verallgemeinerte analytische Funktionen* (Berlin 1963).
- [14] I. N. VEKUA, *New Methods for Solving Elliptic Equations* (New York 1967).

## On a Cubic Functional Equation defined on Groups

### I. Introduction

The following factorization yields the conditional identity  $a^3 + b^3 + c^3 = 3abc$  for real numbers  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  with  $a + b + c = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & a^3 + b^3 + c^3 - 3abc \\ &= (a + b + c)(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - ab - bc - ca) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(a + b + c)[(a - b)^2 + (b - c)^2 + (c - a)^2] \\ &= (a + b + c)(a + b\omega + c\omega^2)(a + b\omega^2 + c\omega) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\omega = -(1/2)(1 - \sqrt{3}i)$  is a complex root of unity.

As an analogy, the following functional equation is proposed and studied

$$f^3(xy^{-1}) + f^3(yz^{-1}) + f^3(zx^{-1}) = 3f(xy^{-1})f(yz^{-1})f(zx^{-1}). \tag{2}$$

where  $f$  is defined on an abelian or non-abelian group  $G$  and assumes its image in a field  $F$ . For simplicity, the notation  $f^3(t)$  is used to denote  $[f(t)]^3 = f(t) \cdot f(t) \cdot f(t)$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be a homomorphism from group  $G$  to  $F$ , with  $F$  viewed as an additive group. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(x y^{-1}) + \Phi(y z^{-1}) + \Phi(z x^{-1}) \\ &= [\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)] + [\Phi(y) - \Phi(z)] + [\Phi(z) - \Phi(x)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and by (1),  $\Phi$  satisfies (2). Not all solutions to (2) are group homomorphisms, since any constant function from  $G$  to  $F$  clearly satisfies (2). Moreover, constant functions are always continuous no matter what topologies are put on  $G$  and  $F$ . Continuity alone, therefore, may not be sufficient for a solution to be a homomorphism.

The question whether constant functions and group homomorphisms are the only solutions to (2) is answered in the negative by the first of the following two theorems which illustrate that the solution set of (2) depends on  $F$  as well as on  $G$ .

Before any theorem is proved, it is worthwhile to note that equation (2) on  $G$  is equivalent to the following functional equation on  $G$ :

$$f^3(x y^{-1}) + f^3(y) + f^3(x^{-1}) = 3f(x y^{-1}) f(y) f(x^{-1}). \quad (3)$$

Clearly (2) reduces to (3) after substituting the group identity  $e$  for  $z$  in (2). On the other hand, in (3) let  $y = YZ^{-1}$  and  $x^{-1} = ZX^{-1}$ , then  $x y^{-1} = XZ^{-1}ZY^{-1} = XY^{-1}$  and (2) is recaptured from (3).

## II. Main Results

*Theorem 1.* Let  $G = \{e, a, b, c\}$  be the Klein four-group with the identity  $e$  and  $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = e$ ,  $ab = ba = c$ ,  $bc = cb = a$ ,  $ac = ca = b$ . Let  $F$  be a field not of characteristic 2 or 3. Then the solution set of (2) consists of the following functions:

- (i) constant functions.
- (ii) functions  $f$  such that  $f(e) = 2k$ ,  $f(\pi a) = -k$ ,  $f(\pi b) = -k$  and  $f(\pi c) = 2k$ , where  $k$  is an arbitrary element in  $F$  and  $\pi$  is a permutation on  $\{a, b, c\}$ .
- (iii) functions  $f$  such that  $f(e) = 2l$ ,  $f(\pi a) = f(\pi b) = f(\pi c) = -l$  where  $l$  is an arbitrary element in  $F$ .

*Proof.* In (3) let  $x = y \neq e$  then  $x y = e$  and (3) reduces to

$$f^3(e) + 2f^3(x) = 3f(e)f^2(x),$$

from which it follows that for each  $x \neq e$  in  $G$

$$0 = 2f^3(x) - 3f(e)f^2(x) + f^3(e) = [2f(x) + f(e)][f(x) - f(e)]^2. \quad (4)$$

Thus,  $f(a) = (-1/2)f(e)$  or  $f(a) = f(e)$ ;  $f(b) = (-1/2)f(e)$  or  $f(b) = f(e)$ ;  $f(c) = (-1/2)f(e)$  or  $f(c) = f(e)$ .

