

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 44 (1989)
Heft: 2

Artikel: A pair of general triangle inequalities
Autor: Gmeiner, W.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-41607>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 01.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

A pair of general triangle inequalities

Dedicated to Murray S. Klamkin

In this note the two “dual” triangle inequalities

$$(1/a + 1/b + 1/c)(R_1 + R_2 + R_3) > \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } \max(A, B, C) \geq 120^\circ \\ 4 + 2/\sqrt{3} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(a + b + c)(1/R_1 + 1/R_2 + 1/R_3) > 2(3 + 2\sqrt{2})$$

are proved. (R_1, R_2, R_3 represent the distances from P , a point of the interior or boundary of triangle ABC , to its vertices A, B and C , resp.) All numerical bounds cannot be improved.

1. Introduction

In this note in section 4 we prove two “dual” inequalities linking the sides and the distances from arbitrary interior or boundary point to the vertices of a triangle. Before, in section 3, we give certain preliminary lemmata. Finally, in section 5 we apply the results to a special point.

2. Notation

As usual for triangles, a, b, c denote the sides, A, B, C the vertices, r, R, s the incircle, circumcircle and semiperimeter, resp., and R_1, R_2, R_3 the distances from P , the point in question, to the vertices A, B and C , resp.

3. Lemmata

We start by proving the following inequality which is of interest for itself.

Lemma 1. Let $0 \leq x, y, z < 1$ be real numbers such that $x + y + z = 1$. Then

$$1/\sqrt{x^2 + xy + y^2} + 1/\sqrt{y^2 + yz + z^2} + 1/\sqrt{z^2 + zx + x^2} \geq 4 + 2/\sqrt{3}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. We set $w_1 = \sqrt{x^2 + xy + y^2}$, $w_2 = \sqrt{y^2 + yz + z^2}$, $w_3 = \sqrt{z^2 + zx + x^2}$ and thus have to discuss the function $F(x, y, z, \lambda) = 1/w_1 + 1/w_2 + 1/w_3 + \lambda \cdot (x + y + z - 1)$ by the method of Lagrange-multipliers.

Necessary conditions for critical points with $0 < x, y, z < 1$ are

$$\partial F/\partial x = (-1/2) \cdot \{(2x + y)/w_1^3 + (2x + z)/w_3^3\} + \lambda = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\partial F/\partial y = (-1/2) \cdot \{(2y + x)/w_1^3 + (2y + z)/w_2^3\} + \lambda = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\partial F/\partial z = (-1/2) \cdot \{(2z + x)/w_3^3 + (2z + y)/w_2^3\} + \lambda = 0 \quad (4)$$

Adding the equations (2), (3) and (4) we get

$$\lambda = \{(x + y)/w_1^3 + (y + z)/w_2^3 + (z + x)/w_3^3\}/2.$$

Inserting this expression in (2) we obtain (as $y + z = 1 - x$) the relation

$$x \cdot \sum 1/w_i^3 = 1/w_2^3. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, from (3) and (4) there follow

$$y \cdot \sum 1/w_i^3 = 1/w_3^3 \quad \text{and} \quad (6)$$

$$z \cdot \sum 1/w_i^3 = 1/w_1^3 \quad \text{resp.} \quad (7)$$

Coupling (5), (6) and (6), (7) we get

$$x^2(y^2 + yz + z^2)^3 = y^2(z^2 + zx + x^2)^3 \quad (8)$$

$$y^2(z^2 + zx + x^2)^3 = z^2(x^2 + xy + y^2)^3. \quad (9)$$

As inequality (1) is symmetric we now may and do assume $0 < x \leq y \leq z < 1$. We put $y = ax$ and $z = bx$ where $1 \leq a \leq b$.

Then (9) becomes

$$a^2(b^2 + b + 1)^3 = b^2(a^2 + a + 1)^3. \quad (10)$$

By differentiation it is easily checked that the function $f(t) = (t^2 + t + 1)^3/t^2$ strictly increases for $t \geq 1$.

Thus (10) yields $a = b$, i.e. $y = z$, as necessary for critical points in the interior of the considered region.

Inserting this in (1) we have to prove

$$\begin{aligned} 2/w_1 + 1/(y\sqrt{3}) &\geq 4 + 2/\sqrt{3} \quad \text{where } x + 2y = 1; \text{ i.e.} \\ 2/\sqrt{3y^2 - 3y + 1} + 1/(y\sqrt{3}) &\geq 4 + 2/\sqrt{3} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $1/3 \leq y \leq 1/2$ (since $x \leq y \leq z$).

