**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
**Band:** 35 (1989)
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** THE CANTOR SET AND A GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION
**Autor:** Pavone, Marco
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57361

**Nutzungsbedingungen**
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

**Conditions d'utilisation**
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. Voir Informations légales.

**Terms of use**
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

**Download PDF:** 02.04.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch**

L'Enseignement Mathématique, t. 35 (1989), p. 41-49

# THE CANTOR SET AND A GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION

by Marco PAVONE

## INTRODUCTION

The Cantor ternary set consists of all those real numbers $x$ in $[0, 1]$ which have a ternary expansion $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/3^n$ for which $a_n$ is never 1. Equivalently, $C$ can be obtained in a purely geometrical fashion by first removing from $[0, 1]$ the middle third $(1/3, 2/3)$, then removing the middle thirds $(1/9, 2/9)$ and $(7/9, 8/9)$ of the remaining intervals, and so on ($C$ will be exactly the complement of the countable union of the removed intervals). If $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/3^n$ is in $C$, the geometric interpretation of its ternary expansion is that $x$ is the unique point in $[0, 1]$ which is reached by first staying to the left or to the right of $(1/3, 2/3)$ if $a_1 = 0$ or $a_1 = 2$ respectively, then staying to the left or to the right of the next removed interval if $a_2 = 0$ or $a_2 = 2$ respectively, and so on. It follows from the construction that $C$ is a nowhere dense closed subset of $[0, 1]$.

A well known property of $C$ is that any real number in $[0, 2]$ can be written as the sum of two numbers in $C$. The purpose of this note is to give an elementary proof of $C + C = [0, 2]$ which only uses the geometric definition of $C$. A refinement of the proof shows in fact that for any $k$ in $[0, 2]$ there exists either a finite or an uncountable number of pairs $x, y$ from $C$ such that $x + y = k$. We also discuss the analogy between this decomposition result and certain properties of continued fractions.

## THE GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION

We set, as usual, $C \times C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x, y \in C\}$. Then $C + C = [0, 2]$ can be geometrically restated as

(*) for any $k$ in $[0, 2]$ the line $x + y = k$ intersects $C \times C$ in at least one point.

Let's agree to call a line segment in $\mathbf{R}^2$ "horizontal" or "vertical" if it is parallel or perpendicular to the line $y = x$ respectively. Consider a sequence $L_0, L_1, L_2, \ldots$ of continuous polygonal curves in $\mathbf{R}^2$ with the following properties (see fig. 1-3):

(a) $L_n$ is contained in $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ for all $n$, and is composed by horizontal and vertical segments only.

(b) The vertices of $L_n$ belong to $C \times C$ for all $n$.

(c) The endpoints of $L_n$ are $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$ for all $n$.

(d) Each $L_n$ contains $3^n$ horizontal segments, each of which has length $2^{1/2}/3^n$.

(e) For all $n$, and for any $k$ in $\{0, 2/3^n, 4/3^n, \ldots, 2\}$ the line $x + y = k$ contains a vertical segment of $L_n$.

(f) For all $n$, and for any $k$ not in $\{0, 2/3^n, 4/3^n, \ldots, 2\}$ the line $x + y = k$ meets at most one horizontal segment of $L_n$.




FIGURE 1

Suppose first that such a sequence exists. Then property (*) is satisfied. Indeed, fix $k$ in [0, 2] and let $r$ denote the line $x + y = k$. If $k$ is in $\{0, 2/3^n, 4/3^n, ..., 2\}$ for some $n$, then $r$ meets $C \times C$ by (e) and (b); otherwise, for any positive integer $n$ there exists by (f) a unique horizontal segment of $L_n$ that meets $r$. This implies, by (d) and (b), that $\text{dist}(r, C \times C) < 2^{1/2}/3^n$ for all positive integers $n$, that is, $\text{dist}(r, C \times C) = 0$. Then $r$ meets $C \times C$ by a standard compactness argument (I recall that $C$ is a closed subset of [0, 1]).




