Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 54 (2008)
Heft: 1-2

Artikel: Piecewise isometries of hyperbolic surfaces
Autor: La Harpe, Pierre de
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-109906

L'Enseignement Mathématique (2) 54 (2008), 108–110

37

PIECEWISE ISOMETRIES OF HYPERBOLIC SURFACES

by Pierre DE LA HARPE

What does the group of piecewise isometries of a surface look like?

More precisely, let us consider compact Riemannian surfaces. Boundaries (if any) should be unions of finitely many geodesic segments; there is no reason to impose connectedness or orientability. For two surfaces M, N of this kind, a *piecewise isometry* from M to N is given by two partitions $M = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k} M_i$ and $N = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k} N_i$ in polygons, and a family $g_i \colon M_i \longrightarrow N_i$ of surjective isometries; two such piecewise isometries are identified if they coincide on the interiors of the pieces of finer polygonal partitions. When such a piecewise isometry exists, M and N are said to be *equidecomposable*. Piecewise isometries of a surface M to itself constitute the *group of piecewise isometries* $\mathcal{PI}(M)$. We want to stress that a piecewise isometry need not be continuous. The group $\mathcal{PI}(M)$ is a two-dimensional analogue of the group $\mathcal{PI}([0,1])$ of exchange transformations of the interval (the transformations themselves have been studied by Keane, Sinai, and Veech, among others, and the group by Arnoux, Fathi, and Sah — see for example [7] and [1]).

It is well known that two Euclidean polygons are equidecomposable if and only if their areas are equal (compare with Chapter IV in Hilbert's *Grundlagen der Geometrie* [9]). This carries over to polygons in the hyperbolic plane (see [4] for a proof). In particular, any orientable connected closed Riemannian surface M of genus $g \geq 2$ and of constant curvature -1 is piecewise isometric to a hyperbolic polygon, of area $4\pi(g-1)$. Thus, viewed as an abstract group, $\mathcal{PI}(M)$ depends only on the area t of M, and can be denoted by $\mathcal{PI}_t$. There are many ways to check that it is an uncountable group, containing torsion of any order and containing free abelian groups of arbitrary large ranks. Observe that, if $s \leq t$, the group $\mathcal{PI}_s$ embeds as a subgroup of $\mathcal{PI}_t$ (think of a hyperbolic polygon of area s contained inside a hyperbolic polygon of area t).

I would like to understand more of the groups $\mathcal{PI}_t$.

As a first question, are these groups pairwise isomorphic ? In particular, are $\mathcal{PI}_{4\pi}$ and $\mathcal{PI}_{8\pi}$ isomorphic ? (Recall that, for a Riemannian metric of constant curvature -1, a closed surface of genus g has area $4\pi(g-1)$.) If $s \leq t$, is any injective homomorphism $\mathcal{PI}_s \to \mathcal{PI}_t$ conjugate to one described above ?

Are these groups acyclic ? Simple ? Or if not with simple commutator subgroups ? (Arnoux-Fathi and Sah have defined a homomorphism from the analogous group $\mathcal{PI}([0,1])$ onto $\bigwedge_{\mathbf{Q}}^2 \mathbf{R}$, reminiscent of the Dehn invariant for scissors congruences, and it is known that the kernel is a simple group; see [1]).

Should they be regarded as topological groups ? If yes for which topology ? (Two candidates: the topology of convergence in measure, see e.g. [3], and the weak topology discussed in [8].)

Similar questions make sense for other groups of piecewise isometries, for example related to polygons in a round sphere, or in a flat torus, or related to other spaces and appropriates pieces. The case of flat tori is usually phrased in terms of Euclidean spaces or polytopes; concerning this case, the little I am aware of ([2], [5], [10]) is about particular piecewise isometries and not about groups $\mathcal{PI}(M)$. One difficulty with other spaces is to choose an interesting class of pieces when "polygon" or "polytope" have no clear meaning.

A bijection of a finitely-generated group onto a subset of itself which is given piecewise by left multiplications can be viewed as a piecewise isometry. Bijections of this form are important ingredients in the theory of amenable groups (Tarski characterization of non-amenability by the existence of paradoxical decompositions, see e.g. [11] and [6]).

Piecewise isometries make sense for large classes of metric spaces, but the corresponding groups and pseudogroups seem to have been little explored so far in this generality.

I am grateful to Pierre Arnoux for his comments on the first version of this short Note.

REFERENCES

[1] ARNOUX, P. Échanges d'intervalles et flots sur les surfaces. In: *Théorie ergodique (Séminaire de théorie ergodique, Les Plans-sur-Bex, Mars 1980)*, 5–38. Monograph L'Enseign. Math. *29*, Geneva, 1981.

[2] ASHWIN, P. and A. GOETZ. Polygonal invariant curves for a planar piecewise isometry. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358* (2006), 373–390.

[3] BERBERIAN, S. K. *Measure and Integration.* MacMillan, 1965.

[4] BOLTIANSKII, V. G. *Hilbert's Third Problem.* J. Wiley, 1978.

[5] BRESSAUD, X. and G. POGGIASPALLA. A tentative classification of bijective polygonal piecewise isometries. *Experiment. Math. 16* (2007), 77–99.

[6] CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN, T., R. GRIGORCHUK and P. DE LA HARPE. Amenability and paradoxical decompositions for pseudogroups and for discrete metric spaces. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 224* (1999), 57–95.

[7] CORNFELD, I. P., S. V. FOMIN and YA. G. SINAI. *Ergodic Theory.* Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften *245*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[8] HALMOS, P. R. *Lectures on Ergodic Theory.* Chelsea, 1956.

[9] HILBERT, D. *Les fondements de la géométrie*, éd. critique. Dunod, Paris, 1971.

[10] MENDES, M. and M. NICOL. Piecewise isometries in Euclidean spaces of higher dimensions. To appear in *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos.*

[11] WAGON, S. *The Banach-Tarski Paradox.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985.

Pierre de la Harpe

Section de Mathématiques
Université de Genève
C.P. 64
CH-1211 Genève 4
Switzerland
e-mail: Pierre.delaHarpe@math.unige.ch