In each of the cases below, functions from  $G$  to  $F$  are defined to satisfy (4).

$$\text{Case 1} \quad f(\pi a) = -\frac{1}{2}f(e), \quad f(\pi b) = f(\pi c) = f(e)$$

$$\text{Case 2} \quad f(\pi c) = f(e) = 2k, \quad k \in F, \quad f(\pi a) = f(\pi b) = -\frac{1}{2}f(e) = -k$$

$$\text{Case 3} \quad f(e) = 2l, \quad l \in F, \quad f(a) = f(b) = f(c) = -\frac{1}{2}f(e) = -l$$

$$\text{Case 4} \quad f(a) = f(b) = f(c) = f(e).$$

If the functions shown in Case 1 are solutions to (2), then  $f(e) = 0$  and  $f(x) \equiv 0$  for each  $x$  in  $G$ . This can be seen by setting  $x = a$  and  $y = b$  in (3) and obtaining  $0 = f^3(a) + f^3(b) + f^3(c) - 3 f(a) f(b) f(c) = (-1/8 + 1 + 1 + 3/2) f^3(e)$ . Notice that  $f(x) \equiv 0$  is the only group homomorphism from  $G$  to  $F$ .

While constant functions defined in Case 4 are clearly solutions to (2), some classification of the relation between  $x$  and  $y$  in (3) is needed in order to verify that functions defined in Cases 2 and 3 all satisfy (3).

|             |                |              |                         |
|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Case I.     | $x = y$        | Case II.     | $x \neq y$              |
| Subcase I-1 | $x = y = e$    | Subcase II-1 | one of $x, y$ is $e$    |
| Subcase I-2 | $x = y \neq e$ | Subcase II-2 | none of $x, y$ is $e$ . |

Under Subcase I-1 (3) reduces to  $f^3(e) + f^3(e) + f^3(e) = 3 f^3(e)$  which is clearly satisfied by any function  $f$  from  $G$  to  $F$ .

Under Subcase I-2, when  $x = y = \pi(a) \neq e$ , or under Subcase II-1 when  $x = e$  and  $y = \pi(a)$ , or  $y = e$  and  $x = \pi(a)$ , (3) reduces to

$$f^3(e) + f^3[\pi(a)] + f^3[\pi(a)] = 3 f(e) f[\pi(a)] f[\pi(a)]. \tag{5}$$

In Subcase II-2, when  $x = \pi(a)$  and  $y = \pi(b)$ , (3) reduces to

$$f^3(a) + f^3(b) + f^3(c) = 3 f(a) f(b) f(c). \tag{6}$$

All these functions presented under Cases 2 and 3 are defined to satisfy (4) in general, and (5) in particular. A simple computation also shows that they satisfy (6). The proof is completed.

*Theorem 2.* Let  $G$  be a multiplicative group, and let  $F$  be a formally real field. If  $f: G \rightarrow F$  is a solution to (2) such that  $f(e) = 0$ , where  $e$  is the identity in  $G$ , then  $Z[f] = \{x \mid f(x) = 0\}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Moreover, if in  $G/Z[f]$  the identity is the only element of order 3, then  $f$  is a group homomorphism. In particular, if  $Z[f] = \{e\}$ , then  $f$  is injective; and also, if  $e$  is the only element of order 3 in  $G$ , then  $f$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Since  $f(e) = 0$ , setting  $y = e$  in (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f^3(x) + f^3(x^{-1}) \\ &= [f(x) + f(x^{-1})] [f^2(x) - f(x) f(x^{-1}) + f^2(x^{-1})] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f(x) + f(x^{-1})] \{ [f(x) - f(x^{-1})]^2 + f^2(x) + f^2(x^{-1}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $[f(x) - f(x^{-1})]^2 + f^2(x) + f^2(x^{-1}) = 0$ , then  $f(x) = f(x^{-1}) = 0$ , since  $F$  is formally real. If  $f(x) \neq 0$  or  $f(x^{-1}) \neq 0$ , then by the preceding factorization,  $f(x) + f(x^{-1}) = 0$ , which also holds for  $f(x) = f(x^{-1}) = 0$ . Thus

