

Zeitschrift: Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Geologische Gesellschaft
Band: 93 (2000)
Heft: 1

Artikel: The onset of the syn-orogenic sedimentation in Flysch Basin of the Sicilian Maghrebids : state of the art and new biostratigraphic constraints
Autor: Capoa, Paola de / Guerrera, Francesco / Perrone, Vincenzo
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-168808>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 30.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

The onset of the syn-orogenic sedimentation in the Flysch Basin of the Sicilian Maghrebids: state of the art and new biostratigraphic constraints

PAOLA DE CAPOA¹, FRANCESCO GUERRERA², VINCENZO PERRONE², FRANCISCO SERRANO³
& MARIO TRAMONTANA⁴

Key words: Early Miocene, Biostratigraphy, Tectonics, Sicilide Units, Maghrebian Chain, Sicily, Southern Italy

ABSTRACT

The synorogenic deposits forming the uppermost part of the stratigraphic successions of the Sicilide Units have been studied in order to recognize the tectogenetic phases in the Sicilian sector of the Maghrebian Chain. The Sicilide Units deposits sedimented in the Maghrebian Flysch Basin, which represents the southernmost of the oceanic branches separating, from the Late Jurassic, the European and African plates and the microcontinents located between them.

The study, focused on the Troina-Tusa Unit, has shown that it consists of prevalently marly-clayey foreland deposits, from Cretaceous to early Miocene age. Within the uppermost part of these deposits arenaceous beds appear, which mark the transitional boundary with two heterotopically lying turbiditic formations. The first one (Tusa Tuffite Fm.) is characterized by volcanoarenitic layers, whereas the second one (Troina Sandstone Fm.) consists of arkosic and lithic arkosic beds, interbedded with conglomerates and pelites. These two formations testify the onset of the foredeep stage in the Sicilide Basin evolution, occurring through the replacement of the marly-clayey sediments by syn-orogenic turbiditic deposits. Contemporaneously, an active andesitic magmatic arc supplies abundant epiclastic detritus to the internal areas of the basin.

In the uppermost levels of the marly-clayey sediments microfloras indicate an age not older than Aquitanian. Cocoliths and foraminifera in the overlying Tusa Tuffite and Troina Sandstone Fms. indicate an age not older than Burdigalian for the synorogenic turbiditic sediments. Therefore, these deposits are more recent than indicated up to now (upper Oligocene-middle Aquitanian). Consequently, the deformation of the Sicilide Basin, the consumption of the Maghrebian oceanic area and the following Africa-Europe continental collision must have occurred in late Burdigalian or during the Langhian.

Biostratigraphic and field data show that there is no evidence, in the Sicilian sector of the Maghrebian Flysch Basin, of the meso-Alpine, Eocene-early Oligocene, tectogenetic phases, which have been recently re-proposed by some authors. They rather agree with the Aquitanian deformation of the more internal Peloritani Domain. Moreover, they question the deformation age of the Maghrebian External Domain contiguous to the Flysch Basin (Panormide and Imerese Zones). These latter must have been deformed not earlier than Langhian, or, more probably, during the Serravallian, later than what was thought up to now.

Finally, the new data indicate a synchronous deformation in the whole Maghrebian Flysch Basin from the Calabria-Peloritani Arc to the Rif and Betic Cordilleras.

RESUME

Les dépôts synorogéniques qui caractérisent le sommet des successions stratigraphiques des Unités Sicilides ont été étudiés afin de définir l'âge des premières phases tectogénétiques qui ont affecté le secteur sicilien de la Chaîne maghrébine. Les sédiments des Unités Sicilides ont été déposés dans le bassin des Flyschs maghrébins qui a représenté, à partir du Jurassique Supérieur, la plus méridionale des branches océaniques qui séparaient les plaques européenne et africaine et les microcontinents entre les deux.

L'étude est focalisée sur l'Unité de Troina-Tusa, caractérisée par une succession méso-cénozoïque dont les niveaux supérieurs ont été jusqu'à présent considérés comme étant compris entre l'Oligocène inférieur et l'Aquitainien. Cette unité est formée surtout de dépôts marno-argileux d'un âge compris entre le Crétacé et le Miocène inférieur. Au sommet, apparaissent des grès, qui marquent le passage graduel à deux formations turbiditiques hétérotopiques: la Formation des «*Tuffiti di Tusa*», caractérisée par des couches volcano-gréseuses, et la Formation des «*Arenarie di Troina*», composée par des arkoses et des arkoses lithiques, dans lesquelles sont intercalés des conglomérats et des pélites. Ces deux formations témoignent du début du stade d'avant-fosse dans le bassin sicilien, marqué par le remplacement de la sédimentation marno-argileuse par des dépôts turbiditiques synorogéniques. En même temps, un arc magmatique andésitique alimentait les zones internes du bassin avec un abondant détritisme épicalastique.

Les niveaux les plus élevés des sédiments marno-argileux sont caractérisés par des microflores qui témoignent d'un âge qui ne peut pas être plus ancien que l'Aquitainien, tandis que les coccolithes et les foraminifères des «*Tuffiti di Tusa*» et des «*Arenarie di Troina*» indiquent un âge pas plus ancien que le Burdigalien. Par conséquent, les dépôts synorogéniques de l'Unité de Troina-Tusa sont beaucoup plus récents que ce qu'on avait cru jusqu'à présent (Oligocène supérieur-Aquitainien moyen) et la déformation du bassin sicilien, la fermeture de l'Océan maghrébin et la collision continentale Europe-Afrique ont eu lieu à partir du Burdigalien supérieur ou pendant le Langhien.

Les données biostratigraphiques et de terrain démontrent que, dans le secteur sicilien du bassin des Flyschs maghrébins, il n'y a aucune évidence des phases tectogénétiques mésoalpines, d'âge Eocène-Oligocène inférieur, dont on a, encore récemment, supposé à nouveau l'existence. Ces données s'accordent bien également avec l'âge aquitainien de la déformation du plus interne domaine peloritain, et elles remettent en question l'âge de déformation des domaines externes maghrébins contigus au bassin des Flyschs (zones panormide et imérèse). La déformation de ces dernières ne peut pas être plus ancienne que le Langhien ou, plus probablement, elle s'est manifestée pendant le Serravallien, c'est-à-dire beaucoup plus récemment que ce que l'on avait admis jusqu'à présent.

Enfin, les nouvelles données indiquent que la déformation de tout le bassin des Flyschs est synchrone à partir de l'Arc Calabro-peloritain jusqu'au Rif et à la Cordillère Bétique.

¹ Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Napoli „Federico II“, Largo San Marcellino 10, 80138 Napoli, Italy, e-mail: decapoa@unina.it

² Istituto di Geologia, Università di Urbino, Campus Scientifico, 61029 Urbino, Italy, e-mail: f.guerrera@uniurb.it and perrone@uniurb.it

³ Departamento de Geología, Universidad de Málaga, Campus De Teatinos, 29071 Málaga, Spain, e-mail: f.serrano@uma.es

⁴ Istituto di Geodinamica e Sedimentologia, Università di Urbino, Campus Scientifico, 61029 Urbino, Italy, e-mail: tramontana@uniurb.it




Fig. 1. Tectonic sketch of the Alpine Chains of the Central-Western Mediterranean (after Guerrera et al. 1993).

1. Introduction

The Maghrebian Chain, as defined by Aubouin & Durand Delga (1971), Durand Delga (1980) and Wildi (1983), is an Africa-vergent Alpine chain, extending from the Gibraltar Strait to the Catanzaro Graben in Central Calabria. The chain is made of a nappe pile resulting from the deformation of three main domains: the Internal, the Flysch Basin and the External Domains (Fig. 1).

From these domains, located from north to south respectively, nappes now stacked from the top to the bottom in the tectonic pile, originated. The Internal Domain nappes, preserved in the Rif, the Kabylies and the Calabria-Peloritani Arc, are characterized by pre-Alpine crystalline basement and only some of them show a Meso-Cenozoic cover (Fig. 1).

The Flysch Basin nappes are made up of pelagic and turbiditic successions, ranging in age from the Latest Jurassic to the early Miocene. They accumulated in a Meso-Cenozoic basin, extending from Gibraltar to Eastern Sicily, characterized by an oceanic crust (Wildi 1983; Guerrera et al. 1993), which is preserved in a few outcrops across the Kabylies (Durand Delga 1971) and the Rif (Olivier et al. 1996). This basin was the site of a prevailing turbiditic sedimentation: differences both in lithology and sedimentary evolution allowed to individuate internal (Mauretanian) and external (Massylian) successions, markedly continuous along the basin axis (Bouillin et al. 1970).

The differences between Mauretanian and Massylian successions are particularly remarkable from the late Oligocene onwards, when in the Mauretanian Zone of the basin the sedimentation of immature sandstones occurs, while the very mature quartzarenitic Numidian Flysch Sequence, supplied by the African Craton, is deposited in the Massylian Zone. The two types of successions pass one into the other through typical alternances of lithic arkoses and quartzarenites (Mixed Successions; Didon & Hoyez 1978; Guerrera et al. 1986). Terrains of the Flysch Basin have been recognized in the Betic Cordillera (Flysch of the Campo de Gibraltar; Didon 1969; Martín-Algarra 1987) and recently in the Apenninic Chain (Bonardi et al. 1988, 1994; Guerrera et al. 1993; Fig. 1).

