

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 70 (2015)
Heft: 3

Artikel: A diophantine equation for sums of consecutive like powers
Autor: Felten, Simon / Müller-Stach, Stefan
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-630632>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 02.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

A diophantine equation for sums of consecutive like powers

Simon Felten and Stefan Müller-Stach

Stefan Müller-Stach studierte Mathematik an der LMU München und in Bayreuth. 1989 promovierte er in Bayreuth, die Habilitation folgte 1996 in Essen. 2002 und 2003 war er Professor an der McMaster Universität in Kanada. 2003 wurde er Professor für Zahlentheorie an der Johannes Gutenberg Universität in Mainz.

Simon Felten, Jahrgang 1994, machte 2013 sein Abitur am Gymnasium Saarburg und studiert seither Mathematik mit Nebenfach theoretische Physik in Mainz. Er war Bundesieger im Bundeswettbewerb Mathematik 2012 und 2013.

1 Introduction

The reader may have seen the following triangular pattern of equations for sums of consecutive positive integers:

$$\begin{aligned}1 + 2 &= 3 \\4 + 5 + 6 &= 7 + 8 \\9 + 10 + 11 + 12 &= 13 + 14 + 15 \\&\vdots\end{aligned}$$

Diophantische Gleichungen wurden seit den Anfängen der Algebra und Zahlentheorie untersucht. So hat schon Euklid diesem Thema große Aufmerksamkeit geschenkt. Natürlich ist auch die Fermatsche Vermutung und ihre Lösung durch Andrew Wiles in der Geschichte der Mathematik bedeutend gewesen, besonders wegen ihrer leicht zugänglichen Fragestellung. Spätestens seit dem 19. Jahrhundert wurden diophantische Gleichungen systematischer untersucht. Wie man am Beispiel der Fermatschen Gleichung sieht, haben diophantische Gleichungen eine starke Verbindung zu tiefen Problemen der modernen Zahlentheorie. Gleichungen mit hoher Symmetrie, wie etwa Potenzsummen, begeistern oft am meisten. In dieser Arbeit wenden sich die Autoren einer unendlichen Folge von diophantischen Gleichungen zu, die feste aufeinanderfolgende l -te Potenzen natürlicher Zahlen beinhaltet. Für $l = 1$ und $l = 2$ wurde diese Gleichung um 1879 von Georges Dostor untersucht: Sie besitzt in diesen Fällen unendlich viele positive ganzzahlige Lösungen, was damit zusammenhängt, dass die zugehörige ebene Kurve rational ist. Die Autoren zeigen nun, dass die Gleichung für alle $l > 2$ überhaupt keine Lösung mehr in den positiven ganzen Zahlen besitzt.

The numbers on the far left in each line are precisely all square numbers $n = k^2$ for $k \geq 1$. Furthermore, k is equal to the number of summands on the right, and one less than the number of summands on the left. There is a similar sequence for squares, which was already studied by Georges Dostor [3] in 1879:

$$\begin{aligned} 3^2 + 4^2 &= 5^2 \\ 10^2 + 11^2 + 12^2 &= 13^2 + 14^2 \\ 21^2 + 22^2 + 23^2 + 24^2 &= 25^2 + 26^2 + 27^2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the numbers on the far left are of the form n^2 with $n = k(2k + 1)$ for $k \geq 1$. There are geometric explanations for these sums via square dissections, see [1]. One immediately wonders whether the pattern persists for cubes and higher powers. This leads to the diophantine equation

$$n^\ell + (n + 1)^\ell + \cdots + (n + k)^\ell = (n + k + 1)^\ell + \cdots + (n + 2k)^\ell \quad (1)$$

with unknowns k and n . This equation is similar to other diophantine equations for sums of like powers [5, page 209], in particular the Erdős–Moser equation. For $\ell = 3, 4$ it was shown by Edouard Collignon [2] in 1906 that the equation does not have a solution in positive integers. A variant of this equation for $\ell = 3$ is related to cube dissections [4]. Here, we prove uniformly that there are no positive integer solutions for $\ell \geq 3$:

Theorem 1. *Equation (1) has no solutions in positive integers k, n for any $\ell \geq 3$.*