$$f(x) + f(x^{-1}) = 0, \quad x \in G. \tag{7}$$

From (7) it follows that  $x \in Z[f]$  if and only if  $x^{-1} \in Z[f]$ . Now suppose that  $x \in Z[f]$  and  $y \in Z[f]$ . Then, by (3),  $f^3(xy) + f^3(y^{-1}) + f^3(x^{-1}) = 3 f(xy) f(y^{-1}) f(x^{-1}) = 0$ . This implies that  $f^3(xy) = 0, f(xy) = 0$ , and  $xy \in Z[f]$ . Thus  $Z[f]$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .



For the normality of  $Z[f]$ , it suffices to prove that for each  $x$  in  $G$  and for each  $z$  in  $Z[f]$ , there exists an element  $t$  in  $Z[f]$  such that  $xz = tx$ . Set  $t = xzx^{-1}$ , clearly,  $tx = (xzx^{-1})x = xz$ . To prove  $t \in Z[f]$ , observe that

$$f^3(xzx^{-1}) + f^3(x) + f^3(z^{-1}x^{-1}) = 3f(xzx^{-1})f(x)f(z^{-1}x^{-1}) \quad (8)$$

which is a direct consequence of (3). Moreover, by (3) again,  $f^3(z^{-1}x^{-1}) + f^3(x) + f^3(z) = 3f(z^{-1}x^{-1})f(x)f(z)$ , which reduces to  $f^3(z^{-1}x^{-1}) + f^3(x) = 0$  and  $-f^3(xz) + f^3(x) = 0$ , since  $f(z) = 0$  and  $f(z^{-1}x^{-1}) = -f(xz)$ .

Through factorization,

$$\begin{aligned} f^3(x) - f^3(xz) &= [f(x) - f(xz)] [f^2(x) + f(x)f(xz) + f^2(xz)] \\ &= [f(x) - f(xz)] [f^2(x) - f(x)f(z^{-1}x^{-1}) + f^2(z^{-1}x^{-1})] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f(x) - f(xz)] \{f^2(x) + f^2(z^{-1}x^{-1}) + [f(x) - f(z^{-1}x^{-1})]^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

If the second factor is zero, then  $f(x) = f(z^{-1}x^{-1}) = f(x) - f(z^{-1}x^{-1}) = 0$ , i. e.,  $f(x) = f(z^{-1}x^{-1}) = 0$ . If  $f(x) \neq 0$  or  $f(z^{-1}x^{-1}) \neq 0$ , then the first factor must be zero, and thus  $f(x) = f(xz)$  which also holds for  $f(x) = f(z^{-1}x^{-1}) = 0$ . Now back to (8):

$$f^3(xzx^{-1}) = -3f(xzx^{-1})f(x)f(xz) = -3f(xzx^{-1})f^2(x), \quad \text{i. e.,}$$

$$f(xzx^{-1}) [f^2(xzx^{-1}) + 3f^2(x)] = 0.$$

This implies that either  $f(xzx^{-1}) = 0$  or  $f^2(xzx^{-1}) + 3f^2(x) = 0$ . In both cases,  $f(xzx^{-1}) = 0$ . Thus  $xzx^{-1} \in Z[f]$  and  $Z[f]$  is a normal subgroup.

