

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 27 (1981)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE HYPER-KLOOSTERMAN SUM
Autor: Weinstein, Lenard
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51738>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 01.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

THE HYPER-KLOOSTERMAN SUM

by Lenard WEINSTEIN

1. INTRODUCTION

Deligne, [1], has recently proved the very deep theorem on the bound of the Hyper-Kloosterman sum. His estimate results from his solutions of the strong forms of the Weil conjectures.

The Hyper-Kloosterman sum is defined:

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p) = \sum e\left(\frac{a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k}{p}\right)$$

where a_1, \dots, a_k, α are non-zero elements of the odd prime field F_p , and the summation runs through the k variables $x_i \in F_p$ with the relation $\prod x_i = \alpha$.

Deligne has shown:

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p)| \leq k p^{\frac{k-1}{2}}.$$

Here, we prove the following generalization for the bound of the Hyper-Kloosterman sum. Define:

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_k; q) = \sum e\left(\frac{a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k}{q}\right),$$

where a_1, \dots, a_k are arbitrary integers, q a positive integer, and the summation runs through the k variables $x_i, 0 < x_i \leq q, x_i$ relatively prime to q , with the relation $\prod x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

We show:

THEOREM 1. *Let q be an odd positive integer. Then:*

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; q)| \leq k^{v(q)} q^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (a_1, a_k, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k, q)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $v(q)$ is the number of different prime factors of q .

THEOREM 2. Let q be an even positive integer. Then :

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; q)| \leq 2^{\frac{k+1}{2}} k^{v(q)} q^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (a_1, a_k, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k, q)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Estermann, [2], has dealt with the case of the Kloosterman sum.

2. LEMMAS

Lemma 1. Consider the congruence:

$$x^k \equiv a \pmod{p^m}$$

where k, m are positive integers, a is an integer, p a prime and $(a, p) = 1$. Then:

1. If $p > 2$, this congruence has at most k incongruent solutions mod p^m .
2. If $p = 2$ and k is odd, then this congruence has exactly 1 solution mod p^m .
3. If $p = 2$, and $k = 2^r l$, $r > 1$, l odd, then this congruence has at most $\min\{2^{r+1}, p^m\}$ solutions mod p^m .

Proof: This is essentially found on pp. 115, 119 of [3].

Lemma 2. Let p be a prime, and m, n positive integers, $\frac{1}{2}m \leq n < m$. Let $y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}$ be integers; $p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}$. Define $[y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}; p^m]$ as that integer y , $0 < y < p^m$ such that $y(y_1 \dots y_{k-1}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} [y_1 + p^n z_1, \dots, y_{k-1} + p^n z_{k-1}; p^m] &\equiv [y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}; p^m] \\ &\quad - [y_1; p^m]^2 [y_2; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] p^n z_1 \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad - [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-2}; p^m] [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 p^n z_{k-1} \pmod{p^m} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: This follows from the relation

$$[y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] \equiv [y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}; p^m] \pmod{p^m}$$

and Lemma 1 of [2].

Lemma 3. Let p be a prime, m, n positive integers, $m = 2n + 1$. Let $y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}$ be integers; $p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [y_1 + p^n z_1, \dots, y_{k-1} + p^n z_{k-1}; p^m] \equiv [y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}; p^m] \\
 & + [y_1; p^m]^3 [y_2; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] p^{2n} z_1^2 \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & + [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-2}; p^m] [y_{k-1}; p^m]^3 p^{2n} z_{k-1}^2 \\
 & - [y_1; p^m]^2 [y_2; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] p^n z_1 \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & - [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-2}; p^m] [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 p^n z_{k-1} \\
 & + [y_1; p^m]^2 [y_2; p^m]^2 [y_3; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] p^{2n} z_1 z_2 \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & + [y_1; p^m]^2 [y_2; p^m] \dots [y_{k-2}; p^m] [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 p^{2n} z_1 z_{k-1} \\
 & + [y_1; p^m] [y_2; p^m]^2 [y_3; p^m]^2 [y_4; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] p^{2n} z_2 z_3 \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & + [y_1; p^m] [y_2; p^m]^2 [y_3; p^m] \dots [y_{k-2}; p^m] [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 p^{2n} z_2 z_{k-1} \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & + [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-3}; p^m] [y_{k-2}; p^m]^2 [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 p^{2n} z_{k-2} z_{k-1} \\
 & \pmod{p^m}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: This follows from Lemma 5 of [2].

Lemma 4. Let $p > 2$ be a prime, and n a positive integer. Let a, h be integers. Then:

$$\left| \sum_{0 \leq z < p^{n+1}} e(az^2 p^{-1} + hzp^{-n-1}) \right| = \begin{cases} 0 & p^n \nmid h \\ p^{n+\frac{1}{2}} & p^n \mid h, \quad p \nmid a \\ p^{n+1} & p^{n+1} \mid h, \quad p \mid a \\ 0 & p^{n+1} \nmid h, \quad p \mid a. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The first two parts of this lemma are Lemma 5 of [2]. The last two parts are trivial.

