Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 36 (1990)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: YANGIANS AND R-MATRICES

Autor: Chari, Vyjayanthi / Pressley, Andrew

Kapitel: 3. A COMBINATORIAL INTERLUDE

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57909

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. Voir Informations légales.

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

Download PDF: 09.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

COROLLARY 2.9. *As representations of* Y, *we have*

$$W_m(a)^* \cong W_m(-a)\,.$$

Proof. On $W_m(a)$, $J(x)$ acts as ax. Therefore, on $W_m(a)^*$, $J(x)$ acts as $-ax$.

The following is a related result.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Every evaluation representation* $W_m(a)$ *has a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form.*

This means that there is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $<\ ,\ >$ on $W_m(a)$ such that

$$<y.v_1, v_2> \;=\; <v_1, \omega(y).v_2> \tag{2.11}$$

for all $y \in Y$, $v_1, v_2 \in W_m(a)$.

Proof. It is well-known that the representation W_m of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ carries a form $<\ ,\ >$ which satisfies (2.11) for all $y \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Moreover, the form is unique up to a scalar multiple because W_m is irreducible. To prove (2.11) in general, it suffices to check the case $y = x_k^+$, since the case $y = x_k^-$ then follows because $<\ ,\ >$ is symmetric, and $\omega(x_k^+) = x_k^-$. Since vectors of different weights are orthogonal, it is therefore enough to prove.

$$<x_k^+ . e_i, e_{i+k}> \;=\; <e_i, x_k^- . e_{i+k}> \tag{2.12}$$

(with the understanding that $e_i = 0$ unless $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$). This follows easily from Proposition 2.6 and the invariance of $<\ ,\ >$ under $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

3. A COMBINATORIAL INTERLUDE

The form of the polynomial P associated to the representation $W_m(a)$ in Corollary 2.7(b) suggests the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A non-empty finite set of complex numbers is said to be a *string* if it is of the form $\{a, a+1, \ldots, a+n\}$ for some $a \in \mathbf{C}$ and some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The centre of the string is $a + \dfrac{n}{2}$ and its length is $n+1$.

We shall also need:

Definition 3.2. Two strings S_1 and S_2 are said to be *non-interacting* if either

(1) $S_1 \cup S_2$ is not a string, or

(2) $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ or $S_2 \subseteq S_1$.

Remark. We shall discuss the "interactions" of strings in section 4.

We should like to assert that the set of roots of an arbitrary polynomial is a union of non-interacting strings. To make this precise, we need one last definition.

Definition 3.3. A *set with multiplicities* is a map $f: \Sigma \to \mathbf{N}$, where Σ is a set. If Σ is a finite set, the *cardinality* of f is

$$| f | = \sum_{x \in \Sigma} f(x) .$$

The *union* of two sets with multiplicities is the sum of the corresponding maps. Note that any set is a set with multiplicities, all values of the map being equal to one. Also, the roots of a polynomial $P \in \mathbf{C}[u]$ form a set with multiplicities in a natural way. In particular, the roots of the polynomial associated to $W_m(a)$ in Corollary 2.7(b) form a single string

$$S_m(a) = \left\{ a - \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, a + \frac{1}{2}m - \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

with centre a and length m.

We shall need the following simple result whose verification we leave to the reader.

LEMMA 3.4. *Two strings $S_m(a)$ and $S_n(b)$ are non-interacting if and only if it is not true that*

$$| a - b | = \frac{1}{2}(m + n) , \frac{1}{2}(m + n) - 1, \ldots, \quad or \quad \frac{1}{2} | m - n | + 1 .$$

The result we want is:

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Any finite set of complex numbers with multiplicities can be written uniquely as a union of strings, any two of which are non-interacting.*

Proof. Let $f: \Sigma \to \mathbf{N}$ be a finite set of complex numbers with multiplicities. The proof is by induction on $| f |$. If $| f | = 0$ or 1 there is nothing to prove.

Choose $s \in \Sigma$, let S be the maximal string of numbers in Σ which contains s, and let g be the characteristic function of S. By induction, $f - g$ is a union of non-interacting strings. If T is any such string, then S and T are non-interacting, since if $T \nsubseteq S$ then $S \cup T$ cannot be a string, by maximality of S. Thus, adjoining S to the string decomposition of $f - g$ gives the desired decomposition of f.

As for uniqueness, we first show that the string S above must occur in any decomposition of f as a union of non-interacting strings. For, otherwise, let T be a maximal string in such a decomposition which contains s. Then T is properly contained in S, so there exists $u \in S - T$ such that $T \cup \{u\}$ is a string. Let U be a string in the given decomposition of f which contains u. Then, by its maximality, T cannot be contained in U, so T and U are interacting, a contradiction.

Thus, S must occur in any two decompositions of f as a union of non-interacting strings. Deleting S from both decompositions and using the induction hypothesis, one deduces that the two decompositions are the same.

We conclude this section with the computation of a determinant which plays the same role for Yangians as the Vandermonde determinant plays in the classification of integrable representations of affine Lie algebras [1].

Let r be a positive integer and let b_j, m_j, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, be complex numbers. Quantities $d_{k,j}, A_{k,j}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1$, are defined inductively by the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k,j} &= b_j^k + b_j^{k-1} d_{0,j} + \cdots + d_{k-1,j} \\
d_{k,j} &= m_{j+1} A_{k,j+1} + d_{k,j+1}\,, \qquad d_{k,r} = 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

(we set $d_{k,r+1} = 0$). Let A be the matrix $(A_{k,j})$ with $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1$.

PROPOSITION 3.7. $\det A = \prod_{1 \leqslant k < j \leqslant r} (b_j - b_k - m_j)$.

Remark. One can think of $\det A$ as a "quantum Vandermonde determinant". Indeed, recall that Y is obtained from a deformation of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2[t])$ by setting the deformation parameter h equal to one. If we had not set $h = 1$, then in equation (3.6) $d_{k,j}$ would be replaced by $hd_{k,j}$ and in equation (3.7) m_j would be replaced by hm_j. Thus, in the "classical limit" $h \to 0$, $\det A$ becomes the usual Vandermonde determinant and (3.7) its well-known factorization.

Our proof of (3.7) is rather indirect and will be given in the next section.