

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 49 (2003)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: LECTURES ON QUASI-INVARIANTS OF COXETER GROUPS AND THE CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA
Autor: Etingof, Pavel / Strickland, Elisabetta
Kapitel: 3.1 Shift operator and construction of the Baker-Akhiezer function
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66677>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 02.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

The solution can easily be computed by differentiating the first equation and then subtracting the second, thus obtaining the new system

$$\begin{cases} \psi'' - \frac{2}{x}\psi' = k^2\psi, \\ \psi'' - (\frac{1}{x} + k^2x)\psi' = -k^3x\psi. \end{cases}$$

Taking the difference, we get the first order equation

$$\psi' = \frac{k^2x}{kx - 1}\psi,$$

whose solution (up to constants) is given by $\psi = (kx - 1)e^{kx}$.

In fact, one can easily calculate ψ_m for a general m .

PROPOSITION 2.12. $\psi_m(k, x) = (x\partial - 2m + 1)(x\partial - 2m - 1) \cdots (x\partial - 1)e^{kx}$.

Proof. We could use the direct method of Example 2.11, but it is more convenient to proceed differently. Namely, we have

$$(\partial^2 - \frac{2m}{x}\partial)(x\partial - 2m + 1) = (x\partial - 2m + 1)(\partial^2 - \frac{2(m-1)}{x}\partial)$$

as it is easy to verify directly. So using induction on m starting with $m = 0$, we get

$$(\partial^2 - \frac{2m}{x}\partial)\psi_m(k, x) = (x\partial - 2m + 1)(\partial^2 - \frac{2(m-1)}{x}\partial)\psi_{m-1}(k, x) = k^2\psi_m(k, x),$$

and $\psi_m(k, x)$ is our solution. \square

3. LECTURE 3

3.1 SHIFT OPERATOR AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE BAKER-AKHIEZER FUNCTION

In Lecture 2, we have introduced the Baker-Akhiezer function $\psi(k, x)$ for the operator

$$L = \Delta - \sum_{s \in \Sigma} \frac{2c_s}{\alpha_s(x)} \partial_{\alpha_s}.$$

The way to construct $\psi(k, x)$ is via the Opdam shift operator. Given a function $m: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_+$, Opdam showed in [Op1] that there exists a unique W -invariant

differential operator S_m of the form $\delta_m(x)\delta_m(\partial_x)+l.o.t.$, with $\delta_m(x) = \prod_{s \in \Sigma} \alpha_s^{m_s}$ such that

$$L_q S_m = S_m q(\partial)$$

for every $q \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] = \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$. From this, if we set $\psi(k, x) = S_m e^{(k, x)}$, we get

$$(7) \quad L_q \psi = S_m q(\partial) e^{(k, x)} = q(k) \psi,$$

$q \in \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$.

We claim that equation (7) must in fact hold for all $q \in Q_m$. Indeed, near a generic point x , the functions $\psi(wk, x)$ are obviously linearly independent and satisfy (7) for symmetric q . Thus, they are a basis in the space of solutions (we know that this space is $|W|$ -dimensional). Consider the matrix of L_q in this basis for any $q \in Q_m$. Since $\psi(k, x)$ is a polynomial multiplied by $e^{(k, x)}$, this matrix must be diagonal with eigenvalues $q(k)$, as desired.

EXAMPLE 3.1. As we have seen in the previous section, for $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C}$,

$$S_m = (x\partial - 2m + 1)(x\partial - 2m - 1) \cdots (x\partial - 1).$$

3.2 BEREST'S FORMULA FOR L_q

We are now going to give an explicit construction of the operators L_q for any $q \in Q_m$.

Let us identify, using our W -invariant scalar product, \mathfrak{h} with \mathfrak{h}^* , and let us choose an orthonormal basis x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathfrak{h}^* . If $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we will write D_x for the Dunkl operator relative to the vector in \mathfrak{h} corresponding to x under our identification. Thus

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n D_{x_i}^2.$$

PROPOSITION 3.2 (Berest [Be]). *If $q \in Q_m$ is a homogeneous element of degree d , then*

$$(\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q = 0.$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that

$$((\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q) \psi(k, x) = 0.$$

Indeed, it follows from the definition of $\psi(k, x)$ that in the ring $\mathcal{D}(U)$ this implies: $((\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q) S_m = 0$, so that $(\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q = 0$, since $\mathcal{D}(U)$ is a domain.