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

PROPOSITION 1. Let p be a prime, m a positive integer and a_1, \dots, a_k , integers such that

$$(a_1, a_k, p^m) = \dots = (a_{k-1}, a_k, p^m) = p^h \quad 0 \leq h < m.$$

Then

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) = (p^h)^{k-1} S(a_1 p^{-h}, \dots, a_k p^{-h}; p^{m-h})$$

Proof: The proof is similar to that of [2], page 85 bottom.

PROPOSITION 2. Let m, n be positive integers $\frac{1}{2}m \leq n < m$, p a prime, and a_1, \dots, a_k integers such that $(a_1, a_k; p^m) = 1$. Then:

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| \leq A(p^n)^{k-1}$$

where

$$A = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } p > 2. \\ 1 & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } k \text{ is odd.} \\ \min \{ 2^{r+1}, p^m \} & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } k = 2^r l, \\ & r > 1 \text{ and } l \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let us assume throughout this proposition that $S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) \neq 0$, or else we are done.

Now we have the identity

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \leq p^m \\ p \nmid x_1, \dots, p \nmid x_{k-1}}} f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{0 < y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \leq p^n \\ p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}}} \sum_{0 \leq z_1, \dots, z_{k-1} < p^{m-n}} f(y_1 + p^n z_1, \dots, y_{k-1} + p^n z_{k-1}).$$

Letting

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = e\left(\frac{a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} x_{k-1} + a_k [x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}; p^m]}{p^m}\right)$$

we see, using Lemma 2

$$= \sum_{\substack{0 < y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \leq p^n \\ p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}}} S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) e\left(\frac{a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} y_{k-1} + a_k [y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}; p^m]}{p^m}\right)$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq z_1 < p^{m-n}} e(\{a_1 - a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]\} p^{n-m} z_1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq z_{k-1} < p^{m-n}} e(\{a_{k-1} - a_k [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2\} p^{n-m} z_{k-1}).$$

Now since we have assumed $S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) \neq 0$, the inner sums above must not equal 0. Thus

$$p^{m-n} \mid a_1 - a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$p^{m-n} \mid a_{k-1} - a_k [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2.$$

These congruences imply, since $(a_1, a_k, p^m) = 1$, also $(a_2, a_k, p^m) = \dots = (a_{k-1}, a_k, p^m) = 1$, and moreover

$$p \nmid a_1, \dots, p \nmid a_k.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq (p^{m-n})^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{0 < y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \leq p^n \\ p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}}} |S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| \\
& \quad a_1 \equiv a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] \pmod{p^{m-n}} \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad a_{k-1} \equiv a_k [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 \pmod{p^{m-n}} \\
& = (p^n)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{0 < y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \leq p^{m-n} \\ p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}}} |S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| \\
& \quad a_1 \equiv a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] \pmod{p^{m-n}} \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad a_{k-1} \equiv a_k [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 \pmod{p^{m-n}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now the congruences in the above sum are easily seen to be equivalent to :

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 y_1 &\equiv a_2 y_2 \equiv \dots \equiv a_{k-1} y_{k-1} \pmod{p^{m-n}} \\
y_1^k &\equiv [a_1; p^m]^{k-1} a_2 \dots a_k \pmod{p^{m-n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 1, the proposition is proved.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $p > 2$ be a prime, a_1, \dots, a_k integers such that $(a_1, a_k, p^m) = 1$, where m is a positive even integer. Then*

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| \leq k (p^m)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}$$

Proof: This is Proposition 2, with $n = \frac{m}{2}$.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $p = 2$, m a positive integer, a_1, \dots, a_k integers such that $(a_1, a_k, p^m) = 1$. Then:

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| \leq A_1 (p^m)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } m \text{ even, } k \text{ odd.} \\ \min \{ 2^{r+1}, p^m \} & , \text{ if } m \text{ even, } k = 2^r l, r > 1, l \text{ odd.} \\ 2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} & , \text{ if } m \text{ odd, } k \text{ odd.} \\ 2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \min \{ 2^{r+1}, p^m \} & , \text{ if } m \text{ odd, } k = 2^r l, r > 1, l \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: This follows from Proposition 2 with $n = m - [\frac{1}{2}m]$.

