**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

**Band:** 45 (1999)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: COUNTING PATHS IN GRAPHS

Autor: Bartholdi, Laurent

**Kapitel:** 6. Second proof of Theorem 2.4

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-64442

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

## Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

## Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

**Download PDF:** 14.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

PROPOSITION 5.6 ([Ami80, Equation 4.4)]. Let X be a power series in t over a matrix ring, such that X(0) = 1. Then

$$\det X = \exp\left(-\int \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{1-X}{Xt}\right)dt\right) ,$$

where the integration is the formal linear operation on power series that maps  $t^r$  to  $t^{r+1}/(r+1)$ .

We then have, using Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3,

$$\frac{\det M}{(1+(1-u)t)^n(1-(1-u)^2t^2)^m} = \det \frac{M}{1+(1-u)Jt}$$

$$= \exp\left(-\int \operatorname{tr} \frac{1+(1-u)Jt-M}{Mt}dt\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\int \operatorname{series counting non-trivial circuits,}_{length shifted down by one} dt\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\int \operatorname{tr} \frac{(1-(1-u)^2t^2)\mathbf{1}-P}{Pt}dt\right)$$

$$= \det \frac{P}{1-(1-u)^2t^2} = \frac{\det P}{(1-(1-u)^2t^2)^{|V(\chi)|}}.$$

## 6. SECOND PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

Let  $P = [\star, \dagger]$  be the set of paths in  $\mathcal{X}$  from  $\star$  to  $\dagger$ . As we shall apply the principle of inclusion-exclusion [Wil90], it will be helpful to compute in  $\Pi = \mathbf{Z}[[P]]$ , the **Z**-module of functions from the set of paths to **Z**. We embed subsets of P in  $\Pi$  by mapping a subset to its characteristic function:

$$P \supset A \mapsto \chi_A$$
, with  $(\pi)\chi_A = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the subset of bounded non-negative elements of  $\Pi$  (i.e. functions f such that there is a constant N with  $0 \le (\pi)f < N$  for all paths  $\pi$ ). If  $\ell$  is a complete labelling of  $\mathcal{X}$ , there is an induced labelling  $\ell_* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbf{k}$  given by

$$(f)\ell_* = \sum_{\pi \in P} (\pi) f \pi^{\ell} .$$

Note that the sum, although infinite, defines an element of  ${\bf k}$  due to the fact that  $\ell$  is complete.

DEFINITION 6.1 (Bump Scheme). Let  $e \in E(\mathcal{X})$  and  $v \in V(\mathcal{X})$ . A squiggle along e is a sequence  $(e, \overline{e}, \ldots, e, \overline{e})$ . A squiggle at v is a squiggle along e for some edge e such that  $e^{\alpha} = v$ .

Let  $\pi = (v_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, v_n)$  be a path of length n in  $\mathcal{X}$ . A bump scheme for  $\pi$  is a pair  $B = ((\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n), (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n))$ , with

- for all  $i \in \{0, ..., n\}$ , a finite (possibly empty) sequence  $\beta_i = (\beta_{i,1}, ..., \beta_{i,t_i})$  of squiggles at  $v_i$ ;
- for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , a squiggle  $\gamma_i$  along  $e_i$ .

The weight |B| of the bump scheme B is defined as

$$|B| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{t_i} (|\beta_{i,j}| - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_i|.$$

Given a path  $\pi$  and a bump scheme  $B=(\beta,\gamma)$  for  $\pi$ , we obtain a new path  $\pi\vee B\in P$ , by setting

$$\pi \vee B = \beta_{0,1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \beta_{0,t_0} \gamma_1 e_1 \beta_{1,1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \gamma_n e_n \beta_{n,1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \beta_{n,t_n},$$

where the product denotes concatenation.

We now define a linear map  $\phi \colon \Pi \to \Pi[[u]]$  by setting, for  $f \in \Pi$  and  $\pi \in P$ ,

$$(\pi)\big((f)\phi\big) = \sum_{(\rho,B): \rho \vee B = \pi} (u-1)^{|B|}(\rho)f,$$

where the sum ranges over all pairs  $(\rho, B)$  where  $\rho \in P$  and B is a bump scheme for  $\rho$  such that  $\rho \vee B = \pi$ . Note that the sum is finite because the edges of  $\rho$  and of B form subsets of those of  $\pi$ .