Next, it will be shown that the cosets of  $G$  modulo  $Z[f]$  coincide with the equivalence classes of the relation defined by  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Now assume that  $f(x) = f(y)$ . By (3) and (7),  $f^3(x^{-1}y) + f^3(y^{-1}) + f^3(x) = 3f(x^{-1}y)f(y^{-1})f(x) = f^3(x^{-1}y) - f^3(y) + f^3(x) = -3f(x^{-1}y)f(y)f(x)$ . Now that  $f(x) = f(y)$ , therefore,  $f^3(x^{-1}y) + 3f(x^{-1}y)f^2(x) = 0$  and  $f(x^{-1}y)[f^2(x^{-1}y) + 3f^2(x)] = 0$ . This implies that either  $f(x^{-1}y) = 0$  or  $f(x^{-1}y) = f(x) = 0$ . In both cases  $x^{-1}y \in Z[f]$ . On the other hand,  $y = x(x^{-1}y)$ , hence  $y \in \hat{x}$  and  $\hat{x} = \hat{y}$ , where  $\hat{x}$  denotes the coset of  $x$  modulo  $Z[f]$ . In particular, if  $f(x) = f(y)$  and if  $Z[f] = \{e\}$ , then  $f(x^{-1}y) = 0$ ,  $x^{-1}y = e$  and  $x = y$ . In this case,  $f$  is a one-to-one function.

Conversely, suppose  $\hat{x} = \hat{y}$  i. e.,  $y = xu$  for some  $u \in Z[f]$ . Then, by (3),  $f^3(xu) + f^3(u^{-1}) + f^3(x^{-1}) = 3f(xu)f(u^{-1})f(x^{-1}) = 0$ . This yields  $0 = f^3(y) - f^3(x) = (1/2)[f(y) - f(x)]\{f^2(x) + f^2(y) + [f(x) + f(y)]^2\}$ . Therefore, either  $f(x) = f(y)$  or  $f(x) = f(y) = 0$ . Thus  $\hat{x} = \hat{y}$  implies that  $f(x) = f(y)$ .

In proving that  $f$  is an isomorphism under the conditions that  $Z[f] = \{e\}$  and  $e$  is the only element of order 3 in  $G$ , rewrite (3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f^3(xy) + f^3(y^{-1}) + f^3(x^{-1}) - 3f(xy)f(y^{-1})f(x^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f(xy) + f(y^{-1}) + f(x^{-1})] \cdot \\ &\quad ([f(xy) - f(y^{-1})]^2 + [f(y^{-1}) - f(x^{-1})]^2 + [f(x^{-1}) - f(xy)]^2). \end{aligned}$$

If the second factor is 0, then  $f(xy) = f(y^{-1}) = f(x^{-1})$ . Here  $f$  is proved to be injective, therefore  $xy = y^{-1} = x^{-1}$ . Hence  $x^2y = xx^{-1} = e$ . This implies  $x = e = y$ , since  $e$  is the only element of order 3 in  $G$ . If  $x \neq e$  or  $y \neq e$ , then the first factor in the preceding factorization must be 0, which also holds when  $x = e$  and  $y = e$ . Thus,  $f(xy) = -f(x^{-1}) - f(y^{-1}) = f(x) + f(y)$  by (7), and  $f$  is an isomorphism from  $G$  to  $F$ .

To prove that  $f$  is a homomorphism under the assumption that  $\hat{e}$  is the only element of order 3 in  $G/Z[f]$ , define a function  $\hat{f}: G/Z[f] \rightarrow F$  by  $\hat{f}(\hat{x}) = f(x)$ . Since  $x^{-1}y \in Z[f]$  if and only if  $f(x) = f(y)$ ,  $\hat{f}$  is well-defined and injective. Clearly,  $f$  factors through  $G/Z[f]$  in the sense that  $f = \hat{f} \circ q$  where  $q$  is the quotient map. When  $f$  satisfies (3) with  $f(e) = 0$ ,  $\hat{f}$  with  $\hat{f}(\hat{e}) = 0$  satisfies (3) defined on  $G/Z[f]$  i.e.,

$$\hat{f}^3(\hat{x}\hat{y}^{-1}) + \hat{f}^3(\hat{y}) + \hat{f}^3(\hat{x}^{-1}) = 3\hat{f}(\hat{x}\hat{y}^{-1})\hat{f}(\hat{y})\hat{f}(\hat{x}^{-1}).$$

Since it is assumed that  $\hat{e}$  is the only element of order 3 in  $G/Z[f]$ , hence, by the preceding proof,  $\hat{f}$  is an isomorphism from  $G/Z[f]$  to  $F$ . This in turn implies that  $f = \hat{f} \circ q$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $F$ . The proof is completed.