FIGURE 2

We now proceed to the heart of the argument, that is the construction of the sequence $\{L_n\}_n$. All we need is in fact the first step of an induction process. Let $L_0$ be the line segment with endpoints (0, 0) and (1, 1), and let $L_1$ be the polygonal with vertices (0, 0), (1/3, 1/3), (0, 2/3), (1/3, 1), (2/3, 2/3) and (1, 1) (see fig. 1). In general, let $L_{n+1}$ be the curve obtained from $L_n$ by performing on each horizontal segment of $L_n$ the same modification that was performed on $L_0$ to get $L_1$. In other words, we replace the generic horizontal segment of $L_n$ with endpoints $(x, y)$ and $(x+1/3^n, y+1/3^n)$ by the polygonal passing through the points

$$(x, y), \quad (x+1/3^{n+1}, y+1/3^{n+1}), \quad (x, y+2/3^{n+1}), \quad (x+1/3^{n+1}, y+1/3^n), \quad (x+2/3^{n+1}, y+2/3^{n+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad (x+1/3^n, y+1/3^n)$$

(see fig. 2 and 3). It is then apparent that $\{L_n\}_n$ satisfies the hypotheses (a), ..., (f) stated above.




FIGURE 3

An easy modification of the previous construction gives us more information on the way a number in [0, 2] can be written as the sum of two numbers in $C$. For every map $\mu$ from $\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$ into $\{0, 2\}$ we construct a sequence $\{L_n^{(\mu)}\}_n$ of polygonal curves with properties (a), ..., (f). The idea is simply to add to the previous construction a choice between "left" and "right" at every step of the induction. What one ends up with is exactly a two-dimensional version of the geometric construction of the Cantor ternary set. We proceed as follows.




FIGURE 4

Let $M_1$ be the mirror image of the curve $L_1$ with respect to the line $y = x$ (see figure 4). If $\mu$ is a map from $\mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}$ into $\{0, 2\}$, we define $L_0^{(\mu)} = L_0$, and for any nonnegative integer $n$ we let $L_{n+1}^{(\mu)}$ be the polygonal obtained from $L_n^{(\mu)}$ by replacing each horizontal segment of $L_n$ by a (normalized) copy of $L_1$ or $M_1$, according to whether $\mu(n+1) = 0$ or $\mu(n+1) = 2$ respectively. For example, if $\mu = \{0, 0, 0, ...\}$, we obtain our original sequence $\{L_n\}_n$ (fig. 1-3), and for $\mu = \{2, 2, 2, ...\}$ we get its mirror image with respect to the line $y = x$. For $\mu = \{0, 2, 0, 2, ...\}$, we obtain castle-like polygonals as in figure 5.




FIGURE 5

For all $\mu$ let $L^{(\mu)}$ denote the uniform limit of the curves $L_n^{(\mu)}$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$. Then $L^{(\mu)}$ is a continuous curve in $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ with endpoints $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$, and with the property that, for any $k$ in $[0, 2]$, the line $x + y = k$ intersects $L^{(\mu)}$ in some point of $C \times C$. Viceversa, given any point $(x, y)$ in $C \times C$, there is some sequence $\mu$ such that $(x, y)$ lies on $L^{(\mu)}$.

To see this, note that the ternary subdivision of $[0, 1]$ that generates $C$ produces a corresponding subdivision of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ that generates $C \times C$. At the $n$-th step, the subset $G_n$ of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ that contains points of $C \times C$ is the union of $4^n$ squares (the black squares in figure 6 for $n = 3$). It is clear that $G_n$ contains the vertices of the curves $L_n^{(\mu)}$ for all $\mu$ (compare figures 3 and 6). The conclusion is now immediate.