PROPOSITION 5. Let $p > 2$ be a prime, a_1, \dots, a_k integers. Then

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p)| \leq k p^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (a_1, a_k; p)^{1/2} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k, p)^{1/2}$$

Proof: If $p \nmid a_1 \dots a_k$ this is Deligne's theorem. Therefore suppose, without loss of generality that $p \mid a_k, \dots, p \mid a_{k-i+1}$ where $i \geq 1$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p) &= (p-1)^{i-1} \sum_{0 < x_1 < p} e\left(\frac{a_1 x_1}{p}\right) \dots \sum_{0 < x_{k-i} < p} e\left(\frac{a_{k-i} x_{k-i}}{p}\right) \\ &= (p-1)^{i-1} (-1)^{k-i} \end{aligned}$$

and so the proposition is proved.

PROPOSITION 6. Let $p > 2$ be a prime and $m > 1$ an odd positive integer. Then:

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| \leq k (p^m)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (a_1, a_k, p^m)^{1/2} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k, p^m)^{1/2}.$$

Proof: Let us assume throughout this proposition that $S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) \neq 0$, or else we are done. Let $\frac{m-1}{2} = n > 0$.

Now we have the identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{0 < x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \leq p^{2n+1} \\ p \nmid x_1, \dots, p \nmid x_{k-1}}} f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{0 < y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \leq p^n \\ p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}}} \sum_{0 \leq z_1, \dots, z_{k-1} < p^{n+1}} f(y_1 + p^n z_1, \dots, y_{k-1} + p^n z_{k-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = e\left(\frac{a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} x_{k-1} + a_k [x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}; p^m]}{p^m}\right)$$

we see, using Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{0 < y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \leq p^n \\ p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}}} e\left(\frac{a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} y_{k-1} + a_k [y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}; p^m]}{p^m}\right) \\
& \sum_{0 \leq z_{k-1} < p^{n+1}} e(\{a_{k-1} - a_k [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2\} p^{-n-1} z_{k-1} \\
& \quad + [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^3 a_k p^{-1} z_{k-1}^2) \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \sum_{0 \leq z_1 < p^{n+1}} e(\{a_1 - a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] \\
& \quad + a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 [y_2; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] z_2 p^n \\
& \quad \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \quad \cdot \\
& \quad + a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 [y_2; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 z_{k-1} p^n\} p^{-n-1} z_1 \\
& \quad + a_k [y_1; p^m]^3 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] z_2^1 p^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Since $S(a_1, \dots, a_k, p^m)$ is assumed to be non-zero, we see by Lemma 4 that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^n \mid & \{ a_1 - a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] \\
 & + a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 [y_2; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] z_2 p^n \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & + a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 [y_2; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 z_{k-1} p^n \} \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 p^n \mid & \{ a_{k-1} - a_k [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 \} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let us assume $(a_1, a_k, p^m) = 1$. By reasoning similar to that of Proposition 2, we see that

$$(a_2, a_k, p^m) = \dots = (a_{k-1}, a_k, p^m) = 1 ,$$

and that $p \nmid a_k$. Thus by Lemma 4:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & | S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) | \\
 \leq & (p^{n+1/2})^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{0 < y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \leq p^n \\ p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}}} 1 \\
 a_1 & \equiv a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] \pmod{p^n} \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 a_{k-1} & \equiv a_k [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m]^2 \pmod{p^n}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by reasoning as in Proposition 2 we see $p \nmid a_1, \dots, p \nmid a_{k-1}$, and so by Lemma 1:

$$| S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) | \leq k p^{(n+1/2)k-1} .$$

Now let us assume

$$(a_1, a_k, p^m) = p^h, \quad 0 < h < n + 1 ,$$

(if this case is possible.)

Thus $p \mid a_k$, and Lemma 4 now shows:

$$a_1 \equiv a_k [y_1; p^m]^2 \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] \pmod{p^{n+1}}$$

\cdot
\cdot
\cdot

$$a_{k-1} \equiv a_k [y_1; p^m] \dots [y_{k-1}; p^m] \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

Thus:

$$(a_2, a_k, p^m) = \dots = (a_{k-1}, a_k, p^m) = p^h.$$

Thus by Proposition 1, we have:

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) = p^{(k-1)h} S(a_1 p^{-h}, \dots, a_k p^{-h}; p^{m-h}).$$

Now by Proposition 3, 5 and the first part of this proposition, we have:

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| \leq k p^{(k-1)h} (p^{m-h})^{\frac{k-1}{2}} = k (p^m)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (p^h)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}.$$