### III. Remarks

Theorem 2 enables us to determine solutions for (2) on  $G$  if  $f$  has a preassigned set of zeros containing  $e$ . Some applications are in order. Let  $R^+$  be the multiplicative group of positive reals and  $R$  be the field of real numbers. Functions  $f: R^+ \rightarrow R$  satisfying (2) with  $Z[f] = \{1\}$  abound. Clearly, for each non-zero  $k$ ,  $f(x) = k \log x$  is such a one. On the other hand, let  $R_0$  denote the multiplicative group of non-zero reals. Consider functions  $f: R_0 \rightarrow R$  satisfying (2) with preassigned  $Z[f] = \{-1, 1\}$ . Then obviously  $R_0/Z[f]$  is isomorphic to  $R^+$  and each function  $\hat{f}: R_0/Z[f] \rightarrow R$  satisfying (3) is an isomorphism. If  $\hat{f}$  is taken to be  $\hat{f}(x) = k \log x$  with  $k \neq 0$  and  $x > 0$ , then  $f = (\hat{f} \circ q): R_0 \rightarrow R$  can simply be  $f(x) = k \log |x|$ , which clearly satisfies (2) with  $Z[f] = \{-1, 1\}$ . Theorem 2 can be useful in other directions. Suppose that  $G$  is an abelian group such that each element except the identity  $e$  has infinite order. Let  $p$  be a prime number different from 3. Then  $H = \{x^p \mid x \in G\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and each element in  $G/H$  is of order  $p$ . There is no isomorphism from  $G/H$  to a formally real field  $F$  viewed as an additive group. Hence there does not exist any function  $f: G \rightarrow F$  satisfying (2) with  $Z[f] = H$ , otherwise, such an  $f$  induces an isomorphism  $\hat{f}$  by  $f = \hat{f} \circ q$  which yields a contradiction.

The study of (2) on groups is far from complete. Some other observation is noted in the following. With each element  $a$  in  $G$ , an inner automorphism is associated such that  $x$  in  $G$  is mapped to  $axa^{-1}$ . If  $f$  is a solution to (2), then  $f_a$  is also a solution, where  $f_a$  is defined by  $f_a(x) = f(axa^{-1})$ ,  $f_a$  may not be equal to  $f$  if  $G$  is non-commutative. The mapping  $(a, f) \rightarrow f_a$  defines an operation of  $G$  on the solution set  $S$  of (2). For each  $f$  in  $S$ , the set  $\{a \mid a \in G, f_a = f\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and is called the isotropy group of  $f$  in  $G$ . The set  $\{f_a \mid a \in G\}$  is called the orbit of  $f$  under  $G$ . Orbits and isotropy groups may be useful in the study of (2).

In Theorem 2, if the set of complex numbers is taken as the field  $F$ , then the following factorization holds when  $f(e) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f^3(x) + f^3(x^{-1}) = [f(x) + f(x^{-1})] [f^2(x) - f(x)f(x^{-1}) + f^2(x^{-1})] \\ &= [f(x) + f(x^{-1})] [f(x) + \omega f(x^{-1})] [f(x) + \omega^2 f(x^{-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that  $f(x) = -f(x^{-1})$  or  $f(x) = -\omega f(x^{-1})$  or

$$f(x) = -\omega^2 f(x^{-1}).$$

It is not necessary that  $f(x) = -f(x^{-1})$  for each  $x$  in  $G$ . This shows some of the difficulties in the problem of determining the solution of (2) when  $f$  is complex-valued.

Ih-ching Hsu, St. Olaf College, Northfield, MN, USA

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. ACZÉL, *Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications* (Academic Press, New York-London 1966).  
 [2] N. JACOBSON, *Lectures in Abstract Algebra*, Vol. 3 (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J. 1964).  
 [3] O. TAUSKY, *Sums of Squares*, Amer. Math. Monthly 77 (1970), 805–830.

## Kleine Mitteilungen

### Über die Chordalkurve zweier Kegelschnitte

Die Hüllkurve der Geraden, die aus zwei Kegelschnitten  $k_1, k_2$  Sehnen gleicher Länge ausschneiden, heiße die Chordalkurve von  $k_1$  und  $k_2$ .