FIGURE 6

Note that if $\mu^\wedge$ is the sequence obtained from $\mu$ by turning all the 0's in 2's and viceversa, then the line $x + y = k$ intersects $L^{(\mu)}$ in a point $(x, y)$ if and only if it intersects $L^{(\mu^\wedge)}$ in a point $(y, x)$; in other words, $\mu^\wedge$ does not give us any new information on the decomposition of $k$ as a sum of numbers in $C$. We shall therefore restrict our attention to sequences $\mu$ with $\mu(1) = 0$ (i.e. to curves $L^{(\mu)}$ above the line $y = x$).

Fix $k = 2h$ in $[0, 2]$, $h > 0$, and let $h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/3^n$ be the unique infinite ternary expansion of $h$. We claim that the equation $x + y = k$ has a finite or an uncountable number $S(k)$ of solutions in $C \times C$ according to whether the cardinality $c(k)$ of the set $\{n \in \mathbf{N}\backslash\{0\}; a_n = 1\}$ is finite or infinite respectively. In fact, the exact formula is $S(k) = 1$ if $c(k) = 0$ or 1, and $S(k) = 3(2^{c(k)-2})$ otherwise.

Let $r$ be the line $x + y = k$, and let $n$ be any positive integer. With the notation set above, and with the help of figure 6, it is easy to see that $a_n = 1$ if and only if $G_n$ meets $r$ in twice as many squares than $G_{n-1}$. Equivalently, $a_n = 1$ if and only if, for all $\mu$, $r$ meets $L_{n-1}^{(\mu)}$ in the middle third of one of its horizontal segments; in other words, $a_n = 1$ if and only if at the $n$-th step of the construction the curves $L_n^{(\mu)}$ meet $r$ in twice as many points than the curves $L_{n-1}^{(\mu)}$. If $a_n \neq 1$, the choice between $\mu(n) = 0$ and $\mu(n) = 2$ at the $n$-th step does not produce any new intersection point. This shows that $c(k)$ is finite or infinite depending on whether $r$ meets the curves $L^{(\mu)}$ in a finite or an uncountable number of points, and our claim is proved.

*Example.* If $k = 2h = 28/27$ ($h = 0.11122...$ in ternary form, with 2 repeated infinitely often), then $S(k) = 6$ and the possible decompositions are (in ternary form) $k = 1 + 0.001$, $k = 0.222 + 0.002$, $k = 0.221 + 0.01$, $k = 0.21 + 0.021$, $k = 0.202 + 0.022$ and $k = 0.201 + 0.1$.

In the case where $c(k)$ is infinite, we saw that each new occurence of 1 in the sequence $\{a_n\}_n$ produces a new choice between $\mu(n) = 0$ and $\mu(n) = 2$. In terms of the decomposition $k = x + y$, with $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n/3^n$ and $y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n/3^n$, this corresponds precisely to choosing $b_n = c_n = 0$ if $a_n = 0$, $b_n = c_n = 2$ if $a_n = 2$, and finally $b_n = 0$ and $c_n = 2$ ($b_n = 2$ and $c_n = 0$) if $a_n = 1$ and $\mu(n) = 0$ ($\mu(n) = 2$). An interesting case is $k = 1$, that is, $h = 0.1111...$. In this case, if $1 = x + y$ is the decomposition determined by the choice of some sequence $\mu$, then one has precisely $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)/3^n$.

*Remark.* The construction of the sequence $\{L_n\}_n$ (fig. 1-3) is similar to the ones which define by induction the continuous nowhere-differentiable function on $[0, 1]$ or an infinite homogeneous tree with finite degree. They all provide examples of those geometric objects which are nowadays called fractals. A fractal has the property that each of its portions looks exactly like a reduced copy of the whole thing. This "homogeneousness" property has often an algebraic counterpart: in the case of the Cantor ternary set, the $N$-th step of its geometric construction corresponds to the fact that every number of the form $\sum_1^{N+1} a_n/3^n$, $a_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ is obtained from the number $\sum_1^N a_n/3^n$ by making a choice between $a_{n+1} = 0$, $a_{n+1} = 1$ and $a_{n+1} = 2$. The crucial point is that the nature of this choice does not depend on the number and does not depend on $N$. In $\mathbf{F}_n$, the free group with $n$ generators, the choice that one makes to form a word of length $N + 1$ from a word of length $N$ is independent of either the word or $N$. Accordingly, the graph of $\mathbf{F}_n$ is a homogeneous tree (of degree $2n$).

