

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 54 (2008)
Heft: 1-2

Artikel: The fundamental group at infinity
Autor: Geoghegan, Ross
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-109902>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 15.03.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

33

THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP AT INFINITY

by Ross GEOGHEGAN

Let G be a finitely presented group which has one end. There are three flavors of the question: homological, homotopical, and geometric.

THE HOMOLOGICAL FLAVOR

QUESTION 33.1. *Is it true that the abelian group $H^2(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ is free?*

REMARKS 33.2. (i) $H^0(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ and $H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ are trivial.

(ii) $H^2(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ is either trivial, or is infinite cyclic, or is an infinitely generated abelian group ([5]).

(iii) $H^n(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ need not be free abelian when $n > 2$ [1], [4].

(iv) $H^2(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ need not be free abelian when G is only finitely generated.

Perhaps FP_2 could replace “finitely presented” in Question 33.1.

THE HOMOTOPICAL FLAVOR

Let X be any (one-ended) complex on which G acts cocompactly as a group of covering transformations.

QUESTION 33.3. *Is it true that the “fundamental group at infinity” of X is semistable (aka Mittag-Leffler)?*

An inverse sequence of groups $\{G_r\}$ is *semistable* or *Mittag-Leffler* if, given any n , the sequence of images of the groups G_{n+k} in G_n is eventually constant. We choose a proper ray $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ and a filtration of X by finite subcomplexes K_n . By reparametrizing ω we can assume $\omega([r, \infty)) \subset X - K_r$ for all r . Let G_n denote the fundamental group of the complement of K_n based at $\omega(n)$, and let $f_n: G_{n+1} \rightarrow G_n$ be induced by inclusion using change of base point along ω . Question 33.3 asks if this $\{G_r\}$ is semistable.

REMARKS 33.4. (i) The answer only depends on G , not on X nor on the filtration nor on the base ray; so I can rephrase the homotopical question as

QUESTION 33.5. *Is G semistable at infinity?*

(ii) The answer is known to be *yes* for many classes of groups. For example, all of the following imply that G is semistable at infinity:

- G sits in the middle of a short exact sequence of infinite groups where the kernel is finitely generated [7].
- G is a one-relator group [9].
- G is the fundamental group of a graph of groups whose vertex groups are finitely presented and semistable at infinity, and whose edge groups are finitely generated [8].

(iii) There are positive answers coming from topology. Assume X admits a Z -set compactifying boundary. Then the answer is *yes* if and only if this (connected) boundary has semistable pro- π_1 in the sense of shape theory (the technical term is “pointed 1-movable”); examples are Coxeter groups [3]. This π_1 -condition holds if the boundary is locally connected; examples are hyperbolic groups [2], [10].

(iv) The answer is unknown for CAT(0) groups (as far as I know).

The homological Question 33.1 is equivalent to:

QUESTION 33.6. *Is it true that the inverse sequence of integral first homology groups of the spaces $X - K_n$ is semistable?*

Thus Question 33.1 is the abelianized version of Question 33.3, and is perhaps more likely to have a positive answer.

THE GEOMETRIC FLAVOR

QUESTION 33.7. *Is it true that any two proper rays in X are properly homotopic?*

This is so deliciously simple and “right” that it hardly needs comment³⁾ except to say that it is *equivalent* to Question 33.3 [7].

³⁾ My book [6] contains a much more detailed account of what I summarize here.

FINAL REMARK. There are lots of contractible locally finite 2-dimensional complexes X having one end whose fundamental groups at infinity are not semistable; for example the infinite inverse mapping telescope S associated with a dyadic solenoid (suitably coned off to make it contractible). The problem is to know if any of these admit a cocompact, free and properly discontinuous group action. We know that S does not admit such an action.

REFERENCES

- [1] BESTVINA, M. and G. MESS. The boundary of negatively curved groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1991), 469–481.
- [2] BOWDITCH, B.H. Splittings of finitely generated groups over two-ended subgroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002), 1049–1078.
- [3] DAVIS, M.W. Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 117 (1983), 293–324.
- [4] ——— The cohomology of a Coxeter group with group ring coefficients. *Duke Math. J.* 91 (1998), 297–314.
- [5] FARRELL, F.T. The second cohomology group of G with Z_2G coefficients. *Topology* 13 (1974), 313–326.
- [6] GEOGHEGAN, R. *Topological Methods in Group Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 243. Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [7] MIHALIK, M.L. Semistability at the end of a group extension. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 277 (1983), 307–321.
- [8] MIHALIK, M.L. and S.T. TSCHANTZ. *Semistability of Amalgamated Products and HNN-Extensions*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 98. Amer. Math. Soc., 1992.
- [9] MIHALIK, M.L. and S.T. TSCHANTZ. One relator groups are semistable at infinity. *Topology* 31 (1992), 801–804.
- [10] SWARUP, G.A. On the cut point conjecture. *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2 (1996), 98–100.

Ross Geoghegan

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Binghamton University (SUNY)
Binghamton, NY 13902-6000
USA
e-mail: ross@math.binghamton.edu