

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 9 (1963)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREMS AND THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF NON-LINEAR EQUATIONS
Autor: Ehrmann, Hans H.
Kapitel: 5. An example.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-38780>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. Voir Informations légales.

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

Download PDF: 13.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

is solvable is open with respect to the interval $[0, 1]$. This follows from a). It is also closed, for if $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the supremum of Λ then there exists a point $\lambda^* \in \Lambda$ with $|\tilde{\lambda}^* - \lambda| \|w_0 - w_1\| < c$. Thus it follows from a), if w_0 is replaced by $w_0 - \lambda^*(w_0 - w_1)$, that $\tilde{\lambda} \in \Lambda$. Hence $\Lambda = [0, 1]$ and (4.1) has a solution for all $w \in B_2$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 a. Let $w_1 \in B_2$ and $u_0 \in D$ with $Tu_0 = w_0$ be given. Then the points $w = w_0 + \lambda(w_1 - w_0)$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, are bounded:

$$\|w\| \leq \max(\|w_0\|, \|w_1\|) = A.$$

Because of γ' there exists a number R with $\|Tu\| > A$ for all u in the set $\{u \in D : \|u\| \geq R\}$.¹⁾

Then the same conclusion as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 with $c = c(R)$ applied to $\|u\| \leq R$ shows that $Tu = w_1$ is solvable by an element u_1 with $\|u_1\| < R$ for which the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 with $c = c(R)$ hold. This implies the existence of a sphere $\|w - w_1\| < c$ with the asserted properties.

5. AN EXAMPLE.

The simple example $Tu = \tan u$, given only for illustration purposes, shows that Theorem 4.1 is general enough to cover cases in which either the domain D is not the whole space B_1 or $Tu = w$ does not have a unique solution, although this equation is solvable for all $w \in B_2$.

Let $B_1 = B_2 = B$ be the Banach space of real numbers. Then by Theorem 4.1 the equation

$$Tu \equiv \tan u = w, \quad u, w \in B,$$

is solvable for all $w \in B$.²⁾

Proof. We choose

$$Kv = \frac{v}{\cos^2 u} \quad \text{for} \quad u \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

¹⁾ This set may be empty.

²⁾ This is not true for complex numbers as $\tan u = i$ is not solvable.

Then by the mean value theorem and because

$$\frac{d}{du} \frac{1}{\cos^2 u} = \frac{2 \sin u}{\cos^3 u},$$

is increasing for increasing

$$u \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right),$$

it follows that

$$m(u) = \frac{1}{\cos^2(u+r)} - \frac{1}{\cos^2 u} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u < \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad u+r < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

In the following we restrict ourselves to these u .

From the above we get

$$(\|K^{-1}\|^{-1} - m)r > \left(\frac{1}{\cos^2(u+r)} - \frac{4r}{\cos^3(u+r)} \right) r, \quad 0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2} - u.$$

Now choosing r as the smallest positive solution of $r = r(u) = \frac{1}{8} \cos(u+r)$, which implies $u+r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we get

$$(\|K^{-1}\|^{-1} - m)r > \frac{1}{16 \cos(u+r)} > \frac{1}{16}. \quad ^1)$$

The same is true for $-\frac{\pi}{2} < u < 0$ as can be proved in the same way. Thus the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are valid. In particular γ) is true for $c = \frac{1}{16}$.

6. INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREMS (continued).

As was indicated by the example $\tan u = \omega$ in the last chapter, the assumptions of the Theorems 4.1 and 4.1 α are not sufficient to insure that the operator T will have an inverse

¹⁾ Here we use the fact that u is real.