

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 28 (1982)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** HARMONIZABLE PROCESSES: STRUCTURE THEORY  
**Autor:** Rao, M. M.  
**Kapitel:** 6. Stationary dilations  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52243>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 02.04.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## 6. STATIONARY DILATIONS

The results of the last section play a key role in showing that each weakly harmonizable random field has a stationary dilation. It is a consequence of the preceding work that for any stationary field  $Y : G \rightarrow L_0^2(P)$  with  $G$  an LCA group, and each orthogonal projection  $Q : L_0^2(P) \rightarrow L_0^2(P)$ , the new random field  $X(g) = QY(g), g \in G$ , giving  $X : G \rightarrow L_0^2(P)$ , is shown to be weakly harmonizable. The dilation result yields the reverse implication. A "concrete" version of this is given by the following theorem and an operator version will be obtained later from it.

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be an LCA group,  $X : G \rightarrow L_0^2(P) = \mathcal{H}$  a weakly harmonizable random field. Then there is a super (or extension) Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ , a probability measure space  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{P})$  with  $\mathcal{K} = L_0^2(\tilde{P})$ , and a stationary random field  $Y : G \rightarrow L_0^2(\tilde{P})$ , such that  $X(g) = QY(g), g \in G$ , where  $Q : L_0^2(\tilde{P}) \rightarrow L_0^2(\tilde{P})$  is the orthogonal projection with range  $L_0^2(P)$ . If moreover,  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{sp}}\{X(g), g \in G\}$ , then  $Y$  determines  $\mathcal{K}$  in the sense that  $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{sp}}\{Y(g), g \in G\}$ . [Thus  $\mathcal{K}$  is the minimal super space for  $\mathcal{H}$ .]*

*Proof.* The "consequence" above is easily proved. In fact, if  $Y : G \rightarrow L_0^2(P)$  is stationary, then Theorem 3.3 implies

$$Y(g) = \int_{\hat{G}} \langle g, s \rangle Z(ds), \quad g \in G, \quad (63)$$

for a vector measure  $Z$  on  $\hat{G}$  into  $\mathcal{K} = L_0^2(P)$ , with orthogonal increments (also called orthogonally scattered) where  $\hat{G}$  is the dual group of the LCA group  $G$ , and  $\langle \cdot, s \rangle$  is a character of  $G$ . If  $Q : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is any orthogonal projection, then  $\tilde{Z} = Q \circ Z$  is a stochastic measure on  $\tilde{G}$  into  $\mathcal{K}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{Z}\|^2(\hat{G}) &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \tilde{Z}(A_i) \right\|_2^2 : |a_i| \leq 1, A_i \subset \hat{G} \text{ disjoint Borel}, n \geq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| Q \sum_{i=1}^n a_i Z(A_i) \right\|_2^2 : |a_i| \leq 1, A_i \subset \hat{G}, \text{ as above} \right\} \\ &\leq \|Q\|^2 \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i Z(A_i) \right\|_2^2 : |a_i| \leq 1, A_i \subset \hat{G}, \text{ as before} \right\} \\ &= \|Q\|^2 \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i \overline{a_j} F(A_i \cap A_j) : |a_i| \leq 1, A_i \subset \hat{G} \text{ as before} \right\} \\ &\quad \text{where } F(A_i \cap A_j) = (Z(A_i), Z(A_j)), \\ &= \|Q\|^2 |F|(\hat{G}) \leq F(\hat{G}) < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

since  $F$  is the spectral measure of  $Z$  and so is finite and  $Q$  is a contraction. Hence  $\tilde{Z}$  has finite semivariation and is clearly  $\sigma$ -additive, so that it is a stochastic measure. By Theorem 3.3,  $X$  given by  $X(g) = QY(g) = \int_{\hat{G}} \langle g, s \rangle \tilde{Z}(ds), g \in G$ , is weakly harmonizable. (Note that the same conclusion holds if  $Q$  is replaced by any bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{K}$ . If the range of the projection  $Q$  is not finite dimensional, then  $X$  need *not* be strongly harmonizable!)