The rest of the paper contains a proof of this result. Our ideas are somewhat inspired by Runge’s power series method [8], for which quantitative versions are known [9]. We would like to thank Harald Scheid for mentioning this diophantine problem, and Greg Frederickson for pointing out the history behind it. Our work has led to entry A234319 in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (www.oeis.org), where the reader can find more references.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

To prove the theorem, we use the new variable $w = n + k$ and seek solutions in k and w . Thus, equation (1) is equivalent to

$$w^\ell = \sum_{i=1}^k \left((w + i)^\ell - (w - i)^\ell \right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{m} w^{\ell-m} (1 - (-1)^m) \sum_{i=1}^k i^m. \quad (2)$$

Only summands for m odd occur on the right side. Hence, we may rewrite equation (1) as

$$w^\ell = 2 \sum_{m \text{ odd}} \binom{\ell}{m} w^{\ell-m} \sum_{i=1}^k i^m. \quad (3)$$

If ℓ is even, all summands are divisible by w , since $\ell - m \geq 1$. Hence, we replace equation (1) for even $\ell \geq 2$ by

$$w^{\ell-1} = 2 \sum_{m \text{ odd}} \binom{\ell}{m} w^{\ell-m-1} \sum_{i=1}^k i^m. \tag{4}$$

For $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$ equations (3) resp. (4) are of the form $w = k(k + 1)$ resp. $w = 2k(k + 1)$, and give exactly the solutions mentioned in the introduction. The Carlitz–von Staudt theorem [7] states that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k i^m \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}.$$

for m odd. Therefore, $k(k + 1)$ is a divisor of $2 \sum_{i=1}^k i^m$, hence of w^ℓ . Hence $\text{rad}(k(k + 1))$ divides w , and in particular w is even. (Recall that $\text{rad}(x)$ is, by definition, the product of all positive primes dividing an integer x .)

Now we show that there is at most one solution $w = w(k)$ for any positive integer k and any $\ell \geq 1$.

Proposition 2. *For any $k, \ell \geq 1$ there is at most one positive $w = w(k)$ solving the equations (3) or (4).*

Proof. By subtracting the right-hand side from the left-hand side in both equations (3) and (4), one obtains a polynomial $f(k, w)$ with

$$f(k, w) = w^\ell - 2 \sum_{m \text{ odd}} \binom{\ell}{m} w^{\ell-m} \sum_{i=1}^k i^m \quad \text{for } \ell \text{ odd,}$$

$$f(k, w) = w^{\ell-1} - 2 \sum_{m \text{ odd}} \binom{\ell}{m} w^{\ell-m-1} \sum_{i=1}^k i^m \quad \text{for } \ell \text{ even.}$$

In each case, f has only one sign change. Therefore, Descartes’ rule of signs implies that for each $k \geq 1$ there is at most one positive $w = w(k)$ solving the equations. \square

For the remaining arguments, we define integers e, f, g by the 2-adic valuations

$$f := v_2(k(k + 1)), \quad g := v_2(w), \quad e := v_2(\ell).$$

In the following, we will often write $2^f \parallel k(k + 1)$ etc. instead.

By a theorem of MacMillan and Sondow [6, Thm. 1], the power sums have 2-adic valuations

$$v_2\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^k i^m\right) = 2f - 1,$$

independent of m for all odd $m \geq 3$ and all $k \geq 1$.

Proposition 3. *One has $g \geq e + 1$ for all solutions w and all ℓ .*

Proof. We may assume that ℓ is even, since otherwise $e = 0$. The integer w is even, as we have seen already. Let p be any odd prime dividing $w + 1$. Equation (1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (w - i)^\ell = \sum_{i=1}^k (w + i)^\ell.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (w - i)^\ell - \sum_{i=1}^k (w + i)^\ell \equiv k^\ell + (k + 1)^\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

This gives that $k + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, since otherwise $k + 1$ and k would be both divisible by p . We get

$$-1 \equiv \left(\frac{k}{k + 1} \right)^\ell \pmod{p}.$$

Let ζ be a primitive root modulo p . Then, for some integer a , we have $\zeta^{a2^e} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, hence $a2^e \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{p-1}$. Let h be such that $2^h \parallel p - 1$. Then $2^{h-1} \parallel a2^e$. Therefore, $h - 1 \geq e$ and $2^{e+1} \mid p - 1$. Thus $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{e+1}}$. Since we proved this for all odd primes p dividing $w + 1$, and $w + 1$ is odd, we conclude that $w + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{e+1}}$. This shows that $g \geq e + 1$. \square