## Cantor sets of continued fractions

Cantor point sets play an important role in measure theory and in the theory of continued fractions. The Cantor ternary set $C$ is a basic example of an uncountable Borel-measurable set whose measure is zero (see, for example, [5], p. 44 and 63). An important object in the theory of continued fractions is the set $F(n) = \{x \in [0, 1] : x = [0; a_1, a_2, a_3, ...]$ and $a_i \leqslant n$ for all $i\}$, that is, the set of continued fractions of bound $n$ ($n$ being any positive integer). The fact that $F(n)$ is a Cantor point set depends on the property that if

$$x = [0; a_1, ..., a_m, b_{m+1}, b_{m+2}, ...] \quad \text{and} \quad y = [0; a_1, ..., a_m, c_{m+1}, c_{m+2}, ...]$$

are in $F(n)$, then $x < y$ $(x > y)$ if $b_{m+1} < c_{m+1}$ and $m$ is odd ($m$ is even). In particular,

$$\min F(n) = [0; n, 1, n, 1, ...], \max F(n) = [0; 1, n, 1, n, ...]$$

and $F(n)$ can be obtained by first removing from $(0, 1)$ the open intervals

$$(0, [0; n, 1, n, 1, ...]) \quad \text{and} \quad ([0; 1, n, 1, n, ...], 1),$$

then removing the intervals

$$([0; n, n, 1, n, 1, ...], [0; n-1, 1, n, 1, n, ...]),$$
$$([0; n-1, n, 1, n, 1, ...], [0; n-2, 1, n, 1, n, ...]),$$
$$..., ([0; 2, n, 1, n, 1, ...], [0; 1, 1, n, 1, n, ...]),$$

and so on (see [3], p. 971).

A theorem of M. Hall Jr. says that $F(4) + F(4) + \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{R}$ ([3], theorem 3.1), which is the analogue of $C + C = [0, 2]$. Hall actually proves more general theorems on the nature of $L(A) + L(B)$ for arbitrary Cantor point sets $L(A)$ and $L(B)$. One of the main applications of Hall's theorem is the result that the Markoff spectrum contains every real number greater than 6 (cfr. [1], p. 454). The number 6 has successively been replaced by a best possible value, called Hall's ray ($\approx 4.5$), by employing a refinement of Hall's original theorem (see [2]).

The set $F(2) + F(2)$ has been used in [4] to prove the existence of certain gaps in the lower Markoff spectrum. It is the proof contained there that originally inspired our geometric construction.

## REFERENCES

[1] CUSICK, T. W. The largest gaps in the lower Markoff spectrum. *Duke Math. J. 41* (1974), 453-463.

[2] FREIMAN, G. A. Diophantine approximation and the geometry of numbers (Markov's problem), Kalin. Gosud. Univ., Kalinin, 1975.

[3] HALL, M. Jr. On the sum and product of continued fractions. *Annals of Mathematics, vol. 48, No. 4* (1947), 966-993.

[4] KINNEY, J. R. and T. S. PITCHER. On the lower range of Perron's modular function. *Canad. J. Math. 21* (1969), 808-816.

[5] ROYDEN, H. L. *Real Analysis.* 2nd edition, 1968, Collier Macmillan Publishers.

*(Reçu le 28 mars 1988)*

Marco Pavone

Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720 (USA)

vide-leer-empty