The External Domain nappes, finally, are mainly characterized by calcareous and marly successions, related to both neritic and pelagic environments.

Although the geometric setting and the major paleogeographic interpretations are unanimously accepted, many problems are still debated. Among these the following are the most controversial: 1) the origin of the Internal Domain nappes, for which a provenance from Africa (Amodio Morelli et al. 1976), Europe (Bouillin et al. 1986) or an intermediate microcontinent (Wildi 1983; Guerrera et al. 1993) has been suggested; and 2) the age of the onset of the deformation in the different domains, because ages ranging from the middle Eocene to the middle Miocene have been proposed (Duée 1969; Courme & Mascle 1988; Roure et al. 1990; Lentini et al. 1991, 1995; Casola et al. 1992; Guerrera et al. 1993; La Manna et al. 1995).

This paper deals with some results of a research carried out on the Sicilian sector of the Maghrebian Chain and turns to the reconstruction of the tectogenetic history of this sector during the Paleogene-middle Miocene, that it is to say between the onset of the compressive deformation in the Western Mediterranean domains and the Africa-Europe collision. The research has involved a revision of the siliciclastic syn-orogenic formations, which characterize the units deriving from the Internal and Flysch Basin Domains, and of the late-orogenic formations, post-dating the stacking of the nappes resulting from the first deformative phase.

2. The eastern sector of the Maghrebian Chain

In the eastern sector of the Maghrebian Chain (Sicily and Southern Calabria; Aubouin & Durand Delga 1971) all the main domains are easily recognizable (Fig. 2).

The Internal Domain is represented by the nappes of the Peloritani Mountains and Southern Calabria, which are characterized by a metamorphic pre-Alpine basement. Only the lowest nappe (Longi-Taormina Nappe) shows a continuous Upper Triassic-Aquitania sedimentary cover.

The age of deformation of the Internal Domain is well constrained by the Aquitanian age of the youngest sediments stacked in the nappe pile (de Capoa et al. 1997) and the Burdigalian age (Bonardi et al. 1980) of the oldest clastic deposits unconformably lying on all the Peloritani Units (Stilo-Capo d'Orlando Formation).

The Flysch Domain units are arranged in three nappes: Monte Soro, Troina-Tusa and Nicosia, emplaced respectively




Fig. 2. Geologic sketch map of north-eastern Sicily.

from the top to the bottom of the stack. For the Sicilian sector of the Flysch Basin we propose to use the term Sicilide Basin (Ogniben 1960), in agreement with the Italian literature. Sicilide units have also been recognized in a more internal position, onto the Stilo-Capo d'Orlando Fm. or directly lying on the metamorphic basement of the Peloritanean units (Fig. 3). This supra-Peloritane arrangement has been interpreted as the result of a back-thrusting (Antisicilide Complex; Ogniben 1960), related with the closure of the Sicilide Flysch Basin and the consequent Africa-Europe collision (Bonardi et al. 1996).

The External Domain Units are recognizable in several thin-skinned thrust sheets, made up of deposits ranging in age from the Middle Triassic to the middle-late Miocene. They show prevailing Mesozoic carbonate platform or carbonate ramp successions and subordinate deep pelagic sediments.

In the early Miocene a wide deep basin extends from a part of the External Domain (Imerese and Panormide Zones) to the Mauretanean Zone. Within this basin, the pelitic and quartzarenitic sedimentation of the Numidian Flysch Sequence develops in the former Imerese, Panormide and Massilian Zones. Northward, the Numidian Sequence passes to the immature sediments of the Mauretanean Zone. Therefore, the uppermost levels of the Imerese, Panormide and Massilian

Units are characterized by the same sediments of the Numidian Flysch Sequence.

3. The Maghrebian Flysch Basin in Sicily: previous papers and state of the art

Ogniben (1960) distinguishes a Sicilide Complex characterized by an upper nappe (Cesarò Nappe), constituted by Cretaceous sandy-pelitic sediments, and a lower nappe (Troina Nappe), made up of Cretaceous-Miocene deposits. The Eocene of the Troina Nappe includes some heteropic formations, capped by the mainly arenaceous Reitano Flysch, Oligocene-middle Miocene in age. According to Ogniben (1960) the Cesarò and Troina Nappes would derive from the same stratigraphic succession, whose upper part would have been overthrust by the lower one, detached in correspondence with Eocene variegated clay levels.

These ideas resulted in the geologic map of Vezzani et al. (1972). The stratigraphic succession of the Sicilide Complex, from which the Cesarò and Troina Nappes originated, starts with a Tithonian?-Lower Cretaceous formation made up of clays and limestones, and ends with three heteropic Eocene formations. The first two are constituted by clays, marls and,




Fig. 3. Schematic cross section of north-eastern Sicily (after Bianchi et al. 1987; slightly modified), along the alignment Novara di Sicilia-Capizzi-Nicosia (A-A' in Fig. 2).

subordinately, limestones and sandstones; whereas the third is made up of tuffites, tuffitic sandstones and clays (*Tufiti di Tusa* Fm.). The arenaceous turbidites of the Reitano Flysch, latest Oligocene-early Miocene in age, unconformably lie on both Cesarò and Troina Nappes, post-dating their tectonic contacts.

However, according to Duée (1969) and Caire (1970) three main nappes (*Argille Scagliose*, Reitano and Monte Soro) originated from the Sicilide Basin. Starting from the Late Jurassic, the sediments of the Sicilide Basin would have been deposited all around the partially emerging crystalline Peloritani Domain. In the Oligo-Miocene, the deformation of this domain would have directly influenced the sedimentation, causing an immature sandy-conglomeratic supply in the Reitano Nappe basin, located immediately north and west of the crystalline area. Contemporaneously the clayey and quartzarenitic sedimentation continued in the *Argille Scagliose* and Monte Soro Nappe basins, located north of the Reitano Basin and south of the crystalline domain, respectively. This paleogeographic reconstruction would explain the present arrangement of the Sicilide Nappes, which lie above and below the Peloritani units (Fig. 3). Some unconformities, Cretaceous, Eocene and late Oligocene in age, would testify important tectonic events, but the present setting would be the result of Tortonian tectogenetic phases.

Later, Wezel & Guerrera (1973) and Guerrera & Wezel (1974) reached very different conclusions. The *Tufiti di Tusa* Fm., late Oligocene-middle Aquitanian in age, overlies in stratigraphic continuity varicoloured clays, it constitutes the highest part of the Troina-Tusa tectonic unit and is heteropic of the marly-litho-arkosic flysch successions, cropping out at Troina and Cerami. The Troina-Tusa Unit is unconformably topped by the neo-autochthonous Reitano-Capo d'Orlando Flysch, early Miocene in age. Moreover, the authors were the first to interpret the Tusa tuffites according to a plate tectonics model: this turbiditic formation was supplied from the erosion of a calc-alkaline volcanic arc, and may be correlated with

other volcanoclastic deposits of the Central-Western Mediterranean region.

These interpretations are shared by Amodio Morelli et al. (1976), except for the correlation between the Capo d'Orlando and Reitano Fms., which, on the contrary, are considered to follow two different tectogenetic phases: the first is responsible for the deformation of the Peloritani Domain, the second of the Sicilide one. Therefore, also the ages should be different: more ancient (Oligocene) for the Capo d'Orlando Fm., younger (early or middle Miocene) for the Reitano Flysch Fm.

Because of the occurrence of coeval levels in both the Troina and Cesarò Nappes, Lentini & Vezzani (1978) and Lentini (1982) question that there was only one stratigraphic succession, split up by the tectonics. According to these authors, two nappes originated from the Sicilide Basin: the internal Monte Soro Nappe and the external Troina-Tusa Nappe. In the late Oligocene-early Miocene a tectogenetic phase, post-dated by the Reitano-Capo d'Orlando Flysch, caused the overriding of the Peloritani Units on the Monte Soro Unit and, later, the emplacement of the nappe pile on the Troina-Tusa deposits. In the still undeformed most external areas of the Sicilide Basin – around Cerami and Troina – the clastic sedimentation of the Reitano Flysch continued on the Oligocene sediments. Therefore, an internal Reitano Flysch, unconformably lying on deformed Sicilide Units, and an external Reitano Flysch, following still undeformed Sicilide terrains, are for the first time distinguished.

Puglisi (1979, 1987) points out marked differences in the petrography of the sandstones of the Internal and External Reitano Flysch. This latter is significantly rich of andesitic volcanic clasts, which represent an evident link with the Tusa Tuffite Fm.

Giunta et al. (1982) and Giunta (1985) describe a Nicosia Unit within the Sicilide Domain. This nappe is geometrically the lowest one, lying below the Troina-Tusa Nappe; its external paleogeographic origin is confirmed by the upper

Oligocene-lower Miocene Numidian Flysch, never recognized in the Troina-Tusa and Monte Soro Units.

