

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 32 (1986)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON THE JONES POLYNOMIAL Swiss Seminar in Berne
Autor: de la Harpe, Pierre / Kervaire, Michel / Weber, Claude
Kapitel: §5. The trace
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-55091>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. Voir Informations légales.

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

Download PDF: 15.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

§ 5. THE TRACE

The fundamental idea of V. Jones which led him to the definition of his original one-variable polynomial is the construction of the trace. Originally, V. Jones used algebras which are quotients of the algebras H_n . The lifting of the trace to the Hecke algebras H_n was observed by A. Ocneanu.

The trace will commute with the inclusion $H_n \rightarrow H_{n+1}$ and therefore yield a trace on the direct limit of the H_n 's. (Compare with the discussion in § 12.)

THEOREM. *Let K be a field and let $q, z \in K$ be two elements of K . Let H_n be the Hecke algebra over K corresponding to q . There exists a trace $\text{Tr}: H_n \rightarrow K$ compatible with the inclusion $H_n \rightarrow H_{n+1}$, i.e. the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_{n+1} \\ & \searrow \text{Tr} & \swarrow \text{Tr} \\ & K & \end{array}$$

commutes, and such that

- (1) $\text{Tr}(1) = 1$,
- (2) Tr is K -linear and $\text{Tr}(ab) = \text{Tr}(ba)$,
- (3) If $a, b \in H_n$, then $\text{Tr}(aT_n b) = z\text{Tr}(ab)$.

Notice that the last property enables us to calculate $\text{Tr}(x)$ for an arbitrary $x \in H_n$ by using the fact that monomials in normal form generate H_n over K . For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(T_1) &= z, \\ \text{Tr}(T_1 T_2) &= \text{Tr}(T_2 T_1) = z^2, \\ \text{Tr}(T_1 T_2 T_1) &= z\text{Tr}(T_1^2) = z((q-1)z + q). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The K -linear map $\text{Tr}: H_{n+1} \rightarrow K$ is defined by induction on n , using the structure lemma of § 4 (Proposition 4.1):

$$\varphi: H_n \oplus H_n \otimes_{H_{n-1}} H_n \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{n+1}.$$

Starting with $\text{Tr}: H_0 = K \rightarrow K$ the identity, one defines $\text{Tr}: H_{n+1} \rightarrow K$ by $\text{Tr}(x) = \text{Tr}(a) + \sum_i z\text{Tr}(b_i c_i)$, if $\varphi(a + \sum_i b_i \otimes c_i) = x$.

It is clear that if $a, b \in H_n$, then

$$\text{Tr}(aT_n b) = z\text{Tr}(ab),$$

since $\varphi(a \otimes b) = aT_n b$.

The only statement to be proved is then:

$$\text{Tr}(xy) = \text{Tr}(yx) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H_{n+1}.$$

This is proved by induction on n .

We may assume that x and y are monomials containing T_n at most once.

If y does not contain T_n at all, then writing $x = x'T_n x''$, where x', x'' are monomials in T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} , one has

$$\text{Tr}(xy) = z\text{Tr}(x'x''y) = z\text{Tr}(yx'x'') = \text{Tr}(yx'T_n x'') = \text{Tr}(yx).$$

If y contains T_n , it suffices to check the case where $x = aT_n b$ and $y = T_n$, as is easily verified. (Here $a, b \in H_n$.)

There are various cases depending on whether or not the elements a and b actually contain T_{n-1} . The worst case is the one in which $a = a'T_{n-1}a'', b = b'T_{n-1}b''$ with a', a'', b', b'' belonging to H_{n-1} . We have then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(aT_n b T_n) &= z((q-1)\text{Tr}(ab) + q\text{Tr}(ab'b'')) \\ \text{Tr}(T_n a T_n b) &= z((q-1)\text{Tr}(ab) + q\text{Tr}(a'a''b)).\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\text{Tr}(ab'b'') = \text{Tr}(a'T_{n-1}a''b'b'') = z\text{Tr}(a'a''b'b''),$$

and

$$\text{Tr}(a'a''b) = \text{Tr}(a'a''b'T_{n-1}b'') = z\text{Tr}(a'a''b'b'').$$

Hence,

$$\text{Tr}(aT_n b T_n) = \text{Tr}(T_n a T_n b)$$

as desired.