Zeitschrift:	Trans : Publikationsreihe des Fachvereins der Studierenden am Departement Architektur der ETH Zürich
Herausgeber:	Departement Architektur der ETH Zürich
Band:	- (2017)
Heft:	31
Artikel:	"What is the role of critique in your pedagogy, Mr. Lehnerer?"
Autor:	Lehnerer, Alex / Bianchi, Vincent / Salzmann, Yann
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-918701

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

Download PDF: 03.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

«What is the role of critique in your pedagogy, Mr. Lehnerer?»

«We could easily stop here by saying yes, of course, we want critical architects), however the (how) and (what and diploma teaching. When I started teaching at for> seem to remain as itchy as they are. First of all, I ETH, I was opposed to the set diploma. I received my think there are different ways of understanding criti- education in schools where there were only free diplocism. I was socialised with an architectural discourse in mas. Ironically, over the last few years, I started liking the US, where critique is not necessarily understood as the form of set diploma, huh! That almost rigid quesa negative thing. Here, however, criticism tends to be tion gives you the first precondition to challenge it, to associated differently: To criticise means to opposewhereas the beauty of criticism is that it can be proac- self-conscious contribution. A school is an institution tive. It has the potential to produce work.

we try to do within the chair in that regard. When we boring. Certain ties and conditions have to be estabset a task, we never argue out of necessity. We never lished, so that you can then work against them. It is say that Zürich needs such a building or a program be- something that works quite well in the admittedly detercause there is a lack of it. We never argue out of the idea ministic mode of our diplomas. Everybody receives the that we need to provide the city or the context with a same task. What will they do with it? It allows you to certain solution. Otherwise you can just say: I am ful- act in a subversive way, to be productive within the filling a task». That's what practice is full of-in compe- range of your skills and sensibility. It has now develtitions, in serving clients, etc: you are asked for a solu- oped into a game. It's almost a little counter productive tion and you deliver it. However, a good school also that the diploma projects are becoming increasingly exists to experience the opposite.

In 2016, we asked our students to design a this... church; there are already 72 churches in the city of Zurich, it does not need another one. However, we not escape; it always serves power. We are obliged to wanted them to make another one because they could capital. Nothing works without funding, you need a no longer safely argue that another piece of that pro- client. It is when we capture private investments for gram is needed. They had to find some other justifica- public pleasures, that we can make a contribution. tion, which could be the opposite of necessity: desire. There has to be this counter-intuitive subversion of the I want this). Then you can no longer hide behind other task, in order to smuggle in other qualities, that might people's wishes or commissions. This creates a certain be missing in the brief. This is very beautiful as a discomfort, leading people to wonder: Why should I project. It does not matter whether it's a small object or do this? What else can I express with that project?». You a big plan, as long as you have that kind of ambition. I cannot fulfill a task anymore but you have the opportu- fully agree with the idea that a school should create nity to raise your own question. That is one way of get- room for that in the students' minds. The statement ting into a critical architectural project. I believe that it that architecture serves power cannot be abolished or is more important for the project to be critical than it ignored. You have to trick it somehow. It is only then is for the student to be a critical person. Don't get me that the critical architecture we are all interested in can wrong, what I mean is that I do not want criticality or take place.» self-criticality to be expressed through doubt rather than action. The doubt, the questions, and this selfcritical approach should be expressed projectively. Furthermore, once a project starts to speak with itself, it naturally gains a certain (autonomy) that our discipline looks for so eagerly.

The most beautiful piece on criticality I have ever read is a text by Jorge Silvetti, called (The Beauty of Shadows> from 1977. He has this idea that criticism emerges from the act of design itself. He talks about «criticism from within», that is, your own doing is criticising the core of the discipline. You do not point at something outside your world but at something that is deeply intriguing to you within your own work. I find it very nice to understand criticism as an act of doing. Not as a statement or a commentary. You take something that appears utterly normal and ubiquitous, then you try to subvert it. There are certain techniques you can employ to do that. Exaggeration is one. You can This text is taken from the interview with Alex Lehnerer led at ETH exaggerate something you dislike in an extreme way to Hönggerberg the 13th of June 2017 by Vincent Bianchi and Yann Salzmann.

turn it into something beautiful. This counter-intuition creates a certain friction to our overly intuitive world. Thereby it turns a project into a manifesto with an antithetical value.

There is a difference between studio teaching try and subvert the task. Which allows for a critical and and provides boundaries. So called «total freedom» from There are two things that I can mention that the beginning isn't helpful, it is much rather extremely freely set. I never thought I would ever say things like

There is a certain truth that architecture can-