To go in the reverse direction, the (possibly) augmented space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$  has to be constructed. Consider  $X : G \rightarrow \mathcal{H} = L^2_0(P)$ , the given weakly harmonizable random field. In order to get simultaneously the additional structure demanded in the last part, let  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{sp}\{X(g), g \in G\}$  also. Then, as before, there is a stochastic measure on  $\hat{G}$  into  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$X(g) = \int_{\hat{G}} \langle g, s \rangle Z(ds) \in \mathcal{H}, \quad g \in G. \tag{65}$$

By Theorem 5.5, with  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a finite Radon (= regular Borel) measure  $\mu$  on  $\hat{G}$  such that

$$\| \int_{\hat{G}} f(t)Z(dt) \|_2^2 \leq \int_{\hat{G}} |f(t)|^2 \mu(dt), \quad f \in C_0(\hat{G}). \tag{66}$$

Now define a mapping  $\nu : \mathcal{B}(\hat{G} \times \hat{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  by the equation

$$\nu(A, B) = \mu(A \cap B), \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\hat{G}), \tag{67}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(\hat{G})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -ring of  $\hat{G}$  and similarly  $\mathcal{B}(\hat{G} \times \hat{G})$ . Then  $\nu$  is a bimeasure of finite Vitali variation on  $\mathcal{B}(\hat{G}) \times \mathcal{B}(\hat{G})$  and since this ring generates  $\mathcal{B}(\hat{G} \times \hat{G})$ ,  $\nu$  extends to a Radon measure on the latter  $\sigma$ -ring. Moreover, it is clear that  $\nu$  concentrates on the diagonal of the product space  $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ . If  $C_b(\hat{G})$  denotes the Banach space of bounded continuous scalar functions on  $\hat{G}$  with uniform norm, then

$$\int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} f(s, t)\nu(ds, dt) = \int_{\hat{G}} f(s, s)\mu(ds), \quad f \in C_b(\hat{G} \times \hat{G}). \tag{68}$$

Let  $F(A, B) = (Z(A), Z(B))$  so that  $F : \mathcal{B}(\hat{G} \times \hat{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a bimeasure of finite semivariation, from (65). Thus using the D-S and MT-integration techniques as before,

$$0 \leq \| \int_{\hat{G}} f(s)Z(ds) \|_2^2 = \int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} f(s)\overline{f(t)}F(ds, dt), \quad f \in C_b(\hat{G}). \tag{69}$$

Letting  $f(s, t) = f(s) \cdot f(t)$  in (68),  $\alpha = \nu - F$  one has from (66)-(69),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\hat{G}} |f(s)|^2 \mu(ds) - \| \int_{\hat{G}} f(s)Z(ds) \|_2^2 \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} f(s)\overline{f(t)} [\nu(ds, dt) - F(ds, dt)] \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} f(s)\overline{f(t)}\alpha(ds, dt), \quad f \in C_b(\hat{G}). \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

So  $\alpha$  is positive semi-definite and  $\alpha = 0$  iff  $v = F$ , i.e., if  $F$  concentrates on the diagonal. This corresponds to  $X$  being stationary itself. Excluding this trivial case,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , and (70) is strictly positive, if  $f = 1$ . It follows from (70) that  $[\cdot, \cdot] : C_b(\hat{G}) \times C_b(\hat{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  defines a nontrivial semi-inner product, where

$$[f, g]' = \int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} f(s)\bar{g}(t)\alpha(ds, dt), \quad f, g \in C_b(\hat{G}). \quad (71)$$

If  $\mathcal{N}_0 = \{f : [f, f]' = 0, f \in C_b(\hat{G})\}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_1 = C_b(\hat{G})/\mathcal{N}_0$  is the factor space, let  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be defined by

$$[(f), (g)] = [f, g]', \quad f \in (f) \in \mathcal{H}_1, g \in (g) \in \mathcal{H}_1. \quad (72)$$

Then  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is an inner product on  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and define  $\mathcal{H}_0$  as its completion in  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ . Let  $\pi_0 : C_b(\hat{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$  be the canonical projection. Thus  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is nontrivial and need not be separable. Now let us replace  $\mathcal{H}_0$  by  $L_0^2(P')$  on a probability space  $(\Omega', \Sigma', P')$ . This can be done based on the Fubini-Jessen theorem where  $P'$  can even be taken to be a Gaussian measure (for the real  $\mathcal{H}$ , see [36], pp. 414-415). The complex case is similar. A quick outline is as follows: Let  $\{h_i, i \in I\} \subset \mathcal{H}_0$  be a CON set. If  $(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, P_i)$  is a probability space determined by a complex Gaussian variable, so that one can take  $\Omega_i = \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\Sigma_i =$  Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbf{C}$ , and

