

Zeitschrift: Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Geologische Gesellschaft
Band: 90 (1997)
Heft: 3

Artikel: Volcanic risks : possibilities of mitigation
Autor: Wagner, Jean-Jacques
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-168187>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 14.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Volcanic risks – possibilities of mitigation

JEAN-JACQUES WAGNER

CERG, Sciences de la Terre, Université, CH-1211 Genève 4

Every year several of the 550 historically active volcanoes on earth are restless and may pose a threat to mankind; two examples are relevant for 1994-95. On 19th of September 1994, the volcanoes Vulcan and Tavurvur in the Rabaul Caldera, Papua New Guinea began to erupt. Monitoring of precursors and awareness of the population to the eruptions permitted the safe evacuation of 68'000 people. The economic damage due to ash fall was significant. On 18th of July 1995, a steam blast explosion occurred on the dormant Soufriere Hills volcano, Montserrat, West Indies. This event was followed by an ongoing activity which included a larger event on the 21st of August which generated an ash-cloud that menaced the capital Plymouth. The population of about 5,000 was temporarily evacuated. These two recent cases demonstrate that with a good perception of the hazardous phenomena, an appropriate information of the population, and an awareness of the authorities, one is able in most cases, to manage a difficult situation.

It is important to remember the definition of risk which facilitates the development of mitigation action. Volcanic risk may be defined as:

The possibility of loss of life and damage to property and patrimony in an area exposed to the threat of a volcanic eruption

This definition can be summarized by the following formula (UNDRO 1980):

$$\text{Risk} = \text{Hazard} \bullet \text{Vulnerability} \bullet \text{Value}$$

Volcanic hazards may be evaluated through two main complementary approaches which will lead to the prevision and prediction:

- medium and long term: volcanic hazard mapping and modelisation; volcanic hazard zonation
- short term: surveillance and monitoring of the volcano

Based on this knowledge and evaluation of volcanic hazard and vulnerability, one may build a strategy and a policy of mit-

igation through planning and security measures. Options for volcanic risk reduction may be pursued in three directions:

1. Hazard modification; only valid for lava flows:
 - diverting (e.g. Etna 1992-93) Possible, but difficult to apply.
 - channeling
 - cooling (e.g. Heimaey 1973) many uncertainties.
2. Structural vulnerability reduction; construction code (rules):
 - appropriate roof slope; ash fall protection Possible, important for vital functions during the crisis and for rehabilitation after the crisis
 - use of non-flammable material
 - burying vital infrastructures such as energy and communication networks
 - damming; lahar protection (e.g. Pinatubo 1991)
3. Population vulnerability reduction; changing the functional characters of settlements:
 - regulation and planning of the land use in exposed areas (depends on the type of volcanic hazards) Direct bearing on risk reduction.

Last, but not least, mitigation includes also a good preparedness taking into account education and an effective media communication. Admitting the complexity of the problem, a successful mitigation can only be the fruit of a multi- and trans-disciplinary activity and finally a major factor for success is the acceptance by the population concerned.

REFERENCES

UNDRO 1980: Natural Disasters and Vulnerability Analysis, Report of Expert Group Meeting (9-12 July 1979) United Nations, Geneva.