The transformation $y = 1/2 - w$ changes (11) to

$$g(w) := 2\sqrt{3}/\sqrt{12w^2 + 1} + 1/(1 - 2w) \geq 2\sqrt{3} + 1 \quad (12)$$

where $0 \leq w \leq 1/6$. We have now that $g'(w) \cong 0$ iff

$$l(w) := (12w^2 + 1)^{3/2} \cong 12\sqrt{3}w(1 - 2w)^2 =: r(w).$$

Clearly, $l(w)$ is strictly convex and $r(w)$ is strictly concave on $[0, 1/6]$ and, since $l(0) > r(0)$, $l(1/6) = r(1/6) = 8\sqrt{3}/9$, and $r'(1/6) = 0$, we deduce the existence of a $w_0 \in (0, 1/6)$ such that $g(w)$ increases on $(0, w_0)$ and decreases on $(w_0, 1/6)$. This means that the absolute minimum of $g(w)$ on $[0, 1/6]$ is $\min(g(0), g(1/6))$, which readily proves (12).

For (1) to be proved we still have to consider the boundary of the region. Let e.g. $z = 0$. Then $y = 1 - x$ and (1) becomes

$$h(x) := 1/\sqrt{x^2 - x + 1} + 1/(1 - x) + 1/x \geq 4 + 2/\sqrt{3}. \tag{13}$$

By symmetry, we may and do restrict ourselves to the case $0 < x \leq 1/2$. Since $h(1/2) = 4 + 2/\sqrt{3}$, we have only to show that $h(x)$ is falling on $(0, 1/2)$, i.e. that $h'(x) < 0$ on $(0, 1/2)$, i.e. that

$$(1 - x)^2 x^2 < 2(x^2 - x + 1)^{3/2} \quad \text{on } (0, 1/2). \tag{14}$$

Putting $m := x(1 - x)$ and noting $0 < m < 1/4$ we deduce the validity of (14) from the easily verified inequality

$$m^4 < 4(1 - m)^3, \quad m \in (0, 1/4). \quad \square$$

Next, we show the following distance-inequality for the incenter I .

Lemma 2. $1/AI + 1/B I + 1/C I \geq 9\sqrt{3}/2s$ with equality iff the triangle is equilateral. (15)

Proof. In [1], p. 23, the inequality

$$AI + BI + CI \leq 2s/\sqrt{3} \tag{16}$$

is established.

As the harmonic mean is never greater than the arithmetic one we get from (16)

$$1/AI + 1/B I + 1/C I \geq 9/(AI + BI + CI) \geq 9\sqrt{3}/2s.$$

In all inequalities there occurs equality iff the triangle is equilateral. □

Finally we prove a distance-inequality for the feet of the angle-bisectors w_a, w_b and w_c .

Lemma 3. $1/w_c + 1/c_1 + 1/c_2 > 6/s$ (17)

where c_1, c_2 denote the distances from the foot of w_c to A and B resp. Similar inequalities hold for w_a and w_b .

Proof. From elementary geometry the following relations are well-known:

$$c_1 = ac/(a+b), \quad c_2 = bc/(a+b) \quad \text{and} \quad w_c = 2ab \cos(C/2)/(a+b).$$

Consequently, $w_c < 2ab/(a+b) \leq (a+b)/2$.

For (17) we are thus done if we verify the sharper inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 2(a+b+c)/(a+b) + (a+b)(1/c_1 + 1/c_2) + c(1/c_1 + 1/c_2) &> 12, \quad \text{i.e.} \\ 2c/(a+b) + \{(a+b)/a + (a+b)/b\}(a+b)/c + c(1/c_1 + 1/c_2) &> 10. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

As clearly $c = c_1 + c_2$, we get $c(1/c_1 + 1/c_2) \geq 4$.

Furthermore, also $(a+b)/a + (a+b)/b \geq 4$.

Therefore, (18) can be strengthened to

$$2c/(a+b) + 4(a+b)/c > 6 \quad (19)$$

Putting $x = c/(a+b)$ and noting that $x < 1$ and $x + 2/x > 3$ for $x < 1$ we infer (19). \square

4. Main Results

We are now in the position to prove the announced general inequalities. Let P be a point of the interior or the boundary of a triangle ABC .

Theorem 1

i) If one angle of the triangle is not less than 120° , then

$$(1/a + 1/b + 1/c)(R_1 + R_2 + R_3) > 5. \quad (20)$$

ii) If all angles are less than 120° , then

$$(1/a + 1/b + 1/c)(R_1 + R_2 + R_3) > 4 + 2/\sqrt{3}. \quad (21)$$

Both bounds cannot be improved.

