

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 20 (1974)  
**Heft:** 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** ON TRANSLATIVE SUBDIVISIONS OF CONVEX DOMAINS  
**Autor:** Groemer, H.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-46906>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 02.04.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# ON TRANSLATIVE SUBDIVISIONS OF CONVEX DOMAINS

by H. GROEMER <sup>1)</sup>

In euclidean  $n$ -space  $R^n$  let  $K$  be a convex body (compact convex subset of  $R^n$  with interior points). Let  $S = \{ S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m \}$  be a finite collection of at least two closed subsets of  $K$  such that each  $S_i$  can be obtained from any  $S_j$  by a translation. Then,  $S$  will be called a *translative subdivision* of  $K$  if

$$(1) \quad S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_m = K,$$

and if for  $i \neq j$

$$\text{int } S_i \cap \text{int } S_j = \emptyset.$$

Under the assumption that the sets  $S_i$  of a translative subdivision of a convex body  $K$  are also convex it can be shown that  $K$  and the sets  $S_i$  must be cylinders (for  $n=2$  parallelograms). Also, the possible arrangements of the sets  $S_i$  can be completely described (see [2]). Related to this result is the question whether there exist a convex body  $K$  and a translative subdivision  $\{ S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m \}$  of  $K$  with sets  $S_i$  that are not convex. If no assumptions concerning the regularity or connectivity of the sets  $S_i$  are made, there are trivial examples of convex bodies (e.g. cubes) which permit such non-convex subdivisions. To obtain a meaningful problem let us call a subset  $M$  of  $R^n$  *strongly connected* if any two of its points can be connected in the interior of  $M$  by a Jordan arc; that means, if  $x \in M$ ,  $y \in M$ ,  $x \neq y$  there exists a Jordan arc  $\gamma$  with  $x$  and  $y$  as endpoints and such that every point of  $\gamma$  which is different from  $x$  and  $y$  is contained in the interior of  $M$ . Using this definition, the question can be raised whether there exists a convex body with a translative subdivision that consist of strongly connected non-convex sets. For  $n = 1$  the situation is completely trivial. For  $n \geq 3$  this problem has not yet been solved. In the present paper the case  $n = 2$  is settled by the following theorem which will be proved with the aid of the Jordan curve theorem. As a convenient abbreviation a two-dimensional convex body will be called a convex domain.

---

<sup>1)</sup> Supported by National Science Foundation Research Grant GP-34002.

**THEOREM.** *If a translative subdivision of a convex domain consists of strongly connected compact sets, then these sets are necessarily convex (and therefore parallelograms).*

**PROOF:** Let  $K$  be a given convex domain and let us assume that  $K$  has a translative subdivision  $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$  with strongly connected non-convex sets  $S_i$ . As a notational simplification, the set  $S_1$  will often be denoted by  $S$ . Now there are two possibilities. Either the boundary of the convex hull of  $S$  is contained in  $S$  or this is not the case.

I. Assume that

$$(3) \quad \text{bdr conv } S \subset S,$$

where  $\text{conv } S$  denotes the convex hull of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is not convex there is a point  $p$  with  $p \in \text{conv } S$  and

$$(4) \quad p \notin S.$$

Because of  $\text{conv } S = \text{bdr conv } S \cup \text{int conv } S$  and (3) this implies

$$(5) \quad p \in \text{int conv } S.$$

From the convexity of  $K$  and  $S \subset K$  it follows that  $\text{conv } S \subset K$  and therefore

$$(6) \quad p \in K.$$

The relations (1), (4), and (6) imply

$$(7) \quad p \in S_j = S + t$$

for some  $j \neq 1$  and a translation vector  $t \neq 0$ . The set  $S + t$  is not contained in  $\text{conv } S$  (for this would imply  $(\text{conv } S) + t = \text{conv } (S+t) \subset \text{conv } S$  which is clearly impossible since a convex domain cannot contain a translate of itself). Hence, there is a point  $q$  with

$$(8) \quad q \notin \text{conv } S,$$

$$(9) \quad q \in S + t.$$

(7) and (9) show that  $p$  and  $q$  can be connected in the interior of  $S + t$  by some Jordan arc  $\gamma$ . From (5) and (8) one obtains that  $\gamma$  has a point, say  $x$ , in common with  $\text{bdr conv } S$ . Because of the assumption (3) it is clear that

$$(10) \quad x \in S.$$

On the other hand, (5) and (8) show that  $x \neq p$ ,  $x \neq q$  and therefore

$$(11) \quad x \in \text{int}(S+t) = \text{int } S_j .$$

Because of (10) and the strong connectivity of  $S$  there are interior points of  $S$  in any neighborhood of  $x$ . This together with (11) shows that for some  $j \neq 1$

$$\text{int } S_1 \cap \text{int } S_j \neq \emptyset$$

in contradiction to (2).

