

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 27 (1981)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ALTERNATION AND THE ACKERMANN CASE OF THE DECISION PROBLEM
Autor: Fürer, Martin
Kapitel: Conclusions
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51744>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 01.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Proof. By standard diagonalization arguments, there is a language L in $DTIME(c_2^n)$ which is not in $DTIME(c_1^n)$ for $c_1 < c_2$ [19].

L is then in $ASPACE(n)$. Assume Corollary 1 is not true. Then by first transforming L according to the lower bound theorem to the monadic $\forall\exists\exists$ class, and then accepting this language fast, L could be accepted in deterministic time c_1^n . \square

COROLLARY 2. *For every nondeterministic Turing machine M which accepts the satisfiable formulas of the monadic $\forall\exists$ class, there exists a constant c , such that M uses space $cn/\log n$ for infinitely many inputs.*

Proof. We use the hierarchy result for $NSPACE$ [35] and the fact that an alternating Turing machine with only one successor configuration for each universal configuration, is a nondeterministic Turing machine. \square

CONCLUSIONS

Alternating Turing machines are a powerful tool in the few areas where applications have been found so far. They can make connections visible, which are not seen otherwise. It seems impossible to find the lower bound for the Ackermann case of the decision problem, without knowing alternating Turing machines. Even knowing the result, a direct description of the computation of a deterministic exponential time bounded Turing machine M by a $\exists^* \forall\exists^*$ formula, without obviously copying the simulation of M by an alternating Turing machine, seems impossible.

We are used to think that nondeterministic machines correspond to existential quantifiers (e.g. satisfiability in propositional calculus), and that alternating machines correspond to a sequence of alternating quantifiers (e.g. quantified boolean formulas, i.e. the theory of $\{0, 1\}$ with equality). This paper shows that this needs not always to be the case.

Examples

1. Not only the satisfiability problem of the \exists^* class, but also of the \forall^* class is NP -complete (not co- NP -complete).
2. Adding an existential quantifier to the \forall prefix class, means moving from a time to a space complexity class.

3. Adding another existential quantifier to the $\forall\exists$ prefix class means moving from a nondeterministic (space) to a deterministic (time) complexity class.

One possible continuation of this work, is to investigate the complexity of the decision problem for formulas with simple quantifier patterns in decidable theories. For most of the decidable theories, huge lower bounds are known, because a class of formulas with so many quantifier alternations, that they hardly appear in practice, is shown to be difficult to decide.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The deterministic lower time bound $c^{n \setminus \log n}$ for the $\exists^*\forall\exists^*$ case has been obtained independently by Harry R. Lewis (Complexity Results for Classes of Quantificational Formulas. *J. of Computer and System Sciences* 21, No. 3, Dec. 1980, pp. 317-353). His method is quite different and uses alternating pushdown automata.

REFERENCES

- [1] ACKERMANN, Wilhelm. Über die Erfüllbarkeit gewisser Zählausdrücke. *Mathematische Annalen* 100 (1928), pp. 638-649.
- [2] ———. *Solvable Cases of the Decision Problem*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1954.
- [3] AHO, A. V., J. E. HOPCROFT and J. D. ULLMAN. *The Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms*. Addison-Wesley, 1974.
- [4] ASSER, G. Das Repräsentantenproblem im Prädikatenkalkül der ersten Stufe mit Identität. *Zeitschrift für mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik* 1 (1955), pp. 252-263.
- [5] BENNETT, J. On spectra. Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton University, N.J., 1962.
- [6] BERMAN, L. Precise bounds for Presburger arithmetic and the reals with addition. *Proceedings 18th Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 1977, pp. 95-99.
- [7] BERNAYS, Paul und Moses SCHÖNFINKEL. Zum Entscheidungsproblem der mathematischen Logik. *Mathematische Annalen* 99 (1928), pp. 342-372.
- [8] BÜCHI, J. R. Turing machines and the Entscheidungsproblem. *Mathematische Annalen* 148 (1962), pp. 201-213.
- [9] CHANDRA, A. K., D. C. KOZEN and L. J. STOCKMEYER. Alternation. *Journal of the ACM* 28 (1981), pp. 114-133.
- [10] CHANDRA, A. K. and L. J. STOCKMEYER. Alternation. *Proc. 17th Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 1976, pp. 98-108.
- [11] CHRISTEN, C. Spektren und Klassen elementarer Funktionen. Doctoral Dissertation, ETH Zürich, 1974.
- [12] CHURCH, Alonzo. A note on the Entscheidungsproblem. *Journal of Symbol. Logic* 1 (1936), pp. 40-41; Correction. *ibid.*, pp. 101-102.