

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 45 (1999)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** COUNTING PATHS IN GRAPHS  
**Autor:** Bartholdi, Laurent  
**Kapitel:** 4. First proof of Theorem 2.4  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-64442>

#### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

#### Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. Voir Informations légales.

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

**Download PDF:** 30.03.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

The following result is well-known :

**THEOREM 3.12** (Müller & Schupp [MS81, MS83]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group, presented as a quotient  $\Sigma/\Xi$  with  $\Sigma$  as in (3.4). Then  $\Theta(\Xi)$  is an algebraic series (i.e. satisfies a polynomial equation over  $\mathbf{k}[t]$ ) if and only if  $\Sigma/\Xi$  is virtually free (i.e. has a normal subgroup of finite index that is free).*

It is not known whether there exists a non-virtually-free quasi-transitive graph whose circuit series (as defined in Corollary 2.6) is algebraic.

#### 4. FIRST PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

We now prove Theorem 2.4 using linear algebra. We first assume the graph has a finite number of vertices, for the computations refer to  $\mathbf{k}$ -matrices and  $\mathbf{k}[[u]]$ -matrices indexed by the graph's vertices. This proof is hinted at in Godsil's book as an exercise [God93, page 72]; it was also suggested to the author by Gilles Robert.

For all pairs of vertices  $x, y \in V(\mathcal{X})$  let

$$\mathfrak{G}_{x,y}(\ell) = \sum_{\pi \in [x,y]} \pi^\ell, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{x,y}(\ell) = \sum_{\pi \in [x,y]} u^{\text{bc}(\pi)} \pi^\ell$$

be the path and enriched path series from  $x$  to  $y$ ; for ease of notation we will leave out the labelling  $\ell$  if it is obvious from the context. Let  $\delta_{x,y}$  denote the Kronecker delta, equal to 1 if  $x = y$  and 0 otherwise. For any  $v \in \mathbf{k}$ , let  $[v]_x^y$  denote the  $V(\mathcal{X}) \times V(\mathcal{X})$  matrix with zeros everywhere except at  $(x, y)$ , where it has value  $v$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_{x,y} = \delta_{x,y} + \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{X}): e^\alpha = x} e^\ell \mathfrak{G}_{e^\omega, y}$$

so that if

$$A = \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{X})} [e^\ell]_{e^\alpha}^{e^\omega}$$

be the adjacency matrix of  $\mathcal{X}$ , with labellings, then we have

$$(\mathfrak{G}_{x,y})_{x,y \in V(\mathcal{X})} = \frac{1}{1 - A},$$

an equation holding between  $V(\mathcal{X}) \times V(\mathcal{X})$  matrices over  $\mathbf{k}$ .

Similarly, letting  $\mathfrak{F}_{x,e,y}$  count the paths from  $x$  to  $y$  that start with the edge  $e$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{F}_{x,y} &= \delta_{x,y} + \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{X}): e^\alpha = x} \mathfrak{F}_{x,e,y}, \\ \mathfrak{F}_{x,e,y} &= e^\ell (\mathfrak{F}_{e^\omega,y} + (u-1)\mathfrak{F}_{e^\omega,\bar{e},y}), \\ \mathfrak{F}_{e^\omega,\bar{e},y} &= \bar{e}^\ell (\mathfrak{F}_{x,y} + (u-1)\mathfrak{F}_{x,e,y});\end{aligned}$$

these last two lines solve to

$$\mathfrak{F}_{x,e,y} = (1 - (u-1)^2(e\bar{e})^\ell)^{-1} (e^\ell \mathfrak{F}_{e^\omega,y} + (u-1)(e\bar{e})^\ell \mathfrak{F}_{x,y}),$$

which we insert in the first line to obtain

$$K_x^{-1} \mathfrak{F}_{x,y} = \delta_{x,y} + \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{X}): e^\alpha = x} \frac{e^\ell}{1 - (u-1)^2(e\bar{e})^\ell} K_{e^\omega} \cdot K_{e^\omega}^{-1} \mathfrak{F}_{e^\omega,y}.$$

Thus if we let

$$(4.1) \quad e^{\ell'} = \frac{e^\ell}{1 - (u-1)^2(e\bar{e})^\ell} K_{e^\omega}, \quad A' = \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{X})} [e^{\ell'}]_{e^\alpha}^{e^\omega},$$

we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad (K_x^{-1} \mathfrak{F}_{x,y})_{x,y \in V(\mathcal{X})} = \frac{1}{1 - A'}$$

and the proof is finished in the case that  $\mathcal{X}$  is finite, because the matrix  $A'$  is precisely that obtained from  $A$  by substituting  $\ell'$  for  $\ell$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}$  has infinitely many vertices, we approximate it, using Lemma 3.7, by finite graphs. Denote by  $\mathfrak{F}_{\star,\dagger}^n(\ell)$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_{\star,\dagger}^n(\ell')$  the enriched path series and path series respectively in  $\mathcal{B}(\star, n)$ , and write

$$K_\star \cdot \mathfrak{F}(\ell) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{F}_{\star,\dagger}^n(\ell) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{G}_{\star,\dagger}^n(\ell') = \mathfrak{G}(\ell')$$

to complete the proof.

## 5. GRAPHS AND MATRICES

Graphs can be studied through their *adjacency* and *incidence* matrices. We give here the relevant definitions and obtain an extension of a theorem by Hyman Bass [Bas92] on the Ihara-Selberg zeta function. We will use power series with coefficients in a matrix ring, and fractional expressions in matrices; by convention, we understand ' $X/Y$ ' as ' $X \cdot Y^{-1}$ '.