LEMMA 6.2. For any path  $\pi$  we have

(6.1) 
$$(\pi)((\chi_P)\phi) = u^{\mathrm{bc}(\pi)}.$$

*Proof.* Say  $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$  has  $m \ge 0$  bumps, at indices  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  so that  $\pi_{b_i} = \overline{\pi_{b_{i+1}}}$ . We will show that the evaluation at  $\pi$  of the left-hand side of (6.1) yields  $u^m$ .

We claim there is a bijection between the subsets C of  $\{1, \ldots, m\}$  and the pairs  $(\rho_C, B_C)$  where  $\rho_C$  is a path and  $B_C$  is a bump scheme for  $\rho_C$  with  $\pi = \rho_C \vee B_C$ ; and further  $|B_C| = |C|$ .

First, take a  $\rho$  and a  $B=(\beta,\gamma)$  such that  $\rho\vee B=\pi$ . The path  $\rho\vee B$  is obtained by shuffling together the edges of  $\rho$  and B, and this partitions the

edges of  $\pi$  in two classes, namely (i) those coming from  $\rho$  and (ii) those coming from  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ . Let  $C \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$  be the indices of the bumps  $b_i$  in  $\pi$  coming from B, i.e. such that  $\pi_{b_i}$  and  $\pi_{b_{i+1}}$  belong to the class (ii). One direction of the bijection is then  $(\rho, B) \mapsto C$ .

Conversely, given a subset C consider the set  $D = \{b_i \mid i \in C\}$ . Split it in maximal-length runs of consecutive integers  $D = D_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup D_t$ . For all runs  $D_i$  do the following: to  $D_i = \{j, j+1, \ldots, j+2k-1\}$  of even cardinality associate a squiggle  $\gamma_j$  of length 2k along  $\pi_j$ ; to  $D_i = \{j, j+1, \ldots, j+2k-2\}$  of odd cardinality associate a squiggle  $\beta_{j,l}$  of length 2k at  $v_{j-1}$ ; then delete in  $\pi$  the edges  $\pi_j, \ldots, \pi_{j+2k-1}$ . This process constructs a bump scheme  $B = (\beta, \gamma)$  while pruning edges of  $\pi$ , giving a path  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma \vee B = \pi$ . These two constructions are inverses, proving the claimed bijection.

It now follows that

$$(\pi)(\chi_P)\phi = \sum_{C \in \{1,\dots,m\}} (u-1)^{|B_C|} = \sum_{r=0}^m (u-1)^r {m \choose r} = u^m . \quad \Box$$

Let  $\ell' : E(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathbf{k}[[u]]$  be defined by

$$e^{\ell'} = \frac{1}{1 - (e\,\overline{e})^{\ell}(1-u)^2} e^{\ell} K_{e^{\omega}}.$$

We prove Theorem 2.4 by noting that  $\mathfrak{G}(\ell) = (\chi_P)\ell_*$ , that  $\mathfrak{F}(\ell) = (\chi_P\phi)\ell_*$ , and that for any  $f \in \Pi$  we have  $(f\phi)\ell_* = K_*(f)\ell_*'$ . To prove this last equality, take a path  $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$  on vertices  $v_0, \dots, v_n$ . Then

$$(\chi_{\{\pi\}}\phi)\ell_* = \sum_B (u-1)^{|B|} (\pi \vee B)^\ell$$
,

where the sum ranges over all bump schemes for  $\pi$ , and

$$K_{\star}\pi^{\ell'} = K_{v_0} \frac{1}{1 - (u - 1)^2 (\pi_1 \overline{\pi_1})^{\ell}} \pi_1^{\ell} K_{v_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (u - 1)^2 (\pi_n \overline{\pi_n})^{\ell}} \pi_n^{\ell} K_{v_n}.$$

It is clear these last two lines are equal; for the power series expansion of the  $K_{v_i}$  correspond to all the possible squiggle sequences  $\beta_i$  at  $v_i$ , and the power series expansion of the  $1/(1-(u-1)^2(\pi_i\overline{\pi_i})^\ell)$  correspond to all possible squiggles  $\gamma_i$  along  $\pi_i$ .