Now let us assume

$$(a_1, a_k, p^m) = p^{h_1}, \quad h_1 \geq n+1.$$

As in the previous argument we see

$$(a_2, a_k, p^m) = p^{h_2}, \quad h_2 \geq n+1$$

\cdot
\cdot
\cdot

$$(a_{k-1}, a_k, p^m) = p^{h_{k-1}}, \quad h_{k-1} \geq n+1.$$

Let $h = \min \{h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}\}$. We may assume $h < m$ or else the result is trivial. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 < y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \leq p^{m-h} \\ p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}}} \sum_{0 \leq z_1, \dots, z_{k-1} < p^h} e \\ & \left(\frac{a_1 (y_1 + p^{m-h} z_1) + \dots + a_k [y_1 + p^{m-h} z_1, \dots; p^m]}{p^m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now since $p^m \mid a_1 p^{m-h}, \dots, p^m \mid a_{k-1} p^{m-h}$ and since

$$[y_1 + p^{m-h} z_1, \dots, y_{k-1} + p^{m-h} z_{k-1}; p^m] \equiv [y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}; p^{m-h}] \pmod{p^{m-h}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m) \\
 = & p^{h(k-1)} \sum_{\substack{0 < y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \leq p^{m-h} \\ p \nmid y_1, \dots, p \nmid y_{k-1}}} e\left(\frac{a_1 p^{-h} y_1 + \dots + a_k p^{-h} [y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}; p^{m-h}]}{p^{m-h}}\right) \\
 & = p^{h(k-1)} S(a_1 p^{-h}, \dots, a_k p^{-h}; p^{m-h}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we may assume without loss of generality that $h = h_1$. Thus by Propositions 3, 5 and the first part of this proposition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| & \leq k p^{h(k-1)} p^{(m-h)\binom{k-1}{2}} \\
 & = k (p^m)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (p^h)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 7. Let $p > 2$ be a prime, m an even positive integer, and a_1, \dots, a_k integers. Then:

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| \leq k (p^m)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (a_1, a_k, p^m)^{1/2} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k, p^m)^{1/2}.$$

Proof: Using the identity of Proposition 2 and the results of Propositions 3, 5, 6, this is proved as Proposition 6.

PROPOSITION 8. Let $p = 2$, m a positive integer, a_1, \dots, a_k integers. Then

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; p^m)| \leq 2^{\frac{k+1}{2}} k (p^m)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (a_1, a_k, p^m)^{1/2} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k, p^m)^{1/2}.$$

Proof: This is proved as Proposition 7.

THEOREM 1. Let q be a positive odd integer. Then for any integers a_1, \dots, a_k :

$$|S(a_1, \dots, a_k; q)| \leq k^{v(q)} q^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (a_1, a_k, q)^{1/2} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k, q)^{1/2}.$$

Proof: We proceed by induction on q . For $q = 1$ the theorem is trivial. Assume the theorem true for all $S(b_1, \dots, b_k; q')$, $q' < q$, b_1, \dots, b_k integers.

Now consider $S(a_1, \dots, a_k; q)$.

By Propositions 5, 6, 7, we may assume q is not a prime power; hence there exist odd q_1, q_2 such that $q = q_1 q_2$, $(q_1, q_2) = 1$, $q_1 > 1$, $q_2 > 1$. Thus there exist integers a_{k_1}, a_{k_2} such that

$$a_k = a_{k_1} q_2^k + a_{k_2} q_1^k.$$

By the multiplicative property of the Hyper-Kloosterman sum (see Estermann, [2], p. 86) we have

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k; q) = S(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k_1}; q_1) S(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k_2}; q_2).$$

Thus by the inductive assumption

$$\begin{aligned} & | S(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k; q) | \\ & \leq k^{v(q_1)} (q_1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (a_1, a_{k_1}, q_1)^{1/2} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_{k_1}, q_1)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \cdot k^{v(q_2)} (q_2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (a_1, a_{k_2}, q_2)^{1/2} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_{k_2}, q_2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since it is easily seen

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_{k_1}, q_1) (a_1, a_{k_2}, q_2) &= (a_1, a_k, q) \\ &\vdots \\ (a_{k-1}, a_{k_1}, q_1) (a_{k-1}, a_{k_2}, q_2) &= (a_{k-1}, a_k, q) \end{aligned}$$

the theorem is proved.

Theorem 2 is proved similarly.

Note. By symmetry, the $(a_1, a_k, q)^{1/2} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k, q)^{1/2}$ term in Theorems 1 and 2 may be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{ & (a_1, a_k, q)^{1/2} (a_2, a_k, q)^{1/2} \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k, q)^{1/2}, \\ & (a_1, a_{k-1}, q)^{1/2} (a_2, a_{k-1}, q)^{1/2} \dots (a_k, a_{k-1}, q)^{1/2}, \\ & \vdots \\ & (a_2, a_1, q)^{1/2} (a_3, a_1, q)^{1/2} \dots (a_k, a_1, q)^{1/2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] DELIGNE, P. Séminaire Géométrie Algébrique 4^{1/2}. *Lecture Notes 569* (1977), pp. 221, 228.
- [2] ESTERMANN, T. On Kloosterman's sum. *Mathematika* 8 (1961), pp. 83-86.
- [3] NAGELL, T. *Number Theory*. New York, John Wiley & Sons, 1951.

(Reçu le 14 juin 1980)

Lenard Weinstein

Department of Mathematics
Temple University
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122