$$P_i(A) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_A \exp\left(-\frac{|t|^2}{2}\right) dt_1 dt_2, \quad A \in \Sigma_i, (t = t_1 + \sqrt{-1} t_2),$$

let  $(\Omega', \Sigma', P') = \bigotimes_{i \in I} (\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, P_i)$  the product space given by the Fubini-Jessen theorem. If  $X_i(\omega) = \omega(i)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega' = \mathbf{C}^I$ , the coordinate function, then  $E(X_i) = 0$  and  $E(|X_i|^2) = 1$ . Also  $\{X_i, i \in I\}$  forms a CON basis of  $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\text{sp}}\{X_i, i \in I\} \subset L_0^2(P')$ . The correspondence  $\tau : h_i \rightarrow X_i$ , extended linearly, sets up an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  onto  $\mathcal{L}$ , and

$$\|\tau(h_i)\|_2^2 = E(|X_i|^2) = 1 = [h_i, h_i], \quad i \in I.$$

Then by polarization one has  $[h_i, h_j] = E(\tau(h_i)\overline{\tau(h_j)})$ , so that  $\tau$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  onto  $\mathcal{L} \subset L_0^2(P')$ , as desired.

If  $\pi = \tau \circ \pi_0 : f \mapsto \tau(\pi_0(f)) \in \mathcal{H}' \subset L_0^2(P')$ ,  $f \in C_b(\hat{G})$ , is the composite (canonical) mapping, let  $X_1(t) = \pi(e_t(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{H}'$  where  $e_t : s \mapsto (t, s)$ , is a character of  $G$  at  $t \in G$ . Note that  $e_0 = 1 \notin \mathcal{N}_0$ , so  $\pi_0(1)$  can be identified with the constant  $1 \in C_b(\hat{G})$ . Thus

$$X_1(0) = \tau(1), E(|\tau(1)|^2) = 1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}'' = \overline{\text{sp}}\{X_1(t), t \in G\} \subset \mathcal{H}'$ . Then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega'', \Sigma'', P'')$ , as above, such that  $\mathcal{H}'' \subset L^2(P'')$ . Finally set  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}''$ , in the

direct sum of Hilbert spaces  $L_0^2(P)$  and  $L_0^2(P'')$ . If  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{P}) = (\Omega, \Sigma, P) \otimes (\Omega'', \Sigma'', P'')$  then one can identify, in a natural way,  $\mathcal{H} \subset L_0^2(\tilde{P})$ . Define  $Y(t) = X(t) + X_1(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , so that  $(X(t), X_1(t)) = 0$  since  $\mathcal{H} \perp \mathcal{H}''$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\{Y(t), t \in G\} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset L_0^2(\tilde{P})$ , and if  $Q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}\}$  is the orthogonal projection, one has  $X(t) = QY(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ . It remains to show that  $Y : G \rightarrow L_0^2(\tilde{P})$  is stationary. By construction  $Y(0) = X(0) + X_1(0)$  and this is  $X(0)$  only when  $X_1(0) = 0$  which can happen iff  $\mathcal{H}'' = \{0\}$ , i.e., when no enlargement is needed.

To verify stationarity, consider

$$\begin{aligned} r(s, t) &= (Y(s), Y(t)) = (X(s), X(t)) + (X_1(s), X_1(t)) \text{ since } X \perp X_1, \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} (s, \lambda) \overline{(t, \lambda')} F(d\lambda, d\lambda') + \int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} (s, \lambda) \overline{(t, \lambda')} \alpha(d\lambda, d\lambda'), \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{by (69) and (72) and these are MT-integrals,} \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} (s, \lambda) \overline{(t, \lambda')} v(d\lambda, d\lambda'), \text{ since } \alpha = v - F \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} (s, \lambda) \overline{(t, \lambda)} \mu(d\lambda), \text{ by (68),} \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} (s-t, \lambda) \mu(d\lambda), \text{ by the composition of characters.} \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Since  $\mu$  is a finite positive measure, (73) implies

$$r(s+h, t+h) = r(s, t) = \tilde{r}(s-t),$$

and so the  $Y : G \rightarrow L_0^2(\tilde{P})$  is stationary. The construction also implies that  $\overline{\text{sp}\{Y(t), t \in G\}} = \mathcal{H}$  in the case that  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{sp}\{X(t), t \in G\}}$ . This completes the proof.