Proposition 4. *For every solution $(k, w(k))$ in non-zero positive integers and every $\ell \geq 1$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \ell k(k + 1) + \frac{(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)}{12\ell} - \frac{(\ell - 1)^2(\ell - 2)^2}{72\ell^3 k(k + 1)} \\ \leq w(k) \leq \ell k(k + 1) + \frac{(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)}{12\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We may assume $\ell \geq 3$ and we use the abbreviations

$$K = k(k + 1), \quad a = \frac{(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)}{12\ell} \text{ and } b = \frac{(\ell - 1)^2(\ell - 2)^2}{72\ell^3}.$$

Therefore, we have $b = \frac{2a^2}{\ell}$. It is easy to see that

$$\left(1 - \frac{2a}{\ell K} \right)^3 < 8 \left(1 - \frac{a}{\ell K} \right),$$

since $\frac{a}{\ell K}$ is small. By an easy computation (see appendix), this is equivalent to

$$\left(\ell K + a - \frac{b}{K} \right)^3 < \ell K \left(\ell K + a - \frac{b}{K} \right)^2 + \ell^2 a K^2.$$

Let $w_0 := \ell K + a - \frac{b}{K}$. Then

$$w_0^3 < \ell K w_0^2 + \ell^2 a K^2,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} w_0^\ell &< \ell K w_0^{\ell-1} + \ell^2 a K^2 w_0^{\ell-3}, & \text{for } \ell \geq 3 \text{ odd,} \\ w_0^{\ell-1} &< \ell K w_0^{\ell-2} + \ell^2 a K^2 w_0^{\ell-4}, & \text{for } \ell \geq 4 \text{ even.} \end{aligned}$$

The terms on the right-hand side are the terms for $m = 1$ and $m = 3$ in equations (3) and (4). All other terms are also of the same sign, therefore we conclude that $f(k, w_0) < 0$. This shows that w_0 is a lower bound.

To obtain the upper bound, the idea is to divide equation (3) by $w^{\ell-1}$, and use the lower bound in all occurrences of w in the denominator. The lower bound implies that

$$w = \ell K + a - \frac{a(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{6\ell^2 K} \geq \ell K + \frac{11a}{12} \geq \ell K$$

for all ℓ , since $K \geq 2$. If $\ell = 3$ or $\ell = 4$, then equation (3) gives

$$w = \ell K + \frac{\ell^2 a K^2}{w^2} \leq \ell K + a,$$

and we are done. For $\ell \geq 5$ we write equation (3) as

$$w = 2 \sum_{m \text{ odd}} \binom{\ell}{m} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k i^m}{w^{m-1}} = \ell K + \frac{\ell^2 a K^2}{w^2} + 2 \sum_{m \geq 5 \text{ odd}} \binom{\ell}{m} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k i^m}{w^{m-1}},$$

and estimate using $w \geq \ell K + \frac{11a}{12} \geq \ell K$

$$\begin{aligned} w &\leq \ell K + \frac{\ell^2 a K^2}{(\ell K + \frac{11a}{12})^2} + 2 \sum_{m \geq 5 \text{ odd}} \binom{\ell}{m} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k i^m}{(\ell K)^{m-1}} \\ &\leq \ell K + \frac{a}{(1 + \frac{11a}{12K\ell})^2} + 2 \sum_{m \geq 5 \text{ odd}} \binom{\ell}{m} \frac{k^m \sum_{i=1}^k (\frac{i}{k})^m}{(\ell K)^{m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $m \geq 5$, we use $\sum_{i=1}^k (\frac{i}{k})^m \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\frac{i}{k})^3 = \frac{K^2}{4k^3}$, and

$$\binom{\ell}{m} \ell^{1-m} \leq \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)\ell^{m-2}\ell^{1-m}}{m!} = \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{\ell m!},$$

so that we get

$$\begin{aligned} w &\leq \ell K + \frac{a}{\left(1 + \frac{11a}{12K\ell}\right)^2} + \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)K^3}{2\ell k^3} \sum_{m \geq 5 \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{(k+1)^m m!} \\ &\leq \ell K + \frac{a}{\left(1 + \frac{11a}{12K\ell}\right)^2} + \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)K^3}{2\ell k^3 (k+1)^5} \sum_{m \geq 5 \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{m!} \\ &\leq \ell K + a \left(\frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{11a}{12K\ell}\right)^2} + \frac{6}{(k+1)^2} \sum_{m \geq 5} \frac{1}{m!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