1. **Die Chordalparabel zweier Kreise**  $k_1, k_2$  (Mittelpunkte  $K_1, K_2$ , Radien  $r_1, r_2$ ). Es sei  $K_1 \neq K_2$ . Mittelpunkt von  $K_1 K_2$  sei  $F$ . Die Chordale von  $k_1$  und  $k_2$  sei  $s$ . Eine Gerade  $g$  habe von  $K_1$  bzw.  $K_2$  die Abstände  $p_1$  bzw.  $p_2$ . Die Normale aus  $F$  auf  $g$  schliesse mit  $K_1 K_2$  den Winkel  $\alpha$  ein und schneide  $s$  im Punkt  $G$ . Es ist  $\overline{FG} = (p_1 + p_2)/2$ . Die von  $g$  aus  $k_1$  und  $k_2$  geschnittenen Sehnen sind gleich lang, wenn  $r_1^2 - p_1^2 = r_2^2 - p_2^2$  ist. Daraus folgt  $(p_2 + p_1)(p_2 - p_1) = r_2^2 - r_1^2$  oder  $2 \cdot \overline{FG} \cdot \overline{K_1 K_2} \cdot \cos \alpha = r_2^2 - r_1^2$ ; daher ist  $\overline{FG} \cdot \cos \alpha$  konstant. Alle  $G$  liegen auf  $s$ , denn für  $\alpha = 0$  ist  $g \equiv s$ . Es folgt:

**Satz 1.** *Alle Geraden, die die (nichtkonzentrischen) Kreise  $k_1, k_2$  nach längengleichen Sehnen schneiden, umhüllen für  $r_1 \neq r_2$  die «Chordalparabel»  $p$  von  $k_1$  und  $k_2$  (Brennpunkt  $F$ , Scheiteltangente  $s$ ).  $p$  berührt auch die gemeinsamen Tangenten von  $k_1$  und  $k_2$ . Für  $r_1 = r_2$  zerfällt  $p$  (als Klassenkurve) in das Strahlbüschel  $F$  und in das Büschel der zu  $K_1 K_2$  parallelen Geraden.*

$g$  schneidet nur dann reelle Sehnen aus  $k_1$  und  $k_2$ , wenn  $|r_1 - r_2| \leq 2 \cdot \overline{FG} \leq r_1 + r_2$  ist; die Intervallgrenzen gehören zu den gemeinsamen Tangenten von  $k_1$  und  $k_2$ ; existieren 4 bzw. 2 bzw. 0 reelle gemeinsame Tangenten von  $k_1$  und  $k_2$ , so gibt es 2 bzw. 1 bzw. 0 Bögen auf  $p$ , deren Punkte Tangenten  $g$  mit reellen Sehnen von  $k_1$  und  $k_2$  besitzen.

Da  $p$  durch  $F$  und  $s$  bestimmt ist, gilt die Umkehrung von Satz 1:

**Satz 2.** *Eine Parabel  $p$  ist Chordalparabel je zweier Kreise, die sich auf der Scheiteltangente von  $p$  schneiden und deren Mitten auf der Parabelachse symmetrisch zum Brennpunkt von  $p$  liegen.*

2. **Die Chordalgeraden dreier Kreise**  $k_i$  (Mitten  $K_i$ , Radien  $r_i$ ). Die Chordalparabel von  $k_i$  und  $k_j$  sei  $p_{ij}$  (Brennpunkt  $F_{ij} =$  Mitte von  $K_i K_j$ , Scheiteltangente  $s_{ij} =$  Chordale von  $k_i$  und  $k_j$ ). Eine eigentliche gemeinsame Tangente von  $p_{12}$  und  $p_{13}$  schneidet  $k_1$  und  $k_2$ , ebenso  $k_1$  und  $k_3$ , daher auch  $k_2$  und  $k_3$  nach längengleichen Sehnen. Sie berührt also auch  $p_{23}$ . Eine solche Gerade, die die  $k_i$  nach drei längengleichen Sehnen schneidet, heiße eine Chordalgerade der  $k_i$ .