The following is a useful deduction:

**COROLLARY 6.2.** *Every vector measure  $v : \mathcal{B}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  where  $G$  is an LCA group,  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  being its Borel algebra, and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space, has an orthogonally scattered dilation.*

*Proof.* Since  $G = \hat{\hat{G}}$  consider the mapping  $X : \hat{\hat{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  defined as the D-S integral  $X(\hat{g}) = \int_{\hat{G}} \langle \hat{g}, \lambda \rangle v(d\lambda)$ . Then  $X$  is  $V$ -bounded; so it is weakly harmonizable. By the above theorem there are an extension Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ , an orthogonal projection  $Q : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , with range  $\mathcal{H}$ , and a stationary field  $Y : \hat{\hat{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  such that  $X(\hat{g}) = QY(\hat{g})$ . Let  $Z$  be the stochastic measure representing  $Y$ , (cf. Theorem 3.3). Hence for each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  one has  $(Z : \mathcal{B}(\hat{\hat{G}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K})$

$$\int_{\hat{G}} (\hat{g}, \lambda) (v(d\lambda), h) = (X(\hat{g}), h) = (QY(\hat{g}), h) = \int_{\hat{G}} (\hat{g}, \lambda) (Q \circ Z(d\lambda), h).$$

These are now scalar (Lebesgue-Stieltjes) integrals. By the classical uniqueness theorem of Fourier analysis for such integrals, one has

$$(\nu(A) - Q \circ Z(A), h) = 0, A \in \mathcal{B}(G), h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Hence  $\nu = Q \circ Z$ . Since  $Z$  is orthogonally scattered by virtue of the fact that  $Y$  is stationary, the result follows.

With the last theorem, a more perspicuous version of the dilation problem for a weakly harmonizable random field can be given. This, however, depends also on an interesting theorem of Sz.-Nagy [41] and will be presented. Recall from the classical theory of stationary processes ([6], p. 512 and p. 638) every such process  $\{Y_t, t \in \mathbf{R}\} \subset L_0^2(P)$ , can be expressed as  $Y_t = U_t Y_0$ , where  $\{U_t, t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is a group of unitary operators acting on  $L_0^2(P)$  (first on  $\overline{\text{sp}\{Y_t, t \in \mathbf{R}\}}$  and then, for instance, define each  $U_t$  as an identity on the orthogonal complement of this subspace). The spectral theory of  $U_t$  then yields immediately the corresponding integral representation of  $Y_t$ 's. The same result holds if  $\mathbf{R}$  is replaced by an LCA group  $G$ . The corresponding operator representation for harmonizable processes (or fields) is not so simple. Its solution will be presented in the following theorem. Recall that a family  $T : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$  a Hilbert space, is of positive type if  $T(-g) = T(g)^*$  (adjoint operator) and for each finite set  $\{x_{s_1}, \dots, x_{s_n}\}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by  $J = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\} \subset G$ , one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (T(s_j^{-1} s_i) x_{s_i}, x_{s_j}) \geq 0. \quad (74)$$

**THEOREM 6.3.** *Let  $G$  be an LCA group and  $X : G \rightarrow L_0^2(P) = \mathcal{X}$ , a Hilbert space, be weakly harmonizable. Then there exists a super Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} = L_0^2(\tilde{P}) \supset \mathcal{X}$  on an enlarged probability space  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{P})$ , a random variable  $Y_0 \in \mathcal{K}$  a weakly continuous family  $\{T(g), g \in G\}$  of contractive linear operators from  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  with  $T(0)$  as the identity on  $\mathcal{X}$  ( $0$  being the neutral element of  $G$ ), such that, when its domain is restricted to  $\mathcal{X}$ , it is of positive type, in terms of which  $X(g) = T(g)Y_0, g \in G$ . Conversely every weakly continuous contractive family  $\{T(g), g \in G\}$  of the above type from any super Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{X}$  into  $\mathcal{X}$  which, when restricted to  $\mathcal{X}$  is of positive type, defines a weakly harmonizable process  $X : G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , by the equation  $X(g) = T(g)Y_0$  for any  $Y_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $T(0)$  being identity on  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