One has

$$\sum_{m \geq 5} \frac{1}{m!} \leq \exp(1) - 1 - 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{24} < 0.01.$$

For $\ell \geq 5$ one also has $\frac{a}{\ell} \geq \frac{1}{25}$. This implies, using Bernoulli's inequality and $K \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{11a}{12K\ell}\right)^2} + \frac{6}{(k+1)^2} \sum_{m \geq 5 \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{m!} &\leq \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{11}{300K}\right)^2} + \frac{6}{K} \sum_{m \geq 5 \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{m!} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{11}{150K}} + \frac{0.06}{K} \leq \frac{K + 0.06\left(1 + \frac{11}{150K}\right)}{K + \frac{11}{150}} \leq \frac{K + \frac{933}{15000}}{K + \frac{1100}{15000}} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the upper bound $w \leq \ell K + a$. \square

Corollary 5. *For every solution $(k, w(k))$ in positive integers and every $\ell \geq 3$ we have $k(k+1) < \frac{(\ell-2)^2}{12}$ and $3f + 3 \leq \ell$.*

Proof. We know that $\ell K + a - \frac{b}{K} \leq w(k) \leq \ell K + a$ for every positive integral solution $w(k)$. But one also has

$$\frac{\ell-3}{12} \leq a < \frac{\ell-2}{12}.$$

As $w(k)$ is an integer, we get

$$w \leq \ell K + \frac{\ell-3}{12}, \quad \text{and thus} \quad \frac{\ell-3}{12} \geq a - \frac{b}{K}.$$

From this one deduces that

$$K \leq \frac{(\ell-1)^2(\ell-2)^2}{12\ell^2} < \frac{(\ell-2)^2}{12}.$$

This implies $\ell-2 > \sqrt{12K}$. As $K \geq 2^f$, and $12 \cdot 2^f \geq 9 \cdot f^2$ for all $f \geq 1$, we have $\ell-2 > 3f$, and the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. The idea of the proof is to look at 2-adic valuations in the terms of the equation together with the above inequalities.

First let ℓ be even. We may assume that $\ell \geq 6$ since $f \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 3f + 3$. Look at equation (4) modulo the integer $s = 2^{(2f-1)+2g+e}$.

We claim that all summands on the right-hand side for odd m with $3 \leq m \leq \ell - 3$ are $\equiv 0 \pmod{s}$. This is true for $m = \ell - 3$, since then $2^{2g} \mid w^2$ and one also has $2^e \mid \binom{\ell}{\ell-3} = \frac{\ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}$ and $2^{2f-1} \mid 2 \sum_{i=1}^k i^{\ell-3}$.

For m odd with $3 \leq m \leq \ell - 5$, one has as well $2^{2f-1} \parallel 2 \sum_{i=1}^k i^m$, and in addition $2^{g(\ell-m-1)} \parallel w^{\ell-m-1}$. Using $g \geq e + 1$, one has $g(\ell - m - 1) \geq 4g \geq 2g + e$ and therefore the assertion follows. Therefore, we obtain

$$w^{\ell-1} \equiv \ell w^{\ell-2} k(k+1) + 2\ell \sum_{i=1}^k i^{\ell-1} \pmod{s}.$$

We have shown that $2^{e+2f-1} \parallel 2\ell \sum_{i=1}^k i^{\ell-1}$. Since w is even, we have $g \geq 1$. Therefore, $e + 2f - 1 < (2f - 1) + 2g + e$. We also have $2^{e+(\ell-2)g+f} \parallel \ell w^{\ell-2} k(k+1)$. Using $\ell \geq 3f + 3$ we get

$$e + (\ell - 2)g + f \geq e + (3f + 1)g + f \geq (3f - 1)g + 2g + e \geq (2f - 1) + (2g + e).$$

Hence the first term on the right is also $\equiv 0$ modulo s . This implies $(\ell - 1)g = e + 2f - 1$. This is a contradiction, using $\ell \geq 3f + 3$ and $g \geq e + 1$.