Proof.

i) From [2], item 12.55, it is known: If, say, $A \geq 120^\circ$ then $R_1 + R_2 + R_3 \geq b + c$. Thus, (20) follows from

$$(1/a + 1/b + 1/c)(b + c) = (b + c)/a + (b + c)(1/b + 1/c) > 5$$

which clearly holds true.

ii) It is known (e.g. [3], chapter 3) that $R_1 + R_2 + R_3$ is minimal if P coincides with Torricelli's (or Fermat's) point, i.e. the point subtending 120° with each side.

For typographical convenience let be now and further on $x = R_1$, $y = R_2$ and $z = R_3$.

By the law of cosine we get $a = \sqrt{x^2 + xy + y^2}$, etc.

Therefore, inequality (21) follows from lemma 1.

Taking triangles with $a = b$, $c \approx 2a$ and $P = C$ shows, that “5” in (20) cannot be improved.

Similarly the bound for (21): Take triangles with $a = b$, $c \approx a\sqrt{3}$ and $P = C$. \square

Next we prove the following “dual” of the previous theorem.

Theorem 2

$$(a + b + c)(1/R_1 + 1/R_2 + 1/R_3) > 2(3 + 2\sqrt{2}); \text{ i.e.}$$

$$1/R_1 + 1/R_2 + 1/R_3 > (3 + 2\sqrt{2})/s. \tag{22}$$

The bound cannot be improved.

Proof. Let $w = \sphericalangle APB$, $u = \sphericalangle BPC$ and $v = \sphericalangle CPA$.

Then $0 \leq u, v, w \leq 180^\circ$ and $u + v + w = 360^\circ$.

We then get $c = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2 - 2yz \cos u}$ etc. For (22) we thus have to minimize the function

$$F(x, y, z, u, v, w, \lambda) := (\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos u} + \sqrt{y^2 + z^2 - 2yz \cos v} + \sqrt{z^2 + x^2 - 2zx \cos w})(1/x + 1/y + 1/z) - \lambda \cdot (u + v + w - 360^\circ).$$

From $\partial F/\partial u = 0$, $\partial F/\partial v = 0$ and $\partial F/\partial w = 0$ we get immediately

$$yz \sin u/a = xz \sin v/b = xy \sin w/c.$$

Particularly, $x \sin v/b = y \sin u/a$. This means geometrically that P lies on the angle-bisector of C . (Indeed, the law of sines (applied to the triangles PBC and PCA) yields $\sin u/a = \sin(\sphericalangle PBC)/z$ and $\sin v/b = \sin(\sphericalangle PAC)/z$. Therefore, $x \sin(\sphericalangle PBC) = y \sin(\sphericalangle PAC)$, i.e. P has equal distances from the sides a and b .)

Similarly it follows that P is on the angle-bisectors of A and B . Therefore the only (interior) critical point for F is the incenter I . But from lemma 2 we have

$$1/AI + 1/BI + 1/CI > 9\sqrt{3}/2s$$

and as $9\sqrt{3}/2 > 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$, we are done.

For the boundary we have two cases.

- i) e.g. $w = 180^\circ$. Then P is on the side c . As before it can be shown, that the minimizing P lies on the angle-bisector of C .

In lemma 3 we proved already $1/AP + 1/BP + 1/CP > 6/s$. As $6 > 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ we are done.

ii) e.g. $w = 0$. Then $u = v = 180^\circ$. Let be $y \leq x$.

In this case we have to deal with the degenerated "triangle" having the sides $c = x - y$, $a = y + z$, $b = x + z$.

(22) then becomes

$$(x + z)(1/x + 1/y + 1/z) \geq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}. \quad (23)$$

As $y \leq x$, (23) follows from

$$(x + z)(2/x + 1/z) \geq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}, \text{ i.e. } 2z/x + x/z \geq 2\sqrt{2}, \text{ i.e. } (z\sqrt{2} - x)^2 \geq 0.$$

Triangles with $c \approx 0$, $a = b \approx 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ and P such that $R_1 = R_2 \approx 2 + \sqrt{2}$, $R_3 \approx 1 + \sqrt{2}$ show that the bound in (22) cannot be improved. \square

Remarks. 1) Comparing inequality (21) with [2], item 12.55, i.e.

$$R_1 + R_2 + R_3 \geq \{(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + 4F\sqrt{3})/2\}^{1/2} \quad (24)$$

it should be noted, that no general order can be given for the bounds of $R_1 + R_2 + R_3$ in (21) and (24).