*Proof.* The direct part is an operator-theoretic reformulation of Theorem 6.1. Briefly, let  $X : G \rightarrow L_0^2(P) = \mathcal{X}$  be weakly harmonizable. Then there exist a  $\mathcal{K} = L_0^2(\tilde{P}) \supset \mathcal{X}$  and a stationary  $Y : G \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  such that  $X(g) = QY(g), g \in G$ , by Theorem 6.1 with  $Q$  as the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{X}$  and range  $\mathcal{X}$ . But  $Y(g) = U(g)Y(0)$  where  $\{U(g), g \in G\}$  is a (strongly) continuous group of unitary operators on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Let  $T(g) = QU(g), g \in G$ . It is asserted that  $\{T(g), g \in G\}$  is the desired family.

Indeed,  $T(0) = Q$  (= identity on  $\mathcal{X}$ ), and  $\|T(g)\| \leq \|Q\| \|U(g)\| \leq 1$ . The continuity of  $U(g)$  on  $G$  clearly implies the weak continuity of  $T(g)$ 's. To verify the positive definiteness on  $\mathcal{X}$ , let  $h_{s_1}, \dots, h_{s_n}$  be a finite set in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then letting  $\tilde{T}(g) = T(g)|_{\mathcal{X}}$  one has  $\tilde{T}(-g) = (\tilde{T}(g))^*$  since

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{T}(-g)h_{s_1}, h_{s_2}) &= (QU(-g)h_{s_1}, h_{s_2}) = (U^*(g)h_{s_1}, Qh_{s_2}) \\ &= (h_{s_1}, U(g)h_{s_2}), \text{ since } Qh_{s_i} = h_{s_i} \text{ and } U^{**}(g) = U(g), \\ &= (Qh_{s_1}, U(g)h_{s_2}) = (h_{s_1}, QU(g)h_{s_2}) \\ &= (h_{s_1}, \tilde{T}(g)h_{s_2}) = (\tilde{T}(g)^*h_{s_1}, h_{s_2}), h_{s_i} \in \mathcal{X}, i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{T}(s_j^{-1}s_i)h_{s_i}, h_{s_j}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (QU(-s_j)U(s_i)h_{s_i}, h_{s_j}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (U(s_j)^*U(s_i)h_{s_i}, h_{s_j}) \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n U(s_i)h_{s_i} \right\|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

The converse depends explicitly on an important theorem of Sz.-Nagy ([41], Thm. III; this is an extension of a classical result of Naïmark). According to this result if  $\tilde{T}(\cdot) = T(\cdot)|_{\mathcal{X}}$ , then there is a super Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}_1 \supset \mathcal{X}$  ( $\mathcal{K}_1$  may be quite different from  $\mathcal{K}$ ) and a weakly (hence strongly) continuous group  $\{V(g), g \in G\}$  of unitary operators on  $\mathcal{K}_1$  such that  $\tilde{T}(g) = Q_1V(g)|_{\mathcal{X}}$ ,  $Q_1$  being the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{K}_1$  onto  $\mathcal{X}$ . Here  $\mathcal{K}_1$  can be chosen as  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \overline{\text{sp}\{V(g)\mathcal{X}, g \in G\}}$ . If  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  is arbitrary, then  $x_0 \in \mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{K}$ , and

$$T(g)x_0 = \tilde{T}(g)x_0 = Q_1V(g)x_0 = X(g), \quad (\text{say}), g \in G.$$

But  $\{Y(g) = V(g)x_0, g \in G\} \subset \mathcal{K}_1$  is a stationary process so that by the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 6.1,  $\{X_0(g), g \in G\} \subset \mathcal{X}$  is weakly harmonizable. Thus for each  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\{T(g)x_0, g \in G\}$  is weakly harmonizable, and this completes the proof.

*Remark.* In the converse direction one can take  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{X}$ . However in the forward direction, it is not always possible to take  $Y_0$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , so that  $X(0) = Y_0$ , as the example following Definition 2.1 shows. Thus there is an inherent asymmetry in the statement of this theorem, and the mention of the super Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  in the enunciation cannot be avoided. It should also be noted that the above quoted theorem of Sz.-Nagy [41] can be deduced also from Naïmark's theorem and Theorem 6.1. See [38] for a further discussion on this point.