II. Assume that  $\text{bdr conv } S \not\subset S$ . This means that there exists a point  $g$  with  $g \in \text{bdr conv } S$  and

$$(12) \quad g \notin S .$$

By a well-known version of the theorem of Carathéodory on the convex hull of connected sets (see Bonnesen-Fenchel [1], p. 9) there is a closed line segment  $\sigma = [s_1, s_2]$  with  $s_1 \in S$ ,  $s_2 \in S$  and  $g$  in its (relative) interior. If  $L$  denotes a support line for  $\text{conv } S$  which contains  $g$ , it is obvious that

$$(13) \quad \sigma \subset L .$$

Let  $H$  be the halfplane which is bounded by  $L$  and contains  $\text{conv } S$ , and let  $H_i$  be defined by  $H_i = H + t_i$  where  $t_i$  is the translation vector determined by  $S_i = S + t_i$ . Then, the union of all the halfplanes  $H_i$  is again one of these halfplanes, say  $H_k$ . Since  $H_k$  contains every  $S_i$  it follows that the line  $L_k = L + t_k$  is a support line of  $K$ . By a proper assignment of the subscripts it can be achieved that  $k = 1$  and therefore  $L_k = L$ . Hence, there is no loss in generality by assuming that the line  $L$  which contains  $\sigma$  is a support line of  $K$ . This implies in particular that

$$(14) \quad \sigma \subset \text{bdr } K .$$

Because of the strong connectivity of  $S$  it is possible to connect the points  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  in the interior of  $S$  by some Jordan arc  $\tau$ . Since (13) implies that  $\sigma$  contains no interior points of  $S$  the arcs  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  have only the points  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  in common. Let  $\lambda$  be the closed Jordan curve composed of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . Then, the Jordan curve theorem shows that the complement of  $\lambda$  (with respect to  $R^2$ ) consists of two open connected sets which have the same boundary, namely  $\lambda$ . Further, one of these regions, say  $J$ , is bounded and the other is unbounded.

From the inclusions  $J \subset (J \cup \lambda) \subset \text{conv}(J \cup \lambda) = \text{conv } \lambda$  and  $\lambda = (\sigma \cup \tau) \subset ((\text{conv } S) \cup S) \subset \text{conv } S \subset K$  it follows immediately that

$$(15) \quad J \subset \text{conv } S$$

and

$$(16) \quad J \subset K.$$

Because of (12) and the compactness of  $S$  it is obvious that the point  $g$  has positive distance from  $S$ . Using the fact that  $g \in \lambda = \text{bdr } J$  one can find a point  $q$  in  $J$  which is so close to  $g$  that  $q \notin S$ . This, together with (1) and (16) shows that  $q$  is contained in some  $S_h \neq S$ . Actually, one may assume that  $q$  is in the interior of  $S_h$ . If necessary this can be achieved by a sufficiently small change in the selection of  $q$  without disturbing the relations  $q \in J, q \notin S$ . On the other hand, there is a point  $p$  with  $p \in \text{int } S_h$  and  $p \notin J$ . If such a point would not exist one had  $\text{int } S_h \subset J$ . But then (15) shows that  $\text{int } S_h \subset \text{conv } S$  and by taking the closure and the convex hull one would obtain  $\text{conv } S_h = (\text{conv } S) + t_h \subset \text{conv } S$  with  $t_h \neq 0$  and this is certainly impossible. Note that the closure of the interior of  $S_h$  is  $S_h$  since the strong connectivity implies that there are interior points in any neighborhood of a boundary point.

Hence, it has been found that there are points  $p, q$  with the following properties:

$$(17) \quad p \in \text{int } S_h, \quad q \in \text{int } S_h,$$

$$(18) \quad p \notin J, \quad q \in J.$$

Let  $\kappa$  be a Jordan arc which connects  $p$  and  $q$  in the interior of  $S_h$ . Because of (17) the endpoints of  $\kappa$  are also in  $\text{int } S_h$  and therefore in  $\text{int } K$ . This fact, if compared with (14), shows that  $\kappa$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint. On the other hand, it follows from (18) that  $\kappa$  must contain a point of  $\text{bdr } J = \lambda = \tau \cup \sigma$ . Writing  $\tau' = \tau - \{s_1, s_2\}$  it has therefore been shown that  $\kappa$  and  $\tau'$  have a point, say  $x$ , in common. But this implies that  $x \in \kappa \subset \text{int } S_h$  and  $x \in \tau' \subset \text{int } S_1$ , which contradicts the assumption (2).

REFERENCES

- [1] BONNESEN, T. und W. FENCHEL. *Theorie der konvexen Körper*. *Ergebn. d. Math.*, Bd. 3. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, Springer 1934.
- [2] GROEMER, H. Über translative Zerlegungen konvexer Körper. *Arch. d. Math.* 19 (1968), 445-448.

H. Groemer

The University of Arizona  
Tucson, Arizona, 85721

(Reçu le 30 avril 1974)

**Vide-leer-empty**