Bianchi et al. (1987) describe the units, both exposed and buried, recognizable along a crustal profile Nebrodi-Iblei. In the northernmost part, the Sicilide units crop out beneath the Peloritanean units. From the top to the bottom they are: 1) the Monte Soro Unit, made up of only Thitonian-Cretaceous deposits; 2) the Sicilide s.s. Unit, which shows Cretaceous-Eocene pelitic and carbonate sediments and ends with a marly-arenaceous, somewhere tuffitic, turbiditic formation, early Miocene in age; 3) the Nicosia Unit, whose highest levels (Numidian Flysch) are early Burdigalian in age. The first two units are sutured by the unconformably lying Reitano Flysch, probably middle Miocene in age.

Later on, some papers re-propose a pre-late Oligocene age for the Reitano Flysch and for the tectogenetic phases responsible for the deformation of the Sicilide Basin. Courme & Mascle (1988) consider the External Reitano Flysch late Eocene-middle Oligocene in age, whereas Roure et al. (1990) recognize a major unconformity at the base of the Reitano Flysch, which is considered Oligocene in age, and propose again the pre-Oligocene deformation, already pointed out by Ogniben (1960).

Similarly, Cassola et al. (1992, 1995) and Puglisi (1992), on the basis of further biostratigraphic data, propose an early Oligocene age for all the terrains previously ascribed to the Reitano Flysch. The authors distinguish an Internal Reitano Flysch, unconformably lying on the only Monte Soro Unit, and an External Reitano Flysch. This latter constitutes the uppermost levels of the Troina-Tusa succession and would be deposited in small pull-apart basins, located in the still undeformed area. Moreover, the early Oligocene age of the Internal Reitano Flysch would demonstrate the occurrence in the Sicilian sector of the Maghrebian Chain of a meso-Alpine tectogenetic phase. This is responsible for the deformation of both the Peloritanean Domain and the sector of the Sicilide Basin in which the Monte Soro succession deposited.

Interesting data are shown in the map of Carbone et al. (1990) and in Lentini et al. (1991, 1995). The Sicilide Units represent an accretionary wedge originated during the Paleogene. Particularly, in the Troina-Tusa and Nicosia Units, stratigraphic successions, starting from the Cretaceous and ending respectively in the early Miocene and in the early Burdigalian, are recognized. All the deposits previously ascribed both to the Internal and External Reitano Flysch, would lie unconformably on the Monte Soro and Troina-Tusa successions: moreover, they would be Burdigalian-Serravalloian in age and would post-date the deformation of the Sicilide Basin, which, therefore, started in the late Oligocene and ceased during the early Burdigalian. Besides, according to Lentini et al. (1995), two other Sicilide units would be recognizable. The first one (*Argille Scagliose Superiori* Unit) would be a broken formation made up of exclusively Cretaceous varicoloured clays, marls and sandstones, tectonically sandwiched between the Peloritanean and the Monte Soro Units. The second one (Monte Salici Unit, for-

merly considered as an Imerese Unit; Bianchi et al. 1987) crops out below the Nicosia Unit and its upper levels would be made up of only lower-middle Miocene quartzarenites.

Finally, La Manna et al. (1995) propose a sketch of the tectono-sedimentary evolution of the Sicilide Basin. The deformation would take place between the late Oligocene (*i.e.* the age of the Tusa tuffites) and the Aquitanian (*i.e.* the age of the uppermost levels of the Nicosia Unit stratigraphic succession). The stacking of the Sicilide units was immediately followed by the deposition of the Reitano Flysch Fm., whose age ranges between the Burdigalian and a no better definable middle Miocene.

4. The Sicilide Basin units

As already pointed out, the units resulting from the deformation of the terrains deposited in the Sicilide Basin are, from the top to the bottom, the Monte Soro (Duée 1969), the Troina-Tusa (Wezel & Guerrera 1973) and Nicosia (Giunta et al. 1982) Units.

The stratigraphic succession of the Monte Soro Unit starts with clays, marls and fine-grained turbiditic limestones (clayey-calcareous member), followed by quartzarenites with a few beds of varicoloured clays (quartzarenitic member). In this succession only Cretaceous foraminifera and coccoliths have been found (Vezzani et al. 1972). Recently, Bouillin et al. (1995) recognized Upper Jurassic radiolarites (Contrada Lanzari Formation), interpreted as the bottom of the succession. Only Giunta et al. (1982) believe that the Cretaceous succession of the Monte Soro Unit continues with Paleogene and perhaps lower Miocene terrains, but no biostratigraphic data supporting these ages have ever been presented (Tab. 1).

The base of the succession of the Troina-Tusa Unit is made up of varicoloured clays. They somewhere are strongly deformed and contain blocks of jaspers, marls and whitish calcilutites, Cretaceous-Eocene in age (*Argille Scagliose* Formation; Lentini et al. 1991). It is followed by an alternance of calcareous and marly-calcareous turbidites, with abundant macroforaminifera of Eocene age (Polizzi Formation; Lentini et al. 1991). The stratigraphic succession continues with another varicoloured clayey formation of Oligocene age, characterized in the upper part by marly and marly-calcareous beds, some tens of metres thick (*Argille Varicolori* Formation; Lentini et al. 1991). This latter, near Cefalù, is capped by tuffitic sandstones, whereas in the region of Tusa, San Teodoro and Capizzi, it passes to a pelitic-tuffitic succession (Tusa Tuffite Fm.). Finally, between Cerami and Troina, the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. is followed by a sandy-pelitic turbiditic succession, with abundant volcanoclastic detritus at the bottom (Puglisi 1979). For these latter terrains we propose the denomination of Troina Sandstone Formation (Tab. 1).

The Nicosia Unit shows in the lower-middle part a stratigraphic succession similar to that constituting the Troina-Tusa Unit, characterized by the *Argille Scagliose*, Polizzi and *Argille Varicolori* Fms. In the uppermost part of the last formation

MAGHREBIAN FLYSCH BASIN OF SICILY								
MAURETANIAN DOMAIN						MASSYLIAN DOMAIN		
Reitano Flysch Fm. Serravallian ? – Upper Burdigalian ? (La Manna et al., 1995)			? ?		Rocca Mercadante Fm. Middle Miocene (Fravega et al., 1995)			
MONTE SORO UNIT	COZZO DI MANGANO - ALCARA LI FUSI SUCCESIONS (Giunta et al., 1982)	Lower Miocene ? - Upper Cretaceous ? (Giunta et al., 1982)	TROINA TUSA UNIT	TUFITI di TUSA Fm (Wezel & Guerrera 1973)	ARENARIE di TROINA Fm (this Paper)	Upper – Lower Burdigalian (this Paper)	NUMIDIAN FLYSCH Fm (Giunta et al., 1982)	Burdigalian (Carbone et al. 1990; (La Manna et al., 1995)
	MONTE SORO QUARTZARENITIC SANDY-CLAYEY and CLAYEY- CALCAREOUS MEMBERS (Vezzani et al., 1972)	Upper Cretaceous ? - Tithonian ? (Vezzani et al. 1972)		ARGILLE VARICOLORI Fm. (Carbone et al., 1990)	Aquitanian – Oligocene (this Paper)	ARGILLE VARICOLORI Fm (Carbone et al., 1990)	Lower Burdigalian - Oligocene (Carbone et al. 1990)	
	CONTRADA LANZERI Fm. (Bouillin et al., 1995)	Upper Jurassic (Bouillin et al., 1995)		POLIZZI Fm. (Carbone et al., 1990)	Eocene (Carbone et al., 1990)	POLIZZI Fm. (Carbone et al., 1990)	Eocene (Carbone et al., 1990)	
				ARGILLE SCAGLIOSE Fm. (Carbone et al., 1990)	Lower Eocene ? - Upper Cretaceous (Carbone et al. 1990)	ARGILLE SCAGLIOSE Fm (Carbone et al., 1990)	Lower Eocene ? - Upper Cretaceous (Carbone et al., 1990)	
				NICOSIA UNIT				




Fig. 4. Stratigraphic columns of the studied sections of the Tusa Tuffite Fm. The location of the samples and the occurrence of taxa starting from early Miocene are indicated.

only arenaceous beds. Here, it is made up of clearly re-sedimented volcanoclastic and marly-calcareous beds, and few and thin hemipelagic levels, formed by greenish pelites (Fig. 4). The volcanoclastic strata are mainly constituted by thin silty-marly turbidites, more or less rich in volcanic detritus, interbedded with coarse-grained arenaceous levels. These latter constitute about twenty layers of graded blue-greenish andesitic sandstones, gradually passing to arenaceous marls. The thickness of these sandstone beds, ranging between 20 cm and 14 m, is frequently 2–3 m. The petrographic composition is mainly characterized by volcanic clasts (40–90%; andesite fragments, glasses, crystals of plagioclase, magnetite, biotite, olivine, hypersthene, etc.) and to a lesser extent by plutonic and metamorphic detritus (10–40%; quartz, feldspars, muscovite, gneissic, granitic and phyllitic fragments). As regards the calcareous turbidites, some of them are coarse-grained and char-

acterized by neritic bioclasts (macroforaminifera and algae), whereas others are fine-grained.