2) We leave it to the reader to derive inequalities obtained by application of inversion, reciprocation and/or isogonal conjugation to theorems 1 and 2 (see [4], [5] and [6]).

5. A Special Point

Lemma 2 already states a special result.

Let $P = G$ be the centroid. Then $R_1 = 2m_a/3$ etc., where m_a etc. are the medians. Theorem 1 then reads

$$(1/a + 1/b + 1/c)(m_a + m_b + m_c) > 15/2. \quad (25)$$

Applying the process of median-duality (see [6] or [7]; i.e. if $I(a, b, c, m_a, m_b, m_c) \geq 0$ is a valid triangle-inequality then so is $I(m_a, m_b, m_c, 3a/4, 3b/4, 3c/4) \geq 0$) we get from (25)

$$1/m_a + 1/m_b + 1/m_c > 5/s. \quad (26)$$

This inequality was posed as a problem by the second author (see [8]). Triangles with $c \approx 0$, $a = b$ show that the bound "5" in (26) cannot be improved. \square

W. Gmeiner, Millstatt; W. Janous, Innsbruck

REFERENCES

- 1 Bager A.: A Family of Goniometric Inequalities. Univ. Beograd Publ. El. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. No. 338–352, 5–25 (1971).
- 2 Bottema O. et al.: Geometric Inequalities. Groningen 1968.
- 3 Honsberger R.: Mathematical Gems I, Washington, D.C. 1973.

- 4 Oppenheim A.: The Erdős Inequality and other Inequalities for a Triangle. Amer. Math. Monthly 68, 226–230 (1961).
- 5 Klamkin M. S.: Triangle Inequalities via Transforms. Notices of Amer. Math. Soc., A-103, 104, Jan. 1972.
- 6 Mitrinović D. S., Pčarić J. E., Volence V.: Recent Results in Geometric Inequalities. Amsterdam (to appear).
- 7 Klamkin M. S.: Solution to Aufgabe 677. Elem. der Math. 28, 130 (1973).
- 8 Janous W.: Problem 1137. Crux Math. 12, 79.

© 1989 Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel

0013-6018/89/020041-07\$1.50 + 0.20/0

Aufgaben

Aufgabe 981. Man beweise oder widerlege folgende Aussage: Das Polynom

$$f(x) = x^5 + x - t$$

ist über \mathbb{Z} irreduzibel, wenn $t = \pm p^n$, p Primzahl, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ und $p^n > 2$.

O. Buggisch, Darmstadt, BRD

Lösung. Die Aussage ist wahr.

Beweis. Sei $t = \pm q$ und q eine Primzahlpotenz. Falls ein quadratisches Polynom das Polynom $x^5 + x - t$ teilt, so ist $t = \pm 1$. Der Ansatz

$$x^5 + x - t = (x^2 + a_1 x + a_0)(x^3 + b_2 x^2 + b_1 x + b_0), \quad a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

führt nämlich durch Koeffizientenvergleich und Elimination von b_0, b_1, b_2 sofort auf

$$3 a_0 a_1^2 - a_1^4 - a_0^2 = 1 \quad \text{und} \quad a_0 a_1 (a_1^2 - 2 a_0) = t.$$

Deshalb sind a_0 und a_1 teilerfremde Teiler der Primzahlpotenz q , woraus $a_0 = \pm 1$ oder $a_1 = \pm 1$ folgt. In beiden Fällen schliesst man $t = \pm 1$.

Falls aber ein lineares Polynom $x^5 + x - t$ teilt, so besitzt $x^5 + x - t$ eine ganzzahlige Nullstelle, also $t = \xi^5 + \xi$ für einen Teiler ξ von t ; insbesondere ist t gerade. Daraus folgt $t = \pm 2$.

Bemerkung. Die Polynome $x^5 + x \pm y$ sind Beispiele für den folgenden Satz von V. G. Sprindžuk (Reducibility of polynomials and rational points on algebraic curves, Sémin de Théorie des Nombres, Prog. Math. 12 (1981), 287–309). Sei $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$, absolut irreduzibel (d. h. irreduzibel in $C[x, y]$), $\deg_x f \geq 2$, $f(0, 0) = 0$ und $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) \neq 0$; dann ist für fast alle Primzahlpotenzen q das Polynom $f(x, q)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ irreduzibel.

A. Clivio, Stanford, USA