Assume now that $\ell \geq 5$ is odd (hence $e = 0$), and look at equation (3) modulo $s = 2^{(2f-1)+2g}$. Again, all summands on the right-hand side for odd m with $3 \leq m \leq \ell - 2$ are $\equiv 0 \pmod{s}$, since $2^{2f-1} \parallel 2 \sum_{i=1}^k i^m$, and $g(\ell - m) \geq 2g$ for $m \leq \ell - 2$. Therefore, we obtain

$$w^\ell \equiv \ell w^{\ell-1} k(k+1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k i^\ell \pmod{s}.$$

We know that $2^{2f-1} \parallel 2 \sum_{i=1}^k i^\ell$. Since w is even, we have $g \geq 1$. Therefore, $2f - 1 < (2f - 1) + 2g$. We also have $2^{(\ell-1)g+f} \parallel \ell w^{\ell-1} k(k+1)$. Using $\ell \geq 3f + 3$ we get

$$(\ell - 1)g + f \geq (3f + 2)g + f \geq (2f - 1) + 2g$$

and hence the first term on the right is also $\equiv 0$ modulo s . This implies $\ell g = 2f - 1$. This is a contradiction, using $\ell \geq 3f + 3$. \square

Appendix

Here we supply the missing computation from the proof of Proposition 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{2a}{\ell K}\right)^3 < 8 \left(1 - \frac{a}{\ell K}\right) &\Leftrightarrow a \left(1 - \frac{2a}{\ell K}\right)^3 - 8a \left(1 - \frac{a}{\ell K}\right) < 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left(1 - \frac{2a}{\ell K}\right)^2 \left(2\ell K + a \left(1 - \frac{2a}{\ell K}\right)\right) < 2\ell K \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left(a - \frac{b}{K}\right)^2 \left(2\ell K + a - \frac{b}{K}\right) < b\ell^2 K \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Leftrightarrow \left(a - \frac{b}{K}\right) \left(2\ell K \left(a - \frac{b}{K}\right) + \left(a - \frac{b}{K}\right)^2\right) < b\ell^2 K \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left(a - \frac{b}{K}\right) \left(\ell^2 K^2 + 2\ell K \left(a - \frac{b}{K}\right) + \left(a - \frac{b}{K}\right)^2\right) < \ell^2 a K^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left(a - \frac{b}{K}\right) \left(\ell K + a - \frac{b}{K}\right)^2 < \ell^2 a K^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left(\ell K + a - \frac{b}{K}\right)^3 < \ell K \left(\ell K + a - \frac{b}{K}\right)^2 + \ell^2 a K^2.
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Michael Boardman: Proof without words: Pythagorean runs, *Mathematics Magazine* 73, page 59, (2000).
- [2] Edouard Collignon: Sur la résolution en entiers de $m^2 + (m-r)^2 + \dots + (m-kr)^2 = (m+r)^2 + \dots + (m+kr)^2$, *Sphinx-Œdipe* No. 9 and No. 10, 129–133 (1906-1907).
- [3] Georges Dostor: Questions sur les nombres, *Archiv der Mathematik und Physik* 64, 350–352 (1879).
- [4] Greg N. Frederickson: Casting light on cube dissections, *Mathematics Magazine* 82(5), 323–331 (2009).
- [5] Richard Guy: *Unsolved problems in number theory*, 3rd edition, Springer-Verlag (2004).
- [6] Kieren MacMillan and Jonathan Sondow: Divisibility of power sums and the generalized Erdős–Moser equation, *Elemente der Mathematik* 67, 182–186 (2012).
- [7] Pieter Moree: A top hat for Moser’s four mathematical rabbits, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 118(4), 364–370 (2011).
- [8] Carl Runge: Über ganzzahlige Lösungen von Gleichungen zwischen zwei Veränderlichen, *Crelle Journal* 100, 425–435 (1887).
- [9] Gary Walsh: A quantitative version of Runge’s theorem on diophantine equations, *Acta Arithmetica* 62, 157–172 (1992); Corrections *ibid.* 73, 397–398 (1995).

Simon Felten and Stefan Müller-Stach
 Institut für Mathematik
 Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz
 Saarstr. 21
 D-55122 Mainz, Germany
 e-mails: sfelten@students.uni-mainz.de
mueller-stach@uni-mainz.de