The fourth stratigraphic section has been sampled 2 km north of San Teodoro, along the road which from the village reaches the 289 State Road at Portella Buffali. Here, the Tusa Tuffite Fm. crops out with a thickness close to 450 m. Also in this section some volcanoclastic beds appear interbedded within the uppermost portion of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. and abruptly increase upwards, becoming the markedly prevailing lithotypes. The stratigraphic succession, wholly turbiditic, is very similar to that of the Fiumara of Tusa area: silty-marly beds, rich in volcanic detritus, and blue-greenish tuffitic strata are interbedded with minor whitish limestones, some of them made up of re-sedimented neritic fragments. In the middle-upper portion of the succession two slumps occur (Fig. 4).




Fig. 5. Stratigraphic columns of the studied sections of the Troina Sandstone Fm. The location of the samples and the occurrence of taxa starting from early Miocene are indicated.

Other outcrops of the Tusa Tuffite Fm. are located in an almost continuous belt from Castel di Tusa to Mistretta and in the region north-west of Troina (Fig. 2). In all these outcrops the small extension and the strong deformation did not allow to define detailed stratigraphic successions.

As regards the successions characterized by the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. grading into the arkoses and lithic arkoses of the Troina Sandstone Fm., five stratigraphic sections have been examined in detail and sampled, in the region of Cerami and Troina (Fig. 5). In the most complete sections across the Troina Sandstone Fm. (East Troina; West Cerami and South Cerami), three different lithologic intervals, already pointed out by Cassola et al. (1992), may be observed. The lower interval, made up of thick and channelized beds of coarse-grained conglomerates and sandstones, is followed by a mainly pelitic interval, characterized by thin beds of fine-grained sandstones and, finally, by an upper interval, formed by thickening and coarsening upwards coarse-grained sandstones.

The East Troina section is a composite stratigraphic section, whose lower part crops out 1.5 km east of the town, along the 575 State Road. The Troina Sandstone Fm. starts with layers of coarse conglomerates, which abruptly but transitionally replace the clays, marls and fine-grained turbiditic limestones of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. The conglomerates, 50 m thick, pass to graded sandstones, about 20 m thick, followed by 70 m of pelites containing some thin arenaceous beds. Nearly 1 km east of Troina, between Monte Ragone and Monte Eliseo, pelites and thin sandstones can be observed for further 175 m. In the following 35 m the sandstone layers become thicker and coarser and, finally, they are replaced by conglomerates forming the uppermost 100 m of the succession (Fig. 5).

In the Serro Scarvi area, nearly 4 km south-east of Troina, the transition from the *Argille Varicolori* to the Troina Sandstone Fms. may be examined in detail. Only some tens of metres of conglomerates and sandstones of the Troina Sandstone Fm. are exposed, because a minor thrust which allows the *Argille Varicolori* to override the Troina sandstones (Fig. 5). The upper fifty metres of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm., made up of marls and fine-grained turbiditic limestones, include some arenaceous beds, prefacing the appearance of thick sandy-conglomeratic layers, which abruptly replace the underlying mainly pelagic deposits.

In the West Cerami section, W of the village along the 120 State Road, the transition from the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. to the Troina Sandstone Fm. is well exposed (Fig. 6). This latter starts with 25 m of arenaceous turbidites followed by 65 m of coarse conglomerates, overlain by 90 m of pelites containing few arenaceous beds, which upwards become more frequent and thick. The succession is capped by 15 m of conglomerates and some arenaceous beds.

In the South Cerami section, located on the southern slope of Monte Grottole, nearly 1 km south of the village, the transition from the *Argille Varicolori* to the Troina Sandstone Fm. is particularly evident. The uppermost marly-calcareous portion of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. is characterized by numerous levels of allodapic limestones and by the appearance of thin arenaceous beds (Fig. 7). Here, the Troina sandstones show a succession similar to that observed in the West Cerami section. The lower portion is made up of 105 m of sandstones and channelized conglomerates. These latter are more frequent upwards, and are followed by a 95 m thick interval, characterized by arenaceous beds prevailing on the pelitic ones. The succes-




Fig. 6. South-western corner of the Cerami outcrop, between West and South Cerami sections. Conformable boundary between the marly calcareous uppermost part of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. and the Troina Sandstone Fm.



Fig. 7. South Cerami section, southern slope of Monte Grottelle. Transitional boundary between the uppermost marly-calcareous part of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. and the Troina Sandstone Fm. Note the first occurrence of thin arenaceous beds (arrow) within the *Argille Varicolori* Fm.

sion ends with nearly 55 m of alternating conglomerates and sandstones (Fig. 5).

The North Cerami section, located nearly 500 m north of the village, lacks of the lowermost portion, and consists only of 60 m of the lower sandy-conglomeratic interval and of nearly 30 m of the overlying pelitic interval (Fig. 5).

6. Biostratigraphic data

130 samples, collected in the previously described logs (Fig. 4 and 5) have been analyzed with respect to foraminifera and coccoliths. The latter have been studied after the taxa were concentrated owing to several centrifugations.

Taking into account the results of the biostratigraphic studies carried out on turbiditic formations of the southern Apennines, Calabria and Peloritani Mountains (Bonardi et al. 1980, 1988; Patacca et al. 1992; de Capoa et al. 1997), we have considered only the FAD (First Appearance Datum) of the taxa, because the LAD (Last Appearance Datum) is meaningless in turbiditic sediments, as well as the state of preservation of the taxa and the quantitative analysis. The above mentioned studies led to a general re-juvenation of formations formerly considered Cretaceous or Paleogene in age and demonstrated that the reworking of coccoliths and foraminifera in turbiditic successions is systematic and criteria useful to distinguish reworked and unreworked taxa do not exist. Conse-

Tab. 2. Coccolithid assemblages recognized in the samples collected in the Serro Scarvi section of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm.

Name of section:	SERRA SCARVI																
Sample:	139	140	142	143	144	146	147	148	149	150	151	153	154	155	156	157 a	157 b
<i>Braarudosphaera bigelowi</i>	+											+					
<i>Coccolithus miopelagicus</i>	+	+	+	+		+	+			+		+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Coccolithus miopelagicus</i> >20µ					+						+						
<i>Coccolithus pelagicus</i>	+		+	+	+			+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Coronocylus nitescens</i>	+																
<i>Cyclargolithus abisectus</i>	+	+	+	+	+			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Cyclargolithus floridanus</i>	+	+			+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Discoaster adamantus</i>	+						+					+	+				
<i>Discoaster calcosus</i>	+																
<i>Discoaster deflandrei</i>	+	+		+					+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Discoaster aff. formosus</i>	+																
<i>Discoaster</i> spp.																	
<i>Ericsonia cava</i>	+								+	+		+	+	+	+		
<i>Ericsonia obruta</i>	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Geminitithella rotula</i>				⊗							⊗	⊗	⊗	⊗			
<i>Helicosphaera cf. carteri</i>																	⊗
<i>Helicosphaera euphratis</i>	+	+	+		+	+					+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Helicosphaera gertae</i>				⊗							⊗	⊗	⊗	⊗			
<i>Helicosphaera truempyi</i>				+										+	+		
<i>Helicosphaera cf. truempyi</i>																	+
<i>Pedinocylus larvalis</i>	+																
<i>Pontosphaera</i> spp.	+	+															
<i>Pyrocylus hermosus</i>	+				+	+			+	+			+	+	+		
<i>Reticulofenestra daviesi</i>																	+
<i>Reticulofenestra gartneri</i>	+	+	+	+						+	+			+			
<i>Reticulofenestra perplexa</i>	+	+			+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+
<i>Reticulofenestra</i> sp.																	+
<i>Sphenolithus conicus</i>																	+
<i>Sphenolithus aff. dissimilis</i>																	+
<i>Sphenolithus cf. dissimilis</i>																	+
<i>Sphenolithus moriformis</i>	+			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Sphenolithus</i> sp.																	+
<i>Thoracosphaera</i> spp.	+			+									+			+	+
<i>Triquetrorhabdulus carinatus</i>											+					+	

+ occurrence; ⊗ marker

quently, only a minimum age could be proposed for the fossiliferous samples.

40 of the examined 130 samples resulted barren. The assemblages are generally poor and rests are often highly deformed; coccoliths are more or less overgrown or dissolved.

All the collected samples are characterized by the prevalence of reworked taxa (up to 90% and more). Among them Paleogene forms prevail, but also Cretaceous species are observable. Nevertheless, in all the studied formations (*Argille Varicolori*, Tusa Tuffite and Troina Sandstone) taxa whose first occurrence is early Miocene in age have been identified and they are indicated in the columns of figures 4 and 5.

