

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 45 (1990)
Heft: 6

Artikel: A very elementary proof of a probabilistic limit relation
Autor: Kuczma, Marcin E.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42421>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 30.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Das exakte Differential

$$(\sqrt{x^2 - y - x}) dy + (\sqrt{x^2 - y - x})^2 dx$$

besitzt die Stammfunktion

$$\frac{2}{3}x^3 - \frac{2}{3}(x^2 - y)^{\frac{3}{2}} - xy.$$

H. Herold, Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Marburg

LITERATUR

- 1 Kamke E.: Differentialgleichungen reeller Funktionen, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, 2. Auflage, Leipzig 1945.
- 2 Kamke E.: Differentialgleichungen: Lösungsmethoden und Lösungen, Bd. I., Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig 1961.
- 3 v. Mangoldt H., Knopp K.: Einführung in die höhere Mathematik, Bd. 3., Hirzel Verlag, Stuttgart 1967.
- 4 Stepanow W. W.: Lehrbuch der Differentialgleichungen, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1976.

© 1990 Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel

0013-6018/90/060145-05\$1.50 + 0.20/0

A very elementary proof of a probabilistic limit relation

Let

$$a_n = e^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{n^k}{k!}. \quad (1)$$

It is well known that

$$\lim a_n = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2)$$

This limit relation has a definite probabilistic flavor. In «wise» terms, a_n is the probability that the sum of n independent, equally distributed Poisson random variables with parameter $\lambda = 1$ is smaller than mean value. Relation (2) hence follows immediately as a very particular case of the Central Limit Theorem.

(I first met quantities (1) when dealing with certain problems concerning probability measures in \mathbb{R}^n : confronting Gaussian distribution versus discrete measures concentrated on vertices of the n -cube.)

One inevitably encounters (1) and (2) in quite simple probabilistic considerations concerning interrelation between Poisson, normal and binomial distributions. A glance at the first few chapters of W. Feller's book [1] (its examples and exercises) will suffice to ascertain this.

Relation (2) however deserves an interest of its own. D. Newman has included it in his charming Problem Seminar [2] (Problem 96). There are several ways of proving (2); as a rule, they start from the integral representation of Taylor's remainder and then use some more or less advanced calculus methods (Euler's gamma function, Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, Poisson integral, and so on; Stirling's formula is the cheapest). It might be therefore of some interest to see an entirely elementary proof of (2).

Even deprived of its natural probabilistic context, relation (2) retains some grace. The sum in (1) is the initial segment of the power series representation of e^x , taken at $x = n$; summation is carried as long as the terms increase and stops when they begin to decrease. Thus, asymptotically, (2) asserts that the «growing part» of the series $\sum n^k/k!$ carries about a half of its entire mass. With this comment (and with a proof which avoids the use of nontrivial methods), formula (2) can be taught at the very beginning of a course of calculus. (It is then recommended to return to it several times during, say, two years to exhibit new possibilities given by more advanced techniques.)

Proof of Limit Relation (2). Split e^n into three summands,

$$e^n = A_n + B_n + C_n, \quad (3)$$

where

$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{n^k}{k!}, \quad B_n = \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} \frac{n^k}{k!}, \quad C_n = \sum_{k=2n}^{\infty} \frac{n^k}{k!}.$$

The idea is to show that A_n and B_n are approximately equal, whereas the «tail» C_n is negligible, as compared with e^n .

We have

$$A_n = \frac{n^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} n^{-k} \prod_{j=1}^k (n-j) \right),$$

$$B_n = \frac{n^n}{n!} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} n^k \prod_{j=1}^k (n+j)^{-1} \right),$$

and since $n^{n-1}/(n-1)! = n^n/n!$, this yields

$$B_n - A_n = \frac{n^n}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} F(n, k), \quad (4)$$

where

$$F(n, k) = \frac{n^k}{(n+1) \dots (n+k)} - \frac{(n-1) \dots (n-k)}{n^k} = \frac{N(n, k)}{D(n, k)}, \quad (5)$$

with numerator

$$N(n, k) = n^{2k} - (n^2 - 1) \dots (n^2 - k^2)$$

and denominator

$$D(n, k) = n^k (n + 1) \dots (n + k).$$

These are positive numbers, so that

$$F(n, k) > 0. \tag{6}$$

Consider the k -th degree polynomial

$$P(x) = \prod_{j=1}^k (n^2 - j^2 x).$$

It has k roots in $(1, +\infty)$, hence it is convex in $(-\infty, 1]$, hence is supported by the straight line $y = L(x)$,

$$L(x) = n^{2k} - n^{2k-2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k j^2 \right) x.$$

Setting $x = 1$ in the inequality $L(x) \leq P(x)$ we obtain for the numerator of (5) the estimate

$$N(n, k) \leq n^{2k-2} \sum_{j=1}^k j^2 = n^{2k-2} \cdot \frac{1}{6} k(k+1)(2k+1) \leq n^{2k-2} k^3. \tag{7}$$

As to the denominator, note that if $k \geq 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1) \dots (n+k) &> \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ (i < j)}}^k ij \cdot n^{k-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} n^{k-2} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^k j \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^k j^2 \right) \right) = \frac{1}{24} n^{k-2} k(k+1)(3k^2 - k - 2) \geq \frac{1}{8} n^{k-2} k^4, \end{aligned}$$

this being true also for $k = 1$. Thus

$$D(n, k) > \frac{1}{8} n^{2k-2} k^4$$

and so, by (5), (6) and (7),

$$0 < F(n, k) < \frac{8}{k}.$$

Hence, in view of (4),

$$0 < (B_n - A_n) e^{-n} < 8 \cdot \frac{n^n}{n! e^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}. \tag{8}$$

Stirling would now lead a quick way to (11). In our desire to be as elementary as possible we may also argue like this: the inequalities

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+\frac{1}{3}} < e, \quad (9)$$

$$n! e^n > n^{n+\frac{1}{3}} \quad (10)$$

hold for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$; (9) is proved by standard calculus method (examine $\varphi(x) = \log(x+1) - \log x - (x + \frac{1}{3})^{-1}$) and then (10) is proved by induction, with the aid of (9). Since the sum on the right hand of (8) is $o(n^{1/3})$, we get from (8) and (10)

$$\lim (B_n - A_n) e^{-n} = 0. \quad (11)$$

We are left with the «tail» term C_n (see (3)). But this is immediate:

$$C_n = \frac{n^{2n}}{(2n)!} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^k \prod_{j=1}^k (2n+j)^{-1}\right) < \frac{n^{2n}}{(2n)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = \frac{2n^{2n}}{(2n)!}.$$

In view of (10), $(2n)! > (2n)^{2n} e^{-2n}$, and therefore $C_n < 2^{1-2n} e^{2n}$, whence

$$\lim C_n e^{-n} = 0. \quad (12)$$

Now, relations (3), (11) and (12) yield the desired conclusion

$$\lim a_n = \lim A_n e^{-n} = \lim \frac{1}{2} ((A_n + B_n) e^{-n} + (A_n - B_n) e^{-n}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Marcin E. Kuczma, Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw

REFERENCES

- 1 Feller W.: An introduction to probability theory and its applications vol. 1. Wiley, New York 1971.
- 2 Newman D. J.: A problem seminar. Springer, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin 1982.