Unreworked coccoliths recognized in the uppermost levels of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. are shown in Tables 2 and 3. The occurrence of *Discoaster druggi*, *Geminitithella rotula*, *Helicosphaera ampliaptera*, *H. carteri* and *H. gertae* both in the

Tab. 3. Coccolithid assemblages recognized in the samples collected in the Poggio Maria and South Cerami sections of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm.

Name of Section:	POGGIO MARIA									SOUTH CERAMI															
Sample:	10	11	12	14	15	16	17	18	19	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	125 a	126	126 a	
<i>Braarudosphaera bigelowi</i>							+								+							+			
<i>Coccolithus miopelagicus</i>				+			+			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+		+	+	+	+
<i>Coccolithus pelagicus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			+	+	+	+	+
<i>Coronocylus nitescens</i>										+	+			+	+								+		
<i>Cyclargolithus abisectus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Cyclargolithus floridanus</i>	+			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+
<i>Discoaster calcosus</i>	+																								
<i>Discoaster deflandrei</i>										+	+		+	+	+	+							+	+	
<i>Discoaster cf. deflandrei</i>	+						+																		
<i>Discoaster druggi</i>			⊗																					⊗	
<i>Discoaster cf. druggi</i>																								⊗	
<i>Discoaster</i> spp.				+	+					+													+	+	+
<i>Ericsonia cava</i>	+	+		+						+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					+	+	+	+
<i>Ericsonia obruta</i>										+	+			+	+	+	+					+	+	+	+
<i>Geminitithella rotula</i>																							⊗	⊗	⊗
<i>Helicosphaera ampliaptera</i>	⊗																								
<i>Helicosphaera carteri</i>	⊗			⊗						⊗													⊗		
<i>Helicosphaera cf. carteri</i>	⊗	⊗				⊗				⊗												⊗	⊗		
<i>Helicosphaera euphratis</i>				+							+	+	+	+	+							+	+	+	+
<i>Helicosphaera cf. euphratis</i>	+																								
<i>Helicosphaera gertae</i>										⊗												⊗	⊗	⊗	⊗
<i>Helicosphaera cf. truempyi</i>																								+	
<i>Helicosphaera</i> spp.																							+		
<i>Pontosphaera ocellata</i>											+														
<i>Pontosphaera</i> spp.						+					+													+	
<i>Pyrocylus hermosus</i>											+	+	+	+	+	+	+				+	+	+	+	+
<i>Reticulofenestra daviesi</i>									+	+												+	+		
<i>Reticulofenestra gartneri</i>										+	+			+	+					+		+	+	+	+
<i>Reticulofenestra perplexa</i>	+			+	+	+	+				+	+		+								+	+	+	+
<i>Reticulofenestra</i> sp.						+																			
<i>Sphenolithus conicus</i>										+													+		
<i>Sphenolithus cf. conicus</i>									+																
<i>Sphenolithus moriformis</i>	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			+	+	+			+		+	+	+	+	+
<i>Sphenolithus</i> spp.	+		+																				+	+	+
<i>Thoracosphaera</i> sp.																								+	
<i>Triquetrorhabdulus carinatus</i>	+		+	+	+			+						+									+		

+ occurrence; ⊗ marker

Serro Scarvi and Poggio Maria sections (Fig. 4 and 5) testifies an age not older than Aquitanian (Zone NN1-NN2 of Martini 1971 = Zone CN1 of Okada & Bukry 1980). In the South Cerami section (Tab. 2) the samples 114–120 and 121–124 furnished taxa which start from the late Rupelian (*Sphenolithus distentus*, *Helicosphaera wilcoxoni*, *Chiasmolithus altus*) and the Chattian (*Sphenolithus ciperoensis*, *Triquetrorhabdulus carinatus*), respectively. Only in the uppermost samples (125–126) taxa which start from the Aquitanian (*Discoaster druggi*, *Geminitithella rotula*, *Helicosphaera carteri*, *H. gertae*) have been recognized, but, taking into account that all the studied samples have been collected across only 30 metres of the succession, we consider as the most probable an early Miocene age for all the levels of this section.

Name of section:	POGGIO MARIA	UPPER HALAESA										LOWER HALAESA										SAN TEODORO																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Sample:	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530	531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550	551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560	561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570	571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620	621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630	631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640	641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650	651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660	661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670	671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680	681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690	691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700	701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710	711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720	721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730	731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740	741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750	751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760	761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770	771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780	781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790	791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800	801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	810	811	812	813	814	815	816	817	818	819	820	821	822	823	824	825	826	827	828	829	830	831	832	833	834	835	836	837	838	839	840	841	842	843	844	845	846	847	848	849	850	851	852	853	854	855	856	857	858	859	860	861	862	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	870	871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	879	880	881	882	883	884	885	886	887	888	889	890	891	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900	901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908	909	910	911	912	913	914	915	916	917	918	919	920	921	922	923	924	925	926	927	928	929	930	931	932	933	934	935	936	937	938	939	940	941	942	943	944	945	946	947	948	949	950	951	952	953	954	955	956	957	958	959	960	961	962	963	964	965	966	967	968	969	970	971	972	973	974	975	976	977	978	979	980	981	982	983	984	985	986	987	988	989	990	991	992	993	994	995	996	997	998	999	1000	1001	1002	1003	1004	1005	1006	1007	1008	1009	1010	1011	1012	1013	1014	1015	1016	1017	1018	1019	1020	1021	1022	1023	1024	1025	1026	1027	1028	1029	1030	1031	1032	1033	1034	1035	1036	1037	1038	1039	1040	1041	1042	1043	1044	1045	1046	1047	1048	1049	1050	1051	1052	1053	1054	1055	1056	1057	1058	1059	1060	1061	1062	1063	1064	1065	1066	1067	1068	1069	1070	1071	1072	1073	1074	1075	1076	1077	1078	1079	1080	1081	1082	1083	1084	1085	1086	1087	1088	1089	1090	1091	1092	1093	1094	1095	1096	1097	1098	1099	1100	1101	1102	1103	1104	1105	1106	1107	1108	1109	1110	1111	1112	1113	1114	1115	1116	1117	1118	1119	1120	1121	1122	1123	1124	1125	1126	1127	1128	1129	1130	1131	1132	1133	1134	1135	1136	1137	1138	1139	1140	1141	1142	1143	1144	1145	1146	1147	1148	1149	1150	1151	1152	1153	1154	1155	1156	1157	1158	1159	1160	1161	1162	1163	1164	1165	1166	1167	1168	1169	1170	1171	1172	1173	1174	1175	1176	1177	1178	1179	1180	1181	1182	1183	1184	1185	1186	1187	1188	1189	1190	1191	1192	1193	1194	1195	1196	1197	1198	1199	1200	1201	1202	1203	1204	1205	1206	1207	1208	1209	1210	1211	1212	1213	1214	1215	1216	1217	1218	1219	1220	1221	1222	1223	1224	1225	1226	1227	1228	1229	1230	1231	1232	1233	1234	1235	1236	1237	1238	1239	1240	1241	1242	1243	1244	1245	1246	1247	1248	1249	1250	1251	1252	1253	1254	1255	1256	1257	1258	1259	1260	1261	1262	1263	1264	1265	1266	1267	1268	1269	1270	1271	1272	1273	1274	1275	1276	1277	1278	1279	1280	1281	1282	1283	1284	1285	1286	1287	1288	1289	1290	1291	1292	1293	1294	1295	1296	1297	1298	1299	1300	1301	1302	1303	1304	1305	1306	1307	1308	1309	1310	1311	1312	1313	1314	1315	1316	1317	1318	1319	1320	1321	1322	1323	1324	1325	1326	1327	1328	1329	1330	1331	1332	1333	1334	1335	1336	1337	1338	1339	1340	1341	1342	1343	1344	1345	1346	1347	1348	1349	1350	1351	1352	1353	1354	1355	1356	1357	1358	1359	1360	1361	1362	1363	1364	1365	1366	1367	1368	1369	1370	1371	1372	1373	1374	1375	1376	1377	1378	1379	1380	1381	1382	1383	1384	1385	1386	1387	1388	1389	1390	1391	1392	1393	1394	1395	1396	1397	1398	1399	1400	1401	1402	1403	1404	1405	1406	1407	1408	1409	1410	1411	1412	1413	1414	1415	1416	1417	1418	1419	1420	1421	1422	1423	1424	1425	1426	1427	1428	1429	1430	1431	1432	1433	1434	1435	1436	1437	1438	1439	1440	1441	1442	1443	1444	1445	1446	1447	1448	1449	1450	1451	1452	1453	1454	1455	1456	1457	1458	1459	1460	1461	1462	1463	1464	1465	1466	1467	1468	1469	1470	1471	1472	1473	1474	1475	14

Oligocene Globigerinidae and small Upper Cretaceous Heterohelicidae, has been recognized. Owing to the co-existence of *Pseudohastigerina micra*, *P. naguwichiensis*, *Chiloguembelina cubensis*, *Globigerina ampliapertura*, *G. increbescens* and *G. sellii*, the reported assemblage would be representative of the Zone P19 (Blow 1969) and should be attributed to the early Oligocene. The nannoplankton assemblages, recognized in the same samples, however, demonstrate that all the taxa must be considered reworked, except *Globigerina praebulloid*, *Globorotaloides suteri*, *Catapsydrax unicavus* and *C. dissimilis*.

In the Tusa Tuffite Fm. several coccolith taxa occur, which start from the early Miocene (*Discoaster druggi*, *Geminilithella rotula*, *Helicosphaera carteri* and *Triquetrorhabdulus milowii*) occur (Tab. 4; Fig. 4). The most significant datum, however, is the finding (Fig. 4), in the lower part of the succession of the upper Halaesa sheet section (sample 36), of *Sphenolithus belemnoides*, whose first occurrence is reported in the upper part of the Zone NN2 of Martini (1971) = Zone CN2 of Okada & Bukry (1980). Consequently, the age of the Tusa Tuffite Fm. is from the bottom not older than the early Burdigalian.

The Tusa Tuffite Fm. is very poor in foraminifera. Very scarce planktonic and benthonic (mainly agglutinant) foraminifers and radiolaria are present, in just a few samples. In the lower Halaesa sheet section the planktonic foraminifera are almost completely lacking and mainly reworked Upper Cretaceous (Globotruncanidae) and Paleocene-Eocene (*Morozovella aequa*) taxa have been identified. It is probable that *Catapsydrax unicavus* and *C. dissimilis* are the only unreworked taxa. In the upper Halaesa sheet section (Fig. 4) rather more planktonic microfauna is contained and the following taxa have been identified:

Acarinina sp.
Globigerina galavisi
Globigerina venezuelana
Globigerina praebulloid
Globigerina woodi
Globigerina connecta
Globigerinoides gr. *trilobus*
Globigerinita naparimaensis
Globigerinita baroemoensis
Globoquadrina dehiscens
Globoquadrina cf. *dehiscens*
Globorotaloides suteri
Catapsydrax unicavus
Catapsydrax dissimilis
Turborotalia gr. *cerroazulensis*

This assemblage confirms the results obtained through the coccolith analysis, because taxa, whose first occurrence is early Miocene in age (*Globigerina woodi*, *G. connecta*, *Globigerinita naparimaensis*, *G. baroemoensis*, primitive forms of *Globoquadrina dehiscens*) have been recognized. Specimens have also been found that present *Globigerinoides trilobus* morphology, but the existence of supplementary openings cannot be warranted, due to the poor state of preservation. Particularly, the recognition of *Globigerina woodi*, *Globigerinita napa-*

maensis and *Globigerinoides* gr. *trilobus* testifies the existence of taxa which occurred as from the Burdigalian (Zones N5-N6; Blow 1969).

As far as the Troina Sandstone Fm. is concerned, the only significant taxa of this formation are coccoliths (Tab. 5) and, also in this case, taxa which start from the early Miocene (*Geminilithella rotula*, *Helicosphaera carteri* and *H. gertae*) have been recognized (Fig. 5). The most significant datum is the occurrence in the middle part of the succession of *Calcidiscus macintyreii* (sample C 101), *C. leptoporus* (sample C 107) and *Discoaster variabilis* (sample C 107) in the North Cerami and West Cerami sections, respectively (Fig. 5). These taxa, whose first occurrence is recognized in the Zone NN4 of Martini (1971) = Zone CN3 of Okada & Bukry (1980), therefore, testify that the Troina Sandstone Fm. reaches an age not older than the late Burdigalian. As regards the foraminifera, a microfauna made up mainly of agglutinant species (*Astrorhynchidae*, *Reophax* sp., *Ammodiscus* sp., *Glomospira* sp., *Cyclammina* sp.) has been recognized. Few radiolaria, benthonic calcareous (mainly *Nodosaria* sp.) and planktonic foraminifera are also present. The latter are characterized by the following assemblage:

Globigerina galavisi
Globigerina tripartita
Globigerina venezuelana
Globigerina euapertura
Globigerina sellii
Globigerina cf. *ampliapertura*
Globigerina increbescens
Globigerina eocaena
Globigerina corpulenta
Globigerina praebulloid
Neogloboquadrina nana
Globorotaloides suteri
Catapsydrax unicavus
Catapsydrax dissimilis

Also this assemblage, because of the occurrence of specimens of the groups *Globigerina eocaena-corpulenta* and *G. ampliapertura-increbescens*, would be early Oligocene in age, but the coccolith assemblages of the same samples suggest an early Miocene age.

In conclusion, the above data indicate an age not older than the Burdigalian for the syn-orogenic, volcanoclastic and siliciclastic, deposits of the Troina-Tusa Unit, and this age agrees with that of the uppermost levels of the underlying *Argille Varicolori* Fm., in which taxa showing an age not older than the Aquitanian have been found.

7. Discussion and conclusions

The Tusa Tuffite and Troina Sandstone Formations conformably lie on the succession *Argille Scagliose*-*Polizzi*-*Argille Varicolori* Fms., and constitute the uppermost, heteropic, deposits of the stratigraphic successions characterizing the Troina-Tusa Unit. The transition is marked by the sudden ap-

Tab. 5. Coccolithid assemblages recognized in the samples collected in the Troina Sandstone Fm.

Name of Section:	NORTH CERAMI												WEST CERAMI	SOUTH CERAMI	EAST TROINA							
Samples:	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	98	99	100	101	102	106	107	110	127	128	158	159	164	167	168
<i>Braarudosphaera bigelowi</i>																		+				
<i>Calcidiscus leptoporus</i>														⊗								
<i>Calcidiscus macintyre</i>											⊗											
<i>Coccolithus miopelagicus</i>	+	+			+	+	+			+	+	+			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Coccolithus pelagicus</i>	+		+	+	+	+	+		+		+	+		+	+							+
<i>Coronocyclus nitescens</i>	+							+				+										
<i>Cyclicargolithus abisectus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Cyclicargolithus floridanus</i>	+		+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+				+	+	+
<i>Discoaster variabilis</i>														⊗								
<i>Discoaster</i> spp.														+								
<i>Ericsonia cava</i>	+														+							
<i>Geminitella rotula</i>				⊗										⊗				⊗				
<i>Helicosphaera carteri</i>	⊗													⊗			⊗					
<i>Helicosphaera euphratis</i>				+					+					+								
<i>Helicosphaera gertae</i>				⊗					⊗										⊗			
<i>Pontosphaera</i> sp.																				+		+
<i>Pyrocyclus hermosus</i>	+	+	+					+	+	+	+						+					
<i>Reticulofenestra gartneri</i>	+	+	+				+	+		+				+	+							
<i>Reticulofenestra perplexa</i>				+		+	+				+	+		+								
<i>Sphenolithus dissimilis</i>					+																	
<i>Sphenolithus moniformis</i>					+									+					+		+	
<i>Thoracosphaera</i> sp.			+	+	+																	
<i>Triquetrorhabdulus carinatus</i>												+	+								+	

+ occurrence; ⊗ marker

pearance of sandstone beds in the uppermost portion of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. The age of both formations is not older than early Burdigalian. Particularly, the lower tuffitic levels of the Tusa Tuffite Fm. are of early Burdigalian age (occurrence of *Sphenolithus belemnos*), whereas the upper levels of the Troina Sandstone Fm. are of late Burdigalian age (occurrence of *Calcidiscus macintyre*, *C. leptoporus* and *Discoaster variabilis*). The taxa observed in the uppermost levels of the underlying *Argille Varicolori* Fm. confirm these ages through the presence of nannofloras not older than Aquitanian in the Poggio Maria and Serro Scarvi sections and not older than Chattian in the South Cerami section. A Burdigalian age of the Tusa Tuffite Fm. is confirmed also by foraminifera (*Globigerina woodi*, *Globigerinita naparimaensis*, *Globigerinoides* gr. *trilobus*), whereas in the Troina Sandstone and *Argille Varicolori* Fms. only lower Oligocene, or older, clearly reworked foraminifera have been recognized. We emphasize that an early Miocene age of the uppermost levels of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. has already been pointed out by Carbone et al. (1990) in the Troina-Tusa Unit and also in the more external Nicosia Unit, immediately before the beginning of the siliciclastic sedimentation of the Troina sandstones and Numidian Flysch, respectively.

The biostratigraphic data do not allow to ascertain the existence of a possible diachronism as regards the beginning of the volcanoclastic and siliciclastic sedimentation, and, more in general, of the onset of the foredeep stage in the evolution of the

Troina-Tusa basin. The described stratigraphic successions, however, show strong differences in thickness, lithology, volcanoclastic content, petrography and sedimentary facies, which may be related to a complex paleogeographic setting, strongly influenced by the tectonics and by the location of the areas which supplied the volcanoclastic and siliciclastic sediments.

The Tusa Tuffite and Troina Sandstone Fms. testify the onset of the foredeep stage of the Sicilide Basin, when siliciclastic sediments replace the mainly pelagic deposits, immediately before the tectogenesis. The deformation will originate an accretionary wedge and the stacking of the Sicilide units in the arising chain. The Tusa tuffites and the Troina sandstones have been deposited in two different areas of the Sicilide foredeep, whose main difference was represented by the amount of the volcanoclastic detritus, deriving from the erosion of a calc-alkaline magmatic arc. Such a detritus was added to the plutonic and metamorphic one, supplied by the erosion of the crystalline basement of the Peloritanean units.

On the base of these data the Paleogene ages assigned to the mentioned formations, also recently re-proposed (Courme & Mascle 1988; Cassola et al. 1992), must be definitely neglected. Similarly, paleotectonic reconstructions, involving meso-Alpine tectogenetic phases for the stacking of the Sicilide nappes, cannot be considered (Roure et al. 1990; Cassola et al. 1992). In fact, if the uppermost siliciclastic formations of the Sicilide units must be considered Burdigalian in age, no biostratigraphic or field data exist in the Sicilian sector of the Maghrebian Flysch Basin demonstrating that tectogenetic phases occurred during the Paleogene. This conclusion agrees well with the recent data of de Capoa et al. (1997), showing that the deformation of the external zones of the more internal Peloritanean Domain, which were paleogeographically contiguous to the northern boundary of the Sicilide Basin, occurred during the Aquitanian.

Taking into account that also the uppermost stratigraphic levels of the Nicosia Unit – i.e. the most external of the Sicilide Basin – are early Miocene in age (Carbone et al. 1990; La Manna et al. 1995), the formation of an accretionary wedge and the stacking of all the terrains deposited in the Sicilide Basin occurred not earlier than Burdigalian. From that time on, the consumption of the Maghrebian oceanic area begins to be accomplished and will be followed by the continental collision between the African Margin and the Peloritanean units, which constituted the front of the Maghrebian paleo-chain, still leaned against the European Margin.

The early Miocene deformation of both the external Peloritanean (de Capoa et al. 1997) and the Troina-Tusa Zones allows to believe that also the deformation of the Monte Soro Unit terrains, which unanimously are considered as sedimented between the Peloritanean Domain and the Troina-Tusa Zone, is early Miocene in age, even if within the Monte Soro Unit only Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous terrains have been recognized.

The interpretation, which considers a Burdigalian-Langhian age for the piling up of the Sicilide Basin units, the con-

sumption of the Maghrebian Ocean, the Africa-Europe continental collision and the back-thrusting of Sicilide terrains onto the more internal Peloritanean units (*i.e.* the Antisicilide Complex), may be confirmed only by attributing a definitive age to the base of the formations (Floresta Calcarenes, Reitano Flysch and Rocca Mercadante Calcarenes; Fig. 3) unconformably lying both on all the Sicilide and Antisicilide Units and post-dating the nappe stacking. The base of the first two formations has been considered as Burdigalian in age (Lentini et al. 1990, 1995; La Manna et al. 1995), whereas for the last a middle Miocene, probably Langhian, age has been proposed (Fravega et al. 1993). Although a Burdigalian age for both Floresta Calcarene and Reitano Flysch Fms. could be admitted also considering the biostratigraphic results of this study, we believe more probable a Langhian age for the basal levels of the Floresta calcarenites, already recognized by Bonardi et al. (1980) in the Novara di Sicilia area (Monte Ritagli di Lecca section). In any case, our biostratigraphic data definitively allow to exclude ages older than late Burdigalian for all the deposits which have been up to now comprised in the Reitano Flysch Fm.

Taking into account the data exposed in this paper, the Burdigalian-Serravallian age proposed by Carbone et al. (1990) for the Troina sandstones, still remains an open problem. Such an age agrees with the reconstruction advanced by those authors who consider the Troina sandstones resting unconformably on the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. and, therefore, ascribe them to the Reitano Flysch Fm. This interpretation undoubtedly would solve many problems, but it is not supported by our field observations. In fact, all the outcrops (Cerami; Troina; Ancipa Lake; Serro Scarvi) show a transitional, even if abrupt, boundary between the pelagic terrains of the *Argille Varicolori* Fm. and the lower conglomerates of the Troina Sandstone Fm. Petrographic analyses, moreover, have pointed out close resemblances between the sandstones constituting the Troina and Tusa Fms. On the contrary, the sandstones of the Troina and Reitano Fms. are characterized by marked compositional differences (Puglisi 1979). Up to now, our research did not allow to recognize in the Troina Sandstone Fm. taxa whose first occurrence is younger than Burdigalian, but if the data of Carbone et al. (1990) will be confirmed by further studies, a more recent deformation, if not of the whole Sicilide Basin at least of its less internal portion, will have to be admitted.

We agree with the paleotectonic evolution proposed by La Manna et al. (1995), but we do not accept a late Oligocene-Aquitanean age for the deformation of the Sicilide Basin. We believe that the Sicilide accretionary wedge formed more recently and more rapidly, in the time-span late Burdigalian-middle Langhian, constrained by the age of the uppermost levels of the Sicilide Units successions and that of the terrains unconformably sedimented on all of them.

The above reported data, moreover, question also the paleotectonic evolution so far indicated for the external units of the Maghrebian Chain. In fact, it seems very difficult to admit

the starting of the Numidian sedimentation as from the Oligocene in the Panormide and Imerese Zones, and the stacking of the nappes originated from the same zones before the Langhian or, more probably, the Serravallian.

Finally, the biostratigraphic data constraining the deformation age of the Sicilide Basin have important implications for the whole orogenic system of the Central-Western Mediterranean. The beginning of the foredeep stage and the first tectogenetic phase in the Sicilide basin result really to be coeval with the ones already recognized in the Flysch Domain of the Betic and Rifian sectors (Martín-Algarra 1987; Guerrera et al. 1993, and references therein).

In other words, the building up of the accretionary wedge, the closure of the oceanic area and the consequent continental collision, are synchronous at the eastern and western extremities of the Maghrebian Chain, which at present are about 1.700 km afar. In this framework it is very difficult to admit the older, either Eocene or Oligocene, deformation age for the Tellian sector of the Maghrebian Chain, pointed out by several authors. The synchronous deformation from the Gibraltar Arc to the Calabria-Peloritani Arc allows us to admit a same event as responsible for the neo-Alpine tectonics, which involved the Maghrebian Flysch Domain and later the continental margins of the Iberia and Africa plates. Taking into account the age of the deformation, this event may be easily indicated as the opening of the the Algero-Provençal Basin.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the following grants: MURST 40%-Urbino University 1998 (resp. V. Perrone).

REFERENCES

- AMODIO MORELLI, L., BONARDI, G., COLONNA, V., DIETRICH, D., GIUNTA, G., IPPOLITO, F., LIGUORI, V., LORENZONI, S., PAGLIONICO, A., PERRONE, V., PICCARRETA, G., RUSSO, M., SCANDONE, P., ZANETTIN-LORENZONI, E. & ZUPPETTA, A. 1976: L'arco calabro-peloritano nell'orogene appenninico-maghrebide. *Mem. Soc. geol. it.* 17, 1-60.
- AUBOUIN, J. & DURAND DELGA, M. 1971: Aire méditerranéenne. In: *Encyclopaedia universalis* 10, 743-745, Paris.
- BIANCHI, F., CARBONE, S., GRASSO, M., INVERNIZZI, G., LENTINI, F., LONGARETTI, G., MERLINI, S. & MOSTARDINI, F. 1987: Sicilia Orientale: profilo Nebrodi-Iblei. *Mem. Soc. geol. it.* 38, 429-458.
- BLOW, W.H. 1969: Late-Middle Eocene to Recent planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy. In: *Proc. 1st Int. Conf. on Plankt. Microfossils*, Geneva 1967 (Ed. by BRÉNNIMANN, R. & RENZ, H.H.). Leiden: E.J. Brill 1, 199-442.
- BONARDI, G., GIUNTA, G., PERRONE, V., RUSSO, M., ZUPPETTA, A. & CIAMPO, G. 1980: Osservazioni sull'evoluzione dell'Arco Calabro-peloritano nel Miocene inferiore: la Formazione di Stilo-Capo d'Orlando. *Boll. Soc. geol. it.* 99, 365-393.
- BONARDI, G., AMORE, O., CIAMPO, G., DE CAPOA, P., MICONNET, P. & PERRONE, V. 1988: Il Complesso Liguride Auct.: stato delle conoscenze e problemi aperti sulla sua evoluzione pre-appenninica ed i suoi rapporti con l'Arco Calabro. *Mem. Soc. geol. it.* 41, 17-35.
- BONARDI, G., DE CAPOA, P., FIORETTI, B. & PERRONE, V. 1994: Some remarks on the Calabria-Peloritani Arc and its relationship with the Southern Apennines. *Boll. Geof. teor. appl.* 36, 483-492.

- BONARDI, G., GIUNTA, G., MESSINA, A., PERRONE, V. & RUSSO, S. 1996: The Calabria-Peloritani Arc and its correlation with Northern Africa and Southern Europe. IGCP Project 276, Newsl. 6, 27–90.
- BOUILLIN, J.P., DURAND DELGA, M., GÉLARD, J., LEIKINE, M., RAOULT, J.F., RAYMOND, D., TEHANI, M. & VILA, J.M. 1970: Définition d'un flysch massilien et d'un flysch maurétanien au sein des flyschs allochtones d'Algérie. C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) 270, 2249–2252.
- BOUILLIN, J.P., DURAND DELGA, M. & OLIVIER, P. 1986: Betic-Rifian and Tyrrhenian Arcs: distinctive features, genesis and development stages. In: The Origin of Arcs (Ed. by WEZEL, F.C.). Amsterdam: Elsevier, 321–338.
- BOUILLIN, J.P., DUMONT, T., GIUNTA, G. & ONDREJICKOVÁ, A. 1995: Un témoin des séries jurassiques du Bassin Maghrébin en Sicile: la formation de la Contrada Lanzeri, à la base du flysch maurétanien du Monte Soro. C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) 320, 601–607.
- CAIRE, A. 1970: Sicily in its Mediterranean setting. In: Geology and History of Sicily (Ed. by ALVAREZ, W. & GOHRBANDT, K.H.A.). Tripoli: Petroleum Exploration Libyan Society, 145–170.
- CARBONE, S., CATALANO, S., GRASSO, M., LENTINI, F. & MONACO, C. 1990: Carta geologica della Sicilia centro-orientale. S.E.L.C.A., Firenze.
- CASSOLA, P., COSTA, E., LOIACONO, F., MORETTI, E., MORLOTTI, E., PUGLISI, D. & VILLA, G. 1992: New sedimentologic, petrographic, biostratigraphic and structural data on the Reitano Flysch (Maghrebian Chain, Sicily). Riv. it. Paleont. Strat. 98, 205–228.
- CASSOLA, P., LOIACONO, F., MORETTI, E., NIGRO, F., PUGLISI, D. & SBARRA, R. 1995: Sedimentology, petrography and structure of the Reitano Flysch in the northern sector of the Nebrodi Mountains (NE Sicily). Giorn. Geol. 57, 195–217.
- COURME, M.D. & MASCLÉ, G. 1988: Nouvelles données stratigraphiques sur les séries oligo-miocènes des unités sicilienne: conséquences paléogéographiques. Bull. Soc. géol. Fr. 8, 105–118.
- DE CAPOA, P., GUERRERA, F., PERRONE, V. & SERRANO, F. 1997: New biostratigraphic data on the Frazzanò Formation (Longi-Taormina Unit): consequences on defining the deformation age of the Calabria-Peloritani Arc southern sector. Riv. it. Paleont. Strat. 103, 343–356.
- DIDON, J. 1969: Etude géologique du Campo de Gibraltar (Espagne méridionale). Thèse Univ. Paris, 539 p.
- DIDON, J. & HOYEZ, B. 1978: Les séries à faciès mixtes, numidien et grésomiacé, dans le Rif occidental (Maroc). C.R. somm. Soc. géol. Fr. 6, 304–307.
- DUÉE, G. 1969: Étude géologique des Monts Nebrodi (Sicile). Thèse Fac. Sci. Univ. P. et M. Curie Paris, 424 p., Paris.
- DURAND DELGA, M. 1971: Les unités à mésozoïque métamorphique d'El Milia à Texenna (Algérie) et leur cadre structural. Bull. Soc. géol. Fr. 13, 328–337.
- 1980: La Méditerranée occidentale: étapes de sa genèse et problèmes structuraux liés à celle-ci. Mém. h. sér. Soc. géol. Fr. 10, 203–224.
- FRAVEGA, P., GRASSO, M. & PEDLEY, H.M. 1993: Sedimentology, palaeoenvironment, age and tectonic setting of the Sperlinga bioclastic carbonate deposits, Central-North Sicily. Boll. Soc. geol. it. 112, 191–200.
- GIUNTA, G. 1985: Problematiche ed ipotesi sul Bacino Numidico nelle Maghrebidi siciliane. Boll. Soc. geol. it. 104, 239–256.
- GIUNTA, G., BONARDI, G., CARROTTA, R., MARTINES, P. & SABATINO, G. 1982: Nuove vedute sulle Unità Sicilidi Auct. dei Monti Nebrodi: schema geologico preliminare. In: Guida alla Geologia della Sicilia occidentale, Soc. geol. it., spe. Publ. 97–101.
- GUERRERA, F., LOIACONO, F. & GRASSO, M. 1986: Dati preliminari sulle successioni oligo-mioceniche «miste» affioranti lungo la Catena Betico-Maghrebide-Appennino Meridionale: una famiglia di flysch con evidenti implicazioni paleogeografiche e paleotettoniche. Boll. Soc. geol. it. 105, 99–110.
- GUERRERA, F., MARTIN-ALGARRA, A. & PERRONE, V. 1993: Late Oligocene-Miocene syn-late-orogenic successions in Western and Central Mediterranean Chains from the Betic Cordillera to the Southern Apennines. Terra Nova 5, 525–544.
- GUERRERA, F. & WEZEL, F.C. 1974: Nuovi dati stratigrafici sui flysch oligo-miocenici siciliani e considerazioni tettoniche relative. Riv. miner. sicil. 25, 27–51.
- LA MANNA, F., GRASSO, M., ROMEO, M., MANISCALCO, R. & DI STEFANO, A. 1995: Evoluzione tettonico-sedimentaria neogenica del bordo tirrenico dei Monti Nebrodi (Sicilia settentrionale). Studi geol. Camerti, vol. spec. 1995/2, 293–305.
- LENTINI, F. 1982: The geology of the Mt. Etna basement. Mem. Soc. geol. it. 23, 7–25.
- LENTINI, F., CARBONE, S., CATALANO, S., GRASSO, M. & MONACO, C. 1991: Presentazione della Carta Geologica della Sicilia centro-orientale. Mem. Soc. geol. it. 47, 145–156.
- LENTINI, F., CARBONE, S., CATALANO, S. & GRASSO, M. 1995: Principali lineamenti strutturali della Sicilia nord-orientale. Studi geol. camerti, vol. spec. 1995/2, 319–329.
- LENTINI, F. & VEZZANI, L. 1978: Tentativo di elaborazione di uno schema strutturale della Sicilia Orientale. Mem. Soc. geol. it. 19, 495–500.
- MARTIN-ALGARRA, A. 1987: Evolución geológica del contacto entre las Zonas Internas y las Zonas Externas de la Cordillera Bética. Doctoral Thesis Univ. of Granada, 1171 p.
- MARTINI, E. 1971: Standard tertiary and quaternary calcareous nannoplankton zonation. In: Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Plankt. Microfossils (Ed. by A. FARINACCI), Ed. Tecnoscienze, Roma, 739–785.
- OGNIBEN, L. 1960: Nota illustrativa dello schema geologico della Sicilia nord-orientale. Riv. miner. sicil. 11, 183–212.
- OKADA, H. & BUKRY, D. 1980: Supplementary modification and introduction of code numbers to the low-latitude coccolith biostratigraphic zonation (Bukry, 1973; 1975). Marine Micropal. 5, 321–325.
- OLIVIER, P., DURAND DELGA, M., MANIVIT, H., FEINBERG, H. & PEYBERNÉS, B. 1996: Le substratum jurassique des flyschs maurétaniens de l'ouest des Maghrebides: l'unité de Ouareg (région de Targuist, Rif, Maroc). Bull. Soc. géol. Fr. 5, 609–616.
- PATACCA, E., SCANDONE, P., BELLATALLA, M., PERILLI, N. & SANTINI, U. 1992: The numidian-sand event in the Southern Apennines. Mem. Sci. geol. Padova 43, 297–337.
- PUGLISI, D. 1979: Variazioni composizionali nelle Arenarie del Flysch di Reitano (monti Nebrodi, Sicilia centro-settentrionale). Miner. Petrogr. Acta 23, 13–46.
- 1987: Le successioni torbiditiche cretaco-terziarie della Sicilia nord-orientale nel quadro dell'evoluzione del settore meridionale dell'arco calabro-peloritano e della catena maghrebide siciliana. Giorn. Geol. 49, 167–185.
- 1992: Le successioni torbiditiche «tardogene» della Sicilia orientale. Giorn. Geol. 54, 181–194.
- ROURE, F., HOWELL, D.G., MULLER, C. & MORETTI, I. 1990: Late Cenozoic subduction complex of Sicily. J. struct. Geol. 12, 259–266.
- VEZZANI, L., LANZAFAME, G., FERARRA, E., FRAZZETTA, G., DI GERONIMO, I. & AMORE, T. 1972: Foglio 611 Mistretta della Carta Geologica d'Italia in scala 1:50.000. Serv. geol. d'Italia, L.A.C., Firenze.
- WEZEL, F.C. & GUERRERA, F. 1973: Nuovi dati sulla età e posizione strutturale del Flysch di Tusa in Sicilia. Boll. Soc. geol. it. 92, 193–211.
- WILDI, W. 1983: La chaîne tello-rifaine (Algérie-Maroc-Tunisie): structure, stratigraphie et évolution du Trias au Miocène. Rev. Géol. dyn. Géogr. phys. 24, 201–297.

Manuscript received February 11, 1999

